

Date of Interim Audit Report: 🛛 N/A

If no Interim Audit Report, select N/A

Date of	of Final Audit Report:	July 24, 2022			
Auditor Information					
Name: Lynni O'Haver		Email: scarlettohara1@	mac.com		
Company Name: PREA Aud	itors of America, LLC				
Mailing Address: P. O. Box	1071	City, State, Zip: Cypress,	Texas 77410		
Telephone: 713.818.9098		Date of Facility Visit: June	9 - 10, 2022		
Agency Information					
Name of Agency: Misso	ouri Department of Correct	ctions			
Governing Authority or Parent A	gency (If Applicable):				
Physical Address: 2729 Pla	aza Drive	City, State, Zip: Jefferson	, MO 65102		
Mailing Address: P. O. Box	236	City, State, Zip: Jefferson	ı, MO 65102		
The Agency Is:	☐ Military	☐ Private for Profit	☐ Private not for Profit		
☐ Municipal ☐ County			☐ Federal		
Agency Website with PREA Infor	rmation: www.doc.mo.go	ov			
	Agency Chief Executive Officer				
Name: Anne Precythe, D	irector				
Email: Anne.Precythe@c	doc.mo.gov	Telephone: 573.751.238	39		
Agency-Wide PREA Coordinator					
Name: Darren Snellen					
Email: Darren.Snellen@doc.mo.gov		Telephone: 573.526.641			
PREA Coordinator Reports to: Vincent Rost, Director – Office of Professional Standards		Number of Compliance Manag Coordinator: 27	ers who report to the PREA		

Facility Information				
Name of Facility: Women's	s Eastern Reception, Diagr	nostic and C	orrectional Cer	nter
Physical Address: 1101 Eas	st Hwy 54	City, State, Zi	p: Vandalia, l	MO 63382
Mailing Address (if different fr	om above):	City, State, Zi	p:	
The Facility Is:	☐ Military	☐ Private f	or Profit	☐ Private not for Profit
☐ Municipal	County	⊠ State		☐ Federal
Facility Type:	□ Prison			lail
Facility Website with PREA In	formation: https://doc.mo.go	v/programs/PR	REA	
Has the facility been accredite	d within the past 3 years?	Yes 🗌 No		
If the facility has been accredithe facility has not been accre	ted within the past 3 years, selectived within the past 3 years):	t the accrediting	g organization(s) -	- select all that apply (N/A if
☐ ACA				
□ NCCHC □				
CALEA				
Other (please name or desc	ribe:)			
□ N/A				
If the facility has completed any internal or external audits other than those that resulted in accreditation, please describe: Contract Monitors				
	Warden/Jail Adminis	trator/Sheriff	/Director	
Name: Angela Mesmer				
Email: Angela.Mesmer	Email: Angela.Mesmer@doc.mo.gov			6
Facility PREA Compliance Manager				
Name: Todd Francis		,		
Email: Todd.Francis@	doc.mo.gov	Telephone:	573.594.668	36
Facility Health Service Administrator ☐ N/A				
Name: Danielle Haltern	nan			
Email: Danielle Haltern	nan@doc.mo.gov	Telephone:	573.594.6686	3
Facility Characteristics				
Designated Facility Capacity:			1560	
Current Population of Facility:			652	

Average daily population for the past 12 months:		590		
Has the facility been over capacity at any point in the past 12 months?		☐ Yes ☒ No		
Which population(s) does the facility hold?		⊠ Females ☐ Mal	es 🗆 E	Both Females and Males
Age range of population:		18 - 80		
Average length of stay or time under supervision:				
Facility security levels/inmate custody levels:		C1 – C5 / Mir	nimum – N	Medium
Number of inmates admitted to facility during the past	12 mont	hs:	4369	
Number of inmates admitted to facility during the past in the facility was for 72 hours or more:	12 mont	hs whose length of stay	4366	
Number of inmates admitted to facility during the past in the facility was for <i>30 days or more:</i>	12 mont	hs whose length of stay	3190	
Does the facility hold youthful inmates?		⊠ Yes □ No		
Number of youthful inmates held in the facility during t facility never holds youthful inmates)	the past	12 months: (N/A if the	□ N/A	0
Does the audited facility hold inmates for one or more other agencies (e.g. a State correctional agency, U.S. Marshals Service, Bureau of Prisons, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement)?		Yes	⊠ No	
□ Federal Bureau of Prisons □ U.S. Marshals Service □ U.S. Immigration and Customs □ Bureau of Indian Affairs □ U.S. Military branch □ State or Territorial correctional or agency or agencies): □ Judicial district correctional or city jail) □ Private corrections or detention □ Other - please name or describe		agency on agency detention factor or detention factor on provider	ility	
Number of staff currently employed by the facility who may have contact with inmates:			294	
Number of staff hired by the facility during the past 12 months who may have contact with inmates:			48	
Number of contracts in the past 12 months for services with contractors who may have contact with inmates:			3	
Number of individual contractors who have contact with inmates, currently authorized to enter the facility:			79	

Number of volunteers who have contact with inmates, currently authorized to enter the facility:			66	
Physica	al Plant			
Number of buildings:				
Auditors should count all buildings that are part of the facility, whether inmates are formally allowed to enter them or not. In situations where temporary structures have been erected (e.g., tents) the auditor should use their discretion to determine whether to include the structure in the overall count of buildings. As a general rule, if a temporary structure is regularly or routinely used to hold or house inmates, or if the temporary structure is used to house or support operational functions for more than a short period of time (e.g., an emergency situation), it should be included in the overall count of buildings.			10	
Number of inmate housing units:				
Enter 0 if the facility does not have discrete housing units. DOJ PREA Working Group FAQ on the definition of a housing unit: How is a "housing unit" defined for the purposes of the PREA Standards? The question has been raised in particular as it relates to facilities that have adjacent or interconnected units. The most common concept of a housing unit is architectural. The generally agreed-upon definition is a space that is enclosed by physical barriers accessed through one or more doors of various types, including commercial-grade swing doors, steel sliding doors, interlocking sally port doors, etc. In addition to the primary entrance and exit, additional doors are often included to meet life safety codes. The unit contains sleeping space, sanitary facilities (including toilets, lavatories, and showers), and a dayroom or leisure space in differing configurations. Many facilities are designed with modules or pods clustered around a control room. This multiple-pod design provides the facility with certain staff efficiencies and economies of scale. At the same time, the design affords the flexibility to separately house inmates of differing security levels, or who are grouped by some other operational or service scheme. Generally, the control room is enclosed by security glass, and in some cases, this allows inmates to see into neighboring pods. However, observation from one unit to another is usually limited by angled site lines. In some cases, the facility has prevented this entirely by installing one-way glass. Both the architectural design and functional use of these multiple pods indicate that they are managed as distinct housing units.			7	
Number of single cell housing units:			2	
Number of multiple occupancy cell housing units:			7	
Number of open bay/dorm housing units:			1	
Number of segregation cells (for example, administrative, disciplinary, protective custody, etc.):			105	
In housing units, does the facility maintain sight and sound separation between youthful inmates and adult inmates? (N/A if the facility never holds youthful inmates)		⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A
Does the facility have a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology (e.g. cameras, etc.)?		⊠ Yes	□ No	
Has the facility installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology in the past 12 months?		☐ Yes	⊠ No	
Medical and Mental Health Service	Medical and Mental Health Services and Forensic Medical Exams			
Are medical services provided on-site?	⊠ Yes □ No			

Are mental health services provided on-site?	⊠ Yes □ No		
Where are sexual assault forensic medical exams prov Select all that apply.	Rape Crisis Center Other		
	Investigations		
Cri	minal Investigations		
Number of investigators employed by the agency and/or facility who are responsible for conducting CRIMINAL investigations into allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment:		10	
When the facility received allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment (whether staff-on-inmate or inmate-on-inmate), CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS are conducted by: Select all that apply.		☐ Facility investigators☐ Agency investigators☐ An external investigative entity	
Select all external entities responsible for CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS: Select all that apply (N/A if no external entities are responsible for criminal investigations)	/ESTIGATIONS: Select all that apply (N/A if no ernal entities are responsible for criminal		
Administrative Investigations			
Number of investigators employed by the agency and/of for conducting ADMINISTRATIVE investigations into a sexual harassment?		1	
When the facility receives allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment (whether staff-on-inmate or inmate-on-inmate), ADMINISTRATIVE INVESTIGATIONS are conducted by: Select all that apply		☐ Facility investigators☐ Agency investigators☐ An external investigative entity	
Select all external entities responsible for ADMINISTRATIVE INVESTIGATIONS: Select all that apply (N/A if no external entities are responsible for administrative investigations)	Local police department Local sheriff's department State police A U.S. Department of Justice of Other (please name or describ		
	⊠ N/A		

Summary of Audit Findings

The summary should include the number and list of standards exceeded, number of standards met, and number and list of standards not met.

Auditor Note: No standard should be found to be "Not Applicable" or "NA". A compliance determination must be made for each standard.

Standards Exceeded

Number of Standards Exceeded: 2

List of Standards Exceeded: 115.65; 115.71

Standards Met

Number of Standards Met: 43

Standards Not Met

Number of Standards Not Met: 0 List of Standards Not Met: N/A

Post-Audit Reporting Information

General Audit Information				
Onsite Audit Dates				
Start date of the onsite portion of the audit:	June 9, 2022			
2. End date of the onsite portion of the audit:	June 10, 2022			
Outr	each			
3. Did you attempt to communicate with community-based organization(s) or victim advocates who provide services to this facility and/or who may have insight into relevant conditions in the facility?	⊠ Yes □ No			
 a. If yes, identify the community-based organizations or victim advocates with whom you corresponded: 	Audrain County Crisis Intervention Services			
Audited Facili	ty Information			
4. Designated Facility Capacity:	1560			
5. Average daily population for the past 12 months:	652			
6. Number of inmate/resident/detainee housing units: DOJ PREA Working Group FAQ on the definition of a housing unit: How is a "housing unit" defined for the purposes of the PREA Standards? The question has been raised in particular as it relates to facilities that have adjacent or interconnected units. The most common concept of a housing unit is architectural. The generally agreed-upon definition is a space that is enclosed by physical barriers accessed through one or more doors of various types, including commercial-grade swing doors, steel sliding doors, interlocking sally port doors, etc. In addition to the primary entrance and exit, additional doors are often included to meet life safety codes. The unit contains sleeping space, sanitary facilities (including toilets, lavatories, and showers), and a dayroom or leisure space in differing configurations. Many facilities are designed with modules or pods clustered around a control room. This multiple-pod design provides the facility with certain staff efficiencies and economies of scale. At the same time, the design affords the flexibility to separately house inmates of differing security levels, or who are grouped by some other operational or service scheme. Generally, the control room is enclosed by security glass, and in some cases, this allows residents to see into neighboring pods. However, observation from one unit to another is usually limited by angled site lines. In some cases, the facility has prevented this entirely by installing one-way glass. Both the architectural design and functional use of these multiple pods indicate that they are managed as distinct housing units.	7			
7. Does the facility ever hold youthful inmates or youthful/juvenile detainees?	Yes No N/A for the facility type audited (i.e., Community Confinement Facility or Juvenile Facility)			

Audited Facility Population on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit				
Inmates/Residents/Detainees				
Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees housed at the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	629			
9. Enter the total number of youthful inmates or youthful/juvenile detainees housed at the facility on the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0			
10. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees with a physical disability housed at the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0			
11. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees with a cognitive or functional disability (including intellectual disability, psychiatric disability, or speech disability) housed at the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	272			
12. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Blind or have low vision (visually impaired) housed at the facility on the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0			
13. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Deaf or hard-of-hearing housed at the facility on the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0			
14. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Limited English Proficient (LEP) housed at the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0			
15. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who identify as lesbian, gay, or bisexual housed at the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	46			
16. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who identify as transgender, or intersex housed at the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	3			
17. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who reported sexual abuse in this facility who are housed at the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	1			
18. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who reported sexual harassment in this facility who are housed at the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0			
19. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who disclosed prior sexual victimization during risk screening housed at the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	163			
20. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are or were ever placed in segregated housing/isolation for risk of sexual victimization housed at the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0			
21. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are or were ever placed in segregated housing/isolation for having reported sexual abuse in this facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0			
22. Enter the total number of inmates/residents detained solely for civil immigration purposes housed at the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0			

23.	Provide any additional comments regarding the population characteristics of inmates/residents/detainees in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit (e.g., groups not tracked, issues with identifying certain populations). Note: as this text will be included in the audit report, please do not include any personally identifiable information or other information that could compromise the confidentiality of any persons in the facility.	Zero responses – The facility and the medical contract provider (Centurion) confirmed there were no offenders with physical, hearing, or vision impairments at the time of the on-site. The facility also provided documentation showing no youthful offenders housed in the facility during the auditing period.	
	Staff, Volunteers, Include all full- and part-time staff employed by the facility, rega		
24.	Enter the total number of STAFF, including both full- and part-time staff employed by the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	307	
25.	Enter the total number of CONTRACTORS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who have contact with inmates/residents/detainees:	91	
	Enter the total number of VOLUNTEERS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who have contact with inmates/residents/detainees:	84	
27.	Provide any additional comments regarding the population characteristics of staff, volunteers, and contractors who were in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit.		
	Note: as this text will be included in the audit report, please do not include any personally identifiable information or other information that could compromise the confidentiality of any persons in the facility.		
	Interv	views	
	Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews		
	Random Inmate/Reside	ent/Detainee Interviews	
28.	Enter the total number of RANDOM INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who were interviewed:	64	
29.	Select which characteristics you considered when you selected random inmate/resident/detainee interviewees:	 Age Race Ethnicity (e.g., Hispanic, Non-Hispanic) Length of time in the facility Housing assignment Gender Other (describe) None (explain) 	
30.	How did you ensure your sample of random inmate/resident/detainee interviewees was geographically diverse?	The Auditor was provided with offender rosters which also indicated the offenders age, race, ethnicity, housing assignment, reception date, end of sentence date, program assignment, classification status, and custody levels. The	

		characteristics allowed for the random selection to be geographically diverse.
31.	Were you able to conduct the minimum number of random inmate/resident/detainee interviews?	⊠ Yes □ No
	a. If no, explain why it was not possible to interview the minimum number of random inmate/resident/detainee interviews:	
32.	Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing random inmates/residents/detainees (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, barriers to ensuring representation, etc.). Note: as this text will be included in the audit report, please do not include any personally identifiable information or other information that could compromise the confidentiality of any persons in the facility.	Throughout the facility tour, the Auditor conducted forty-two informal random interviews with offenders. Informal interview questions included: length of time at facility, Did the offender receive PREA education upon arrival and did offender receive comprehensive education, Do you know the PREA reporting methods, Is the number of security staff visible today normal, any concerns for offender safety. The total of informal interviews is included in the total number of random offender interviews (Q – 28); Formal – 22, Informal – 42
	Targeted Inmate/Reside	ent/Detainee Interviews
	INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who were interviewed: As stated in the PREA Auditor Handbook, the breakdown of targeted interviews is intended to guide auditors in interviewing the appropriate cross-section of inmates/residents/detainees who are the most vulnerable to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. When completing questions regarding targeted inmate/resident/detainee interviews below, remember that an interview with one inmate/resident/detainee may satisfy multiple targeted interview requirements. These questions are asking about the number of interviews conducted using the targeted inmate/resident/detainee protocols. For example, if an auditor interviews an inmate who has a physical disability, is being held in segregated housing due to risk of sexual victimization, and disclosed prior sexual victimization, that interview would be included in the totals for each of those questions. Therefore, in most cases, the sum of all the following responses to the targeted inmate/resident/detainee interview categories will exceed the total number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees who were interviewed. If a particular targeted population is not applicable in the audited facility, enter "0". Enter the total number of interviews conducted with	10
54.	youthful inmates or youthful/juvenile detainees using the	0

 a. If 0, select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category: b. If 0, discuss your corroboration strategies to 	Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).	The facility provided documentation no youthful offenders were received during the auditing period.
35. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees with a physical disability using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:	0
If 0, select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:	Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
 b. If 0, discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees). 	The facility and the medical contract provider (Centurion) confirmed there were no offenders with physical impairments at the time of the onsite.
36. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees with a cognitive or functional disability (including intellectual disability, psychiatric disability, or speech disability) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:	1
If 0, select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:	 ☐ Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. ☐ The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
 b. If 0, discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees). 	
37. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are Blind or have low vision (visually impaired) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:	0
If 0, select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:	Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.

	det fac PA dis	o, discuss your corroboration strategies to termine if this population exists in the audited cility (e.g., based on information obtained from the Q; documentation reviewed onsite; and scussions with staff and other mates/residents/detainees).	The facility and the medical contract provider (Centurion) confirmed there were no offenders with vision impairments at the time of the on-site.
38.	inmates hearing	he total number of interviews conducted with s/residents/detainees who are Deaf or hard-ofgusing the "Disabled and Limited English ent Inmates" protocol:	0
	the), select why you were unable to conduct at least e minimum required number of targeted nates/residents/detainees in this category:	Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
	det fac PA dis	D, discuss your corroboration strategies to termine if this population exists in the audited cility (e.g., based on information obtained from the Q; documentation reviewed onsite; and scussions with staff and other mates/residents/detainees).	The facility and the medical contract provider (Centurion) confirmed there were no offenders with hearing impairments at the time of the onsite.
39.	inmates Proficie	he total number of interviews conducted with s/residents/detainees who are Limited English ent (LEP) using the "Disabled and Limited English ent Inmates" protocol:	0
	the), select why you were unable to conduct at least e minimum required number of targeted nates/residents/detainees in this category:	 ☐ Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. ☐ The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
	der fac PA dis	D, discuss your corroboration strategies to termine if this population exists in the audited cility (e.g., based on information obtained from the Q; documentation reviewed onsite; and secussions with staff and other mates/residents/detainees).	The facility and the medical contract provider (Centurion) confirmed there were no LEP offenders at the time of the on-site.
40.	inmates or bise	he total number of interviews conducted with s/residents/detainees who identify as lesbian, gay, xual using the "Transgender and Intersex Inmates; esbian, and Bisexual Inmates" protocol:	2
	the), select why you were unable to conduct at least e minimum required number of targeted nates/residents/detainees in this category:	Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
	der fac PA dis	D, discuss your corroboration strategies to termine if this population exists in the audited cility (e.g., based on information obtained from the Q; documentation reviewed onsite; and scussions with staff and other mates/residents/detainees).	

41.	Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who identify as transgender or intersex "Transgender and Intersex Inmates; Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Inmates" protocol:	2
	If 0, select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:	Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
	 b. If 0, discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees). 	
42.	Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who reported sexual abuse in this facility using the "Inmates who Reported a Sexual Abuse" protocol:	3
	If 0, select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:	 ☐ Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. ☐ The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
	b. If 0, discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).	
43.	Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who disclosed prior sexual victimization during risk screening using the "Inmates who Disclosed Sexual Victimization during Risk Screening" protocol:	2
	If 0, select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:	 ☐ Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. ☐ The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
	b. If 0, discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).	
44.	Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are or were ever placed in segregated housing/isolation for risk of sexual victimization using the "Inmates Placed in Segregated Housing (for Risk of Sexual Victimization/Who Alleged to have Suffered Sexual Abuse)" protocol:	0
	a. If 0, select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:	Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.

		The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
	b. If 0, discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).	Facility provided documentation no offenders were placed in seg housing for risk of SA or offenders who alleged SA during the auditing period; the Auditor's review of files during the onsite, and interviews with staff supported the documentation.
45.	Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing random inmates/residents/detainees (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, barriers to ensuring representation, etc.). Note: as this text will be included in the audit report, please do not include any personally identifiable information or other information that could compromise the confidentiality of any persons in the facility.	
	Staff, Volunteer, and	
40		nff Interviews
46.	Enter the total number of RANDOM STAFF who were interviewed:	14
47.	Select which characteristics you considered when you selected RANDOM STAFF interviewees (select all that apply):	 ☑ Length of tenure in the facility ☑ Shift assignment ☑ Work assignment ☑ Rank (or equivalent) ☐ Other (describe) ☐ None (explain)
48.	Were you able to conduct the minimum number of RANDOM STAFF interviews?	⊠ Yes □ No
	If no, select the reasons why you were not able to conduct the minimum number of RANDOM STAFF interviews (select all that apply):	 ☐ Too many staff declined to participate in interviews ☐ Not enough staff employed by the facility to meet the minimum number of random staff interviews (Note: select this option if there were not enough staff employed by the facility or not enough staff employed by the facility to interview for both random and specialized staff roles). ☐ Not enough staff available in the facility during the onsite portion of the audit to meet the minimum number of random staff interviews. ☐ Other (describe)
	b. Describe the steps you took to select additional RANDOM STAFF interviewees and why you were still unable to meet the minimum number of random staff interviews:	
49.	Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing random staff (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, etc.).	
	Note: as this text will be included in the audit report, please do not include any personally identifiable information or other information that could compromise the confidentiality of any persons in the facility.	

	0 : " 10 " 11 : 10 : 11 : 1				
	Specialized Staff, Volunteers, and Contractor Interviews Staff in some facilities may be responsible for more than one of the specialized staff duties. Therefore, more than one interview				
	Staff in some facilities may be responsible for more than one of the specialized staff duties. Therefore, more than one interview protocol may apply to an interview with a single staff member and that interview would satisfy multiple specialized staff interview				
	requirements.				
50.	Enter the total number of staff in a SPECIALIZED STAFF role who were interviewed (excluding volunteers and	23			
	contractors):	23			
51.	Were you able to interview the Agency Head?	⊠ Yes □ No			
	a. If no, explain why it was not possible to interview the Agency Head:				
52.	Were you able to interview the Warden/Facility Director/Superintendent or their designee?	⊠ Yes □ No			
	 If no, explain why it was not possible to interview the Warden/Facility Director/Superintendent or their designee: 				
53.	Were you able to interview the PREA Coordinator?	⊠ Yes □ No			
	a. If no, explain why it was not possible to interview the PREA Coordinator:				
		⊠ Yes □ No			
54.	Were you able to interview the PREA Compliance	☐ N/A (N/A if the agency is a single facility agency or is			
	Manager?	otherwise not required to have a PREA Compliance Manager per			
		the Standards)			
	a. If no, explain why it was not possible to interview the PREA Compliance Manager:				
		Agency contract administrator			
		☐ Intermediate or higher-level facility staff responsible for			
		conducting and documenting unannounced rounds to identify			
		and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment			
		Line staff who supervise youthful inmates (if applicable)			
		⊠ Education and program staff who work with youthful inmates (if applicable)			
		Medical staff			
		Mental health staff			
		☐ Non-medical staff involved in cross-gender strip or visual			
55	Select which SPECIALIZED STAFF roles were	searches			
JJ.	interviewed as part of this audit (select all that apply):	Administrative (human resources) staff			
		Sexual Assault Forensic Examiner (SAFE) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) staff			
		Investigative staff responsible for conducting administrative investigations			
		Investigative staff responsible for conducting criminal investigations			
		Staff who perform screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness			
		Staff who supervise inmates in segregated housing/residents			
		in isolation			
		Staff on the sexual abuse incident review team			
		Designated staff member charged with monitoring retaliation			

	☐ First responders, both security and non-security staff			
	Other (describe)			
56. Did you interview VOLUNTEERS who may have contact with inmates/residents/detainees in this facility?	☐ Yes ☒ No			
a. Enter the total number of VOLUNTEERS who were interviewed:	0			
	☐ Education/programming			
b. Select which specialized VOLUNTEER role(s) were	☐ Medical/dental			
interviewed as part of this audit (select all that apply):	☐ Mental health/counseling			
арріу).	Religious			
	☐ Other			
57. Did you interview CONTRACTORS who may have contact with inmates/residents/detainees in this facility?	⊠ Yes □ No			
 Enter the total number of CONTRACTORS who were interviewed: 	2			
	☐ Security/detention			
	☑ Education/programming			
b. Select which specialized CONTRACTOR role(s) were interviewed as part of this audit (select all that	Medical/dental			
apply):	☐ Food service			
	☐ Maintenance/construction			
	☐ Other			
 Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing specialized staff (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, etc.). 	Since the COVID-19 pandemic, the facility has			
Note: as this text will be included in the audit report, please do not include any personally identifiable information or other information that could compromise the confidentiality of any persons in the facility.	encountered a lower-than-normal number of volunteers available and/or entering the facility.			
Site Review and Doc	umentation Sampling			
Site R	eview			
PREA Standard 115.401(h) states, "The auditor shall have access to, and shall observe, all areas of the audited facilities." In order to meet the requirements in this Standard, the site review portion of the onsite audit must include a thorough examination of the entire facility. The site review is not a casual tour of the facility. It is an active, inquiring process that includes talking with staff and inmates to determine whether, and the extent to which, the audited facility's practices demonstrate compliance with the Standards. Note: discussions related to testing critical functions are expected to be included in the relevant Standard-specific overall determination narratives.				
59. Did you have access to all areas of the facility?	⊠ Yes □ No			
 If no, explain what areas of the facility you were unable to access and why. 				
Was the site review an active, inquiring	process that included the following:			
60. Reviewing/examining all areas of the facility in accordance with the site review component of the audit instrument?	⊠ Yes □ No			

	a. If no, explain why the site review did not include reviewing/examining all areas of the facility.	
61.	Testing and/or observing all critical functions in the facility in accordance with the site review component of the audit instrument (e.g., intake process, risk screening process, PREA education)?	⊠ Yes □ No
	 If no, explain why the site review did not include testing and/or observing all critical functions in the facility. 	
62.	Informal conversations with inmates/residents/detainees during the site review (encouraged, not required)?	⊠ Yes □ No
63.	Informal conversations with staff during the site review (encouraged, not required)?	⊠ Yes □ No
64.	Provide any additional comments regarding the site review (e.g., access to areas in the facility, observations, tests of critical functions, or informal conversations).	
	Note: as this text will be included in the audit report, please do not include any personally identifiable information or other information that could compromise the confidentiality of any persons in the facility.	
	Documentati	on Sampling
		ntractor, and volunteer training records; background check records; becords; inmate education records; medical files; and investigative representative sample of each type of record.
	supervisory rounds logs; risk screening and intake processing re	cords; inmate education records; medical files; and investigative
	supervisory rounds logs; risk screening and intake processing refiles—auditors must self-select for review a r In addition to the proof documentation selected by the agency or facility and provided to you, did you also	ecords; inmate education records; medical files; and investigative representative sample of each type of record.
65.	In addition to the proof documentation selected by the agency or facility and provided to you, did you also conduct an auditor-selected sampling of documentation? Provide any additional comments regarding selecting additional documentation (e.g., any documentation you oversampled, barriers to selecting additional documentation, etc.). Note: as this text will be included in the audit report, please do not include any personally identifiable information or other information that could compromise the confidentiality of any	ecords; inmate education records; medical files; and investigative representative sample of each type of record. Yes No
65.	In addition to the proof documentation selected by the agency or facility and provided to you, did you also conduct an auditor-selected sampling of documentation? Provide any additional comments regarding selecting additional documentation (e.g., any documentation you oversampled, barriers to selecting additional documentation, etc.). Note: as this text will be included in the audit report, please do not include any personally identifiable information or other	records; inmate education records; medical files; and investigative representative sample of each type of record. Yes No The following documents were reviewed: Offender Intake PREA Acknowledgement, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment Acknowledgement, & the Comprehensive PREA Class Rosters from the files of thirty-one random offenders. Initial risk assessment and reassessments from twenty-six random
65.	In addition to the proof documentation selected by the agency or facility and provided to you, did you also conduct an auditor-selected sampling of documentation? Provide any additional comments regarding selecting additional documentation (e.g., any documentation you oversampled, barriers to selecting additional documentation, etc.). Note: as this text will be included in the audit report, please do not include any personally identifiable information or other information that could compromise the confidentiality of any persons in the facility.	The following documents were reviewed: Offender Intake PREA Acknowledgement, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment Acknowledgement, & the Comprehensive PREA Class Rosters from the files of thirty-one random offenders. Initial risk assessment and reassessments from twenty-six random offenders. Background checks (14) performed during the auditing period for security staff, volunteers, and
65.	In addition to the proof documentation selected by the agency or facility and provided to you, did you also conduct an auditor-selected sampling of documentation? Provide any additional comments regarding selecting additional documentation (e.g., any documentation you oversampled, barriers to selecting additional documentation, etc.). Note: as this text will be included in the audit report, please do not include any personally identifiable information or other information that could compromise the confidentiality of any persons in the facility.	The following documents were reviewed: Offender Intake PREA Acknowledgement, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment Acknowledgement, & the Comprehensive PREA Class Rosters from the files of thirty-one random offenders. Initial risk assessment and reassessments from twenty-six random offenders. Background checks (14) performed during the auditing period for security staff, volunteers, and contract staff.

PREA Audit Report – V7.

Note: For question brevity, we use the term "inmate" in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, or detained sexual abuse allegations and investigations, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

67. Total number of SEXUAL ABUSE allegations and investigations overview during the 12 months preceding the audit, by incident type:

Instructions: If you are unable to provide information for one or more of the fields below, enter an "X" in the field(s) where information cannot be provided.

	# of sexual abuse allegations	# of criminal investigations	# of administrative investigations	# of allegations that had both criminal and administrative investigations
Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse	7	5	2	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse	10	6	4	3
Total	17	11	6	3

a. If you were unable to provide any of the information above, explain why this information could not be provided.

68. Total number of SEXUAL HARASSMENT allegations and investigations overview during the 12 months preceding the audit, by incident type:

Instructions: If you are unable to provide information for one or more of the fields below, enter an "X" in the field(s) where information

cannot be provided.

,	# of sexual harassment allegations	# of criminal investigations	# of administrative investigations	# of allegations that had both criminal and administrative investigations
Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment	2	0	2	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment	1	0	1	0
Total	3	0	3	0

 a. If you were unable to provide any of the information above, explain why this information could not be provided.

Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Investigation Outcomes

Sexual Abuse Investigation Outcomes

Note: these counts should reflect where the investigation is currently (i.e., if a criminal investigation was referred for prosecution and resulted in a conviction, that investigation outcome should only appear in the count for "convicted.") Do not double count. Additionally, for question brevity, we use the term "inmate" in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, and detained sexual abuse investigation files, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

69. Criminal SEXUAL ABUSE investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

Instructions: If you are unable to provide information for one or more of the fields below, enter an "X" in the field(s) where information

cannot be provided.

carriet se previaca.					
	Ongoing	Referred for Prosecution	Indicted/Court Case Filed	Convicted/Adjudicated	Acquitted
Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse	0	0	0	0	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse	4	0	0	0	0
Total	4	0	0	0	0

a.	If you were unable to provide any of the information above, explain why this information could not be provided.	

70. Administrative SEXUAL ABUSE investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

Instructions: If you are unable to provide information for one or more of the fields below, enter an "X" in the field(s) where information

cannot be provided.

	Ongoing	Unfounded	Unsubstantiated	Substantiated
Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse	0	2	0	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse	0	1	0	0
Total	0	3	0	0

 a. If you were unable to provide any of the information above, explain why this information could not be provided.

Sexual Harassment Investigation Outcomes

Note: these counts should reflect where the investigation is currently. Do not double count. Additionally, for question brevity, we use the term "inmate" in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, and detainee sexual harassment investigation files, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

71. Criminal SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

Instructions: If you are unable to provide information for one or more of the fields below, enter an "X" in the field(s) where information cannot be provided.

	Ongoing	Referred for Prosecution	Indicted/Court Case Filed	Convicted/Adjudicated	Acquitted
Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment	0	0	0	0	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0

a. If you were unable to provide any of the information above, explain why this information could not be provided.

72. Administrative SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

Instructions: If you are unable to provide information for one or more of the fields below, enter an "X" in the field(s) where information cannot be provided.

	Ongoing	Unfounded	Unsubstantiated	Substantiated
Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment	0	2	0	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment	0	1	0	0
Total	0	3	0	0

 If you were unable to provide any of the information above, explain why this information could not be provided.

Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Investigation Files Selected for Review					
Sexual Abuse Investigation	Sexual Abuse Investigation Files Selected for Review				
73. Enter the total number of SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled:	20				
 a. If 0, explain why you were unable to review any sexual abuse investigation files: 					
74. Did your selection of SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include a cross-section of criminal and/or administrative investigations by findings/outcomes?	✓ Yes✓ No✓ N/A (N/A if you were unable to review any sexual abuse investigation files)				
Inmate-on-inmate sexual a	buse investigation files				
75. Enter the total number of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled:	7				
76. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include criminal investigations?	✓ Yes ☐ No☐ N/A (N/A if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)				
77. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include administrative investigations?	✓ Yes✓ No✓ N/A (N/A if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)				
Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files					
78. Enter the total number of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled:	10				
79. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include criminal investigations?	✓ Yes✓ No✓ N/A (N/A if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)				
80. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include administrative investigations?	Yes No N/A (N/A if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)				
Sexual Harassment Investiga	tion Files Selected for Review				
81. Enter the total number of SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:	3				
 a. If 0, explain why you were unable to review any sexual harassment investigation files: 					
82. Did your selection of SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include a cross-section of criminal and/or administrative investigations by findings/outcomes?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A (N/A if you were unable to review any sexual harassment investigation files)				
Inmate-on-inmate sexual hara	ssment investigation files				
83. Enter the total number of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:	2				
84. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include criminal investigations?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A (N/A if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)				

85. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include administrative investigations?	 ✓ Yes No N/A (N/A if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files) 			
Staff-on-inmate sexual hara	Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files			
86. Enter the total number of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:	1			
87. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include criminal investigations?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A (N/A if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)			
88. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include administrative investigations?	Yes No N/A (N/A if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)			
89. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting and reviewing sexual abuse and sexual harassment investigation files.				
Note: as this text will be included in the audit report, please do not include any personally identifiable information or other information that could compromise the confidentiality of any persons in the facility.				
Support Staff Information				
DOJ-certified PREA	Auditors Support Staff			
90. Did you receive assistance from any DOJ-CERTIFIED PREA AUDITORS at any point during this audit? Remember: the audit includes all activities from the pre-onsite	☐ Yes ⊠ No			
through the post-onsite phases to the submission of the final report. Make sure you respond accordingly.				
a. If yes, enter the TOTAL NUMBER OF DOJ-CERTIFIED PREA AUDITORS who provided assistance at any point during the audit:				
Non-certified	I Support Staff			
91. Did you receive assistance from any NON-CERTIFIED SUPPORT STAFF at any point during this audit?				
Remember: the audit includes all activities from the pre-onsite through the post-onsite phases to the submission of the final report. Make sure you respond accordingly.	☐ Yes No			
 a. If yes, enter the TOTAL NUMBER OF NON- CERTIFIED SUPPORT STAFF who provided assistance at any point during the audit: 				
Auditing Arrangeme	nts and Compensation			
92. Who paid you to conduct this audit?	 ☐ The audited facility or its parent agency ☐ My state/territory or county government (if you audit as part of a consortium or circular auditing arrangement, select this option) ☐ A third-party auditing entity (e.g., accreditation body, consulting firm) 			

PREVENTION PLANNING

Standard 115.11: Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by The Auditor to Complete the Report

115.11	(a)		
•		he agency have a written policy mandating zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual and sexual harassment? $\ oxtimes$ Yes $\ oxtimes$ No	
•		he written policy outline the agency's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding all abuse and sexual harassment? $\ oxdot \ Yes \ oxdot \ No$	
115.11	(b)		
	Has the	e agency employed or designated an agency-wide PREA Coordinator? ⊠ Yes □ No	
•	Is the F	PREA Coordinator position in the upper-level of the agency hierarchy? $\ oxtimes$ Yes $\ oxtimes$ No	
•	overse	he PREA Coordinator have sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and e agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards in all of its facilities?	
115.11	(c)		
•	If this a	agency operates more than one facility, has each facility designated a PREA compliance er? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA	
•	■ Does the PREA compliance manager have sufficient time and authority to coordinate the facility's efforts to comply with the PREA standards? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.) □ Yes □ No □ NA		
Audito	or Overa	all Compliance Determination	
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)	
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)	
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)	

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's

conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment Missouri Department of Corrections Organizational Chart

Interviews conducted with:

PREA Coordinator

PREA Compliance Manager

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states the department has zero tolerance for all forms of offender sexual abuse, harassment, and retaliation. All department staff members, contractors, or volunteers shall not engage in abuse or sexual harassment of an offender which includes sexual contact with or without the offender's consent.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states the staff members shall communicate professionally with all offenders, including gender non-conforming offenders in accordance with institutional services procedures regarding transgender and intersex offenders.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states all staff members, volunteers, and contractors shall immediately report any knowledge suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility and any knowledge of retaliation against offenders or staff members who reported such an incident and any staff member neglect or violation of responsibilities that contributed to an incident or retaliation in accordance with this procedure.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states offender sexual abuse by a department staff member is a felony and could result in the requirement to register as a sex offender and failure to report offender sexual abuse is a Class A Misdemeanor.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment states to ensure compliance with the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA), the department shall employ a full-time PREA manager

responsible for implementation and oversight of the department's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to offender sexual abuse, harassment, and retaliation. The PREA Coordinator reports directly to the MDC Director, Office of Professional Standards.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment states each facility shall designate a PREA site coordinator who has sufficient time and authority to ensure the facility's compliance with the PREA standards at their assigned facility. The Director of the Division of Adult Institutions shall designate a PREA site coordinator at each facility at the level of Deputy Warden.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* defines PREA Manager as a staff member responsible for the implementation and compliance of PREA within the department.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* defines PREA Site Coordinator as a facility staff member, at the level of Deputy Warden or Associate Superintendent or higher, who is responsible for ensuring compliance of the PREA standards at his assigned facility.

Missouri Department of Corrections utilizes the terms PREA Manager for the position of PREA Coordinator, and PREA Site Coordinator for the position of PREA Compliance Manager. For this report, the Auditor will utilize the terms set forth in the Department of Justice PREA Standards, PREA Coordinator and PREA Compliance Manager.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted an interview with the PREA Coordinator who verified he has sufficient time and authority in his position to accomplish PREA responsibilities for the agency. The PREA Coordinator oversees twenty-seven PREA Compliance Managers. The PREA Coordinator confirmed he reports directly to the MDC Director, Office of Professional Standards. A review of the MDC organizational chart provided evidence that the agency has designated an upper-level position as the PREA Coordinator.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor interviewed the PREA Compliance Manager and verified he has sufficient time and authority in his position to accomplish the PREA responsibilities for Women's Eastern Reception, Diagnostic and Correctional Center (WERDCC). Evidence shows that the Missouri Department of Corrections has designated a facility PREA Compliance Manager for Women's Eastern Reception, Diagnostic and Correctional Center (WERDCC) as verified through a review of the WERDCC organizational chart and through interviews with the PREA Compliance Manager and the Facility Warden.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor interviewed the Facility Warden who confirmed the responsibilities of the PREA Compliance Manager assigned to Women's Eastern Reception, Diagnostic and Correctional Center (WERDCC) and verified he is provided sufficient time and authority in his position to accomplish these responsibilities.

Upon review of the policy and the agency organizational chart and upon completion of interviews conducted with the PREA Coordinator, PREA Compliance Manager, and Facility Warden during the on-site visit, Women's Eastern Reception, Diagnostic and Correctional Center (WERDCC) demonstrated facility-wide practices that are consistent with policy and the requirements that complies with the PREA standard.

Standard 115.12: Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.1	12 ((a)
-------	------	-----

If this agency is public and it contracts for the confinement of its inmates with private agencies or other entities including other government agencies, has the agency included the entity's obligation to comply with the PREA standards in any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of inmates.) ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA

115.12 (b)

Does any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012 provide for agency contract monitoring to ensure that the contractor is complying with the PREA standards? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of inmates.)
☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment

Interviews conducted with:

Agency Contract Administrator

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states all community confinement facilities shall adopt and comply with PREA standards as outlined in their contract with the department. The Chief Administrative Officer (CAO) or designee shall regularly audit community confinement facilities to ensure compliance with the PREA Standards.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted an interview with the Agency Contract

Administrator regarding how contracts are monitored to determine if the contractor complies with the PREA

requirements of the contract. The Agency Contract Administrator explained the contracts include verbiage

related to the vendor's obligation to comply with the PREA Standards prior to entering into agreement with the

agency. The Agency Contract Administrator explained that Missouri Department of Corrections Division of

Probation and Parole currently has two existing contracts for the confinement of offenders. Additionally, these

contracts are monitored by CAO or designee to ensure the compliance of the PREA standards is maintained.

During the on-site, the Auditor reviewed the two existing contracts for confinement of offenders and verified each contract has the required verbiage and the obligation for the contractor to maintain compliance with 28 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 115.

Upon review of the policy and upon completion of the interview with the Agency Contract Administrator, WERDCC demonstrated facility-wide practices that are consistent with policy and the requirements that complies with the PREA standard.

Standard 115.13: Supervision and monitoring

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

1	15.	13	(a)
---	-----	----	-----

•	Does the facility have a documented staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect inmates against sexual abuse? \boxtimes Yes \square No
-	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Generally accepted detention and correctional practices? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any judicial findings of inadequacy? \boxtimes Yes \square No
-	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any findings of inadequacy from Federal investigative agencies? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any findings of inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: All components of the facility's physical plant (including "blind-spots" or areas where staff or inmates may be isolated)? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The composition of the inmate population? \boxtimes Yes \square No
-	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The number and placement of supervisory staff? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The institution programs occurring on a particular shift? \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any applicable State or local laws, regulations, or standards? \boxtimes Yes \square No
-	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any other relevant factors? \boxtimes Yes \square No

 In circumstances where the staffing plan is not complied with, does the facility document and justify all deviations from the plan? (N/A if no deviations from staffing plan.) ☑ Yes □ No □ NA
115.13 (c)
■ In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The staffing plan established pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section? ⊠ Yes □ No
■ In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The facility's deployment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies? ⊠ Yes □ No
• In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The resources the facility has available to commit to ensure adherence to the staffing plan? ⋈ Yes □ No
115.13 (d)
■ Has the facility/agency implemented a policy and practice of having intermediate-level or higher level supervisors conduct and document unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No
• Is this policy and practice implemented for night shifts as well as day shifts? $oximes$ Yes \oximin No
■ Does the facility/agency have a policy prohibiting staff from alerting other staff members that these supervisory rounds are occurring, unless such announcement is related to the legitimate operational functions of the facility? ⊠ Yes □ No
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination
☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

115.13 (b)

Documents:

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure SOP20-1.1, Post Orders

Missouri Department of Corrections Implementation Teams Report

Missouri Department of Corrections WERDCC Staffing Analysis Report

Missouri Department of Corrections WERDCC Daily Shift Summary Report (multiple)

WERDCC Correctional Center Unannounced Supervisor Rounds (all shifts)

Interviews conducted with:

Warden or Designee

PREA Coordinator

PREA Compliance Manager

Intermediate or Higher-Level Facility Staff

On-site Review Observations:

Daily operational functions

Staff interaction with offenders

Offender movement

Supervisory staff conducting rounds

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states the department shall maintain staffing plans for each facility that provides adequate levels of staffing to protect offenders against sexual abuse.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states the staffing plan shall consider the facility's physical plant to include but not limited to blind spots or areas where staff members or offenders may be isolated, the composition of the offender population, and the prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated offender sexual abuse allegations.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states each facility shall comply with the staffing plan on a regular basis, deviations from the staffing plan shall be documented and justified for deviations noted.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted an interview with the Facility Warden and discussed the deviations from the staffing plan. The Facility Warden confirmed that all instances of non-compliance with the staffing plan are documented in the *Daily Shift Summary Report*. If a post is vacant, the non-compliance is also documented via the *Daily Shift Summary Report* and Supervisory staff is responsible for following procedures to ensure adequate staffing for the facility.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states each institution shall ensure the classifications of Lieutenant or above conduct and document unscheduled and unannounced rounds to identify and deter offender sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

- a. Each facility shall ensure that rounds occur periodically in all areas of the facility.
- b. Staff members shall be prohibited from alerting other staff members that these rounds are occurring.
- c. The rounds shall be documented and readily accessible during audits as outlined in the facility's standard operating procedure.

During the pre-on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor reviewed the Missouri Department of Corrections WERDCC Staffing Analysis Report. Upon review of the WERDCC Staffing Analysis Report, the facility, when reviewing staffing requirements and the appropriate numbers of assigned staff, took into consideration the following requirements:

- Generally accepted detention and correctional practices.
- Any judicial findings of inadequacy.
- Any inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies.
- Any findings of inadequacy from Federal investigative agencies.
- All the components of the facility's physical layout (including blind spots).
- Composition of offender population.
- Number of and placement of supervisory staff.
- Institution programs specific to each shift.
- All applicable State or local laws.
- Prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse.
- Any other relevant factors.

The average daily number of offenders on which the facility-staffing plan was predicated on was 781 Security Level / Custody Level Minimum through Maximum custody offenders. The facility had no deviations from the staffing plan in the 12 months prior to the auditing period.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted an interview with the Facility Warden regarding *WERDCC Staffing Analysis Report*. The Facility Warden discussed how the Division of Adult Institutions (DAI) established Correction Officer staffing patterns for all facilities noting minimum staffing for all posts. DAI operates with an overall ratio of one officer to six offenders. Additionally, the Facility Warden explained that the shift relief factors used to calculate staff needs per post are consistent with the National Institute of Corrections suggested methods and that officer posts are established and manned consistent with industry correctional practices, which affords the greatest level of offender supervision.

The Facility Warden also explained that video monitoring is also taken into consideration. WERDCC has 403 video cameras installed throughout the facility that are reviewed on a regular basis. The Facility Warden confirmed that he conducts a quarterly and annually staffing review, which is documented in the Roster Management System (RMS). To ensure compliance with the staffing plan, supervisory staff conduct rounds throughout the facility for visual verification of staff assignments throughout the compound.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted interviews with the PREA Compliance Manager and the PREA Coordinator; both confirmed the process of developing a staffing plan previously described by the Facility Warden. The PREA Coordinator also confirmed that an assessment of the facility-staffing plan is conducted annually, and he is consulted regarding any adjustments to the staffing plan.

During the on-site phase, the auditor reviewed the average daily number of offenders' report, staff shift rosters, facility blueprint, and daily offender activity schedules to verify adequate staff coverage in comparison to offender population, offender movement, and facility size and layout.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure SOP20-1.1, *Post Orders* states the Chief Administrative Officer (CAO) of each institution shall establish a standard for which the chief of custody audits the post sign-in forms verifying the completion of conducted unannounced supervisor rounds.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure SOP20-1.1, *Post Orders* states unannounced rounds conducted and documented periodically on each shift, in all areas of the facility, by supervisors of an intermediate level or higher (Lieutenant or above) to deter offender sexual abuse and sexual harassment by staff members. Post orders shall require supervisors to record said rounds on the staff member sign-in form.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor toured the facility and observed the daily operational functions, staff interacting with offenders, general offender movement, offenders out at recreation, offenders participating in programs, offenders completing job assignments, and supervisory staff conducting rounds.

Throughout the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted informal and formal interviews with offenders and inquired to each, if the number of staff present throughout the facility, is an adequate representation every day and if staff members are readily available if needed. All offenders interviewed both formally and informally, confirmed the presence of staff within each housing area as well as throughout the compound. Offenders also confirmed to the Auditor that for each housing area, there are multiple security staff as well as classification and unit program staff assigned. The Auditor's observations throughout the facility tour, combined with information accumulated through the interviews with offenders, provided additional verification of policy and of standard compliance.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure SOP20-1.1, *Post Orders* states the Chief Administrative Officer (CAO) of each institution shall ensure all staff member post orders include a general order prohibiting staff members from alerting each other that unannounced supervisor rounds are occurring, unless such announcement is related to legitimate operational functions of the facility.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor reviewed twenty-seven supervisor entries documenting the supervisor unannounced rounds, which provided documentation the unannounced rounds are being conducted and documented in accordance with department policy and the PREA Standard. The sample of supervisor unannounced rounds reviewed covered several days in each month of the twelve-month auditing period and were from every shift. In the samples reviewed, the Auditor did not find any consistent patterns or inadequacies.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted supervisory level staff interviews and inquired how unannounced rounds are completed without staff knowledge. Supervisory level staff indicated this is completed by observing staff movement, monitoring radio transmissions, alternating movement patterns or being unpredictable with times or walking pattern and listening to staff conversations while conducting rounds throughout the facility.

Upon review of the policies and documentation provided and upon completion of interviews conducted with the Facility Warden, PREA Coordinator, PREA Compliance Manager, and Intermediate or Upper-level Supervisory

PREA Audit Report – V7.

Page 32 of 179

Facility Name – WERDCC

Staff during the on-site visit, WERDCC demonstrated facility-wide practices that are consistent with policy and the requirements that complies with the PREA standard.

Standard 115.14: Youthful inmates

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report
115.14 (a)
■ Does the facility place all youthful inmates in housing units that separate them from sight, sound, and physical contact with any adult inmates through use of a shared dayroom or other common space, shower area, or sleeping quarters? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].) Yes □ No □ NA
115.14 (b)
• In areas outside of housing units does the agency maintain sight and sound separation between youthful inmates and adult inmates? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].) ☑ Yes □ No □ NA
• In areas outside of housing units does the agency provide direct staff supervision when youthful inmates and adult inmates have sight, sound, or physical contact? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].) ⋈ Yes □ No □ NA
115.14 (c)
 Does the agency make its best efforts to avoid placing youthful inmates in isolation to comply with this provision? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].) ☑ Yes □ No □ NA
■ Does the agency, while complying with this provision, allow youthful inmates daily large-muscle exercise and legally required special education services, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].) ☑ Yes □ No □ NA
 Do youthful inmates have access to other programs and work opportunities to the extent possible? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].) ☑ Yes □ No □ NA
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination
☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

 \boxtimes

standard for the relevant review period)

Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the

	Does Not Meet Star	Standard (Requires Corrective Action)		
Instructions	for Overall Complian	nce Determination Narrative		

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure IS5-3.1, *Offender Housing Assignments* WERDCC Offender Population Reports

Interviews conducted with:

Facility Warden

PREA Compliance Manager

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure IS5-3.1, *Offender Housing Assignments* defines a youthful offender as an offender under the age of 18 who has been adjudicated as an adult by the courts and sentenced to the department.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states youthful offender shall not be placed in a housing unit in which he shall have sight, sound, or physical contact with any adult offender through use of a shared dayroom or other common space, shower area, or sleeping quarters. Staff members shall avoid placing youthful offenders in isolation to comply with this provision. If sight and sound separation is not possible, staff members shall provide direct supervision. Staff members shall provide direct supervision when youth and offenders may have unavoidable contact.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states general population youthful offenders shall be housed separate from offenders 18 years and older in accordance with the institution's standard operating procedure for the offender housing assignments.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states youthful offenders who are placed in segregated housing, assigned to disciplinary segregation, or to the infirmary shall only be housed with another youthful offender or in a single cell in accordance with the institutional services

procedure regarding administrative segregation confinement. To the extent possible, youthful offenders shall have access to work, programs, and/or activities in accordance with department and institutional services procedures. The facility provided the Auditor with documentation verifying no youthful offenders were received during the auditing period. This was verified during interviews with the Facility Warden, PREA Compliance Manager, and Classification Staff. Upon review of the policy and upon completion of the interviews with facility staff, WERDCC demonstrated facility-wide practices that are consistent with policy and the requirements that complies with the PREA standard. Standard 115.15: Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report 115.15 (a) Does the facility always refrain from conducting any cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches, except in exigent circumstances or by medical practitioners? ⊠ Yes □ No 115.15 (b) Does the facility always refrain from conducting cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA Does the facility always refrain from restricting female inmates' access to regularly available programming or other out-of-cell opportunities in order to comply with this provision? (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA 115.15 (c) Does the facility document all cross-gender strip searches and cross-gender visual body cavity Does the facility document all cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates? (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA

115.15 (d)

netru	otions f	for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
Audito	or Over	all Compliance Determination
•	Does t	he facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct searches of transgender and ex inmates in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner le, consistent with security needs? Yes No
•	in a pro	he facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct cross-gender pat down searches ofessional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent
115.15	(f)	
•	conver informa	mate's genital status is unknown, does the facility determine genital status during reations with the inmate, by reviewing medical records, or, if necessary, by learning that ation as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical oner? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•		he facility always refrain from searching or physically examining transgender or intersex as for the sole purpose of determining the inmate's genital status? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.15	i (e)	
•		he facility require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering rate housing unit? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No
•	change or gen	he facility have procedures that enables inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and e clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, italia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell $s? \boxtimes Yes \square No$
•	change or gen	he facility have policies that enables inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and e clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, italia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell $S? \boxtimes Yes \square No$

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does

not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment

Missouri Department of Corrections IS20-1.3, Searches

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure WERDCC 20-1.1, Post Orders

Missouri Department of Corrections WERDCC PREA Training Curriculum / Records

Interviews conducted with:

Random sample of Offenders

Transgender/Intersex Offenders

On-site Review Observations:

Daily operational functions

Staff interaction with offenders

Offender movement

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states crossgender strip searches are not allowed except in exigent circumstances. All cross-gender strip searches shall be documented as outlined in the institutional services and probation and parole procedures regarding searches.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure IS20-1.3, *Searches* states strip searches shall be conducted by staff of the same gender as the subject of the search, except in exigent circumstances. Exigent circumstances include:

- a. time delaying a search could allow for the destruction of evidence.
- b. escape of an offender.
- c. endangerment of life, health or property of staff members, offenders, or the public; and
- d. emergency movement situations (i.e., crime scene where evacuation of offenders needs to occur immediately and/or a check for weapons.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure IS20-1.3, *Searches* states upon request, offenders who identify as transgender or intersex, will be provided privacy from other offenders when being strip searched. Staff members shall document a cross-gender strip search on the cross-gender search form.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure IS20-1.3, *Searches* states the shift supervisor shall make all applicable notifications in accordance with standard operating procedures and forward the cross-gender search form to the PREA Compliance Manager and include a copy to the use of force packet if applicable. The PREA Compliance Manager shall review the cross-gender search form. If it is determined the search was conducted under non-exigent circumstances, it shall be referred for review and action as deemed appropriate.

Documentation provided by the facility indicated there were no cross-gender strip searches or cross-gender visual body cavity searches conducted during the last 12 months. During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted an interview with the Facility Warden and the PREA Compliance Manager and verified that no cross-gender strip searches or cross-gender visual body cavity searches were conducted.

During the pre-on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor reviewed the provided documentation to include shift schedules, shift rosters, and daily offender activity schedule. During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor compared the information reviewed with her observations made during the facility tour and noted the number of female staff members is more than adequate and covers all shifts.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor observed the facility operations throughout the day, to include continuous offender movement throughout the facility, continuous physical interactions between staff and offenders, and offenders performing job assignments throughout the facility and within the compound grounds. The Auditor also observed opposite gender announcements being conducted throughout the facility tour.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment states offenders will not be supervised by officer of the opposite gender while offenders are showering or in the toilet areas unless appropriate privacy screening is provided to obscure from view the breasts of female offenders and the genitalia and buttocks of both male and female offenders. Offenders will be required to cover themselves appropriately in accordance with local rules and requirements when not in the shower or not utilizing toilets and while in route to and from these areas.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment and the Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure WERDCC 20-1.1, Post Orders states staff members of the opposite gender shall announce their presence prior to entering an offenders housing unit. If an opposite gendered staff member

is assigned to the housing unit, the announcement shall be made at the beginning of the shift. at the beginning of each shift that they will be present in the housing unit at any time during the shift. This announcement will be documented on the housing unit chronological log.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment states each time a cross gender announcement is made it shall be recorded in the housing unit chronological log. If a circumstance arises to where a cross gender announcement could comprise the safety, security, and good order of the facility, the shift supervisor may declare the circumstances to be exigent and grant the authority to waive the announcement. All exigent circumstances shall be documented by the shift supervisor in the chronological log.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states to notify hearing impaired offenders of cross gender staff in the housing unit, all housing units shall display a sign indicating when a cross gender staff member is present.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor requested interviews with a random representation of offenders. All offender interviews were conducted with the guidance of the National PREA Resource Center, *PREA Compliance Audit Instrument - Interview Guide for Offenders*. Offenders from every housing unit and of various diversities were interviewed. Twenty-two random offender interviews were completed, and all twenty-two offenders confirmed they have privacy while showering, changing clothes, or using the bathroom facilities. Additionally, all twenty-two offenders interviewed confirmed staff of the opposite gender announce their presence prior to entering the housing unit.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted a review of chronological logs and observed entries indicating opposite gender entering housing dormitory with notification to offenders being announced prior to opposite gender entry. The sample of chronological logs reviewed covered several days throughout various months selected by the Auditor and were from all shifts. The Auditor also observed the announcement of an opposite gender entering a housing dormitory throughout the on-site tour of the facility, which provided additional documentation and the facility's compliance with this standard.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states staff members shall not perform strip or pat-down searches or conduct a physical examination for the sole purpose of determining an offender's genital status in accordance with the institutional services procedures regarding searches, reception, and orientation, and receiving screening intake center.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure IS20-1.3, *Searches* states no staff member shall perform a strip or thorough pat search for the sole purpose of determining an offender's gender.

During the pre-on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor reviewed documentation provided by the facility showed the facility has had zero searches of a transgender or intersex for the sole purpose of determining the offender's genital status.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor requested an offender roster for transgender or intersex offenders to conduct targeted interviews. The Auditor conducted separate interviews with two transgender offenders and inquired if there was any reason to believe the transgender offender was strip-searched for the sole purpose of determining genital status; both transgender offenders responded no and indicated that staff members communicated extremely well during the intake process and each offender denied being strip-searched for the sole purpose of determining the offender's genital status.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states staff members shall be trained on how to conduct cross-gender pat-down searches and searches of transgender and intersex offenders in a professional and respectful manner and in the least intrusive manner possible consistent with security needs.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor reviewed the training records and training curriculum provided to staff members who may have contact with offenders, how to perform cross-gender pat-down searches and searches of transgender and intersex offenders. Training records indicated staff members receive training on the agency's PREA policies and how to perform cross-gender pat-down searches annually. The training curriculum outlined the agency's policy on cross-gender pat-down searches and searches of transgender and intersex offenders, policy prohibiting search of offenders for the sole purpose of determining the offender's genital status, defining exigent circumstances, and conducting searches in a professional and respectful manner.

Upon review of staff training records and training curriculum, observations during the on-site visit, and information obtained during random staff interviews conducted during the on-site phase, WERDCC demonstrated facility-wide practices that are consistent with policy and with the requirements that complies with the PREA standard.

Standard 115.16: Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

11	5.	16	(a)
----	----	----	-----

•	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are blind or have low vision? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have intellectual disabilities? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have psychiatric disabilities? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have speech disabilities? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Other (if "other," please explain in overall determination notes)? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Do such steps include, when necessary, ensuring effective communication with inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Do such steps include, when necessary, providing access to interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have intellectual disabilities? \boxtimes Yes \square No

•	ensure e	e agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have eading skills? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	ensure e	e agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Are blind or v vision? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.16	(b)	
•	agency's	e agency take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to all aspects of the s efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment to who are limited English proficient? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•		e steps include providing interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and lly, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary?
115.16	(c)	
• Audito	types of obtaining respons	e agency always refrain from relying on inmate interpreters, inmate readers, or other inmate assistance except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in g an effective interpreter could compromise the inmate's safety, the performance of firsted duties under §115.64, or the investigation of the inmate's allegations? Yes No Il Compliance Determination
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
		Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
Instruc	ctions fo	or Overall Compliance Determination Narrative
complia conclus not me	ance or ne sions. Thi et the sta	elow must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the on-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's is discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does ndard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by pecific corrective actions taken by the facility.
Docum	ents:	
Missou	ri Departr	ment of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment
Missou	ri Departr	ment of Corrections Contracts w/Language Translation Services & DEAF Inter-Link Inc.
Missou	ri Departr	ment of Corrections Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment Brochure (Multiple Languages &
Braille)		

Interviews conducted with:

Facility Warden

Offenders with Disabilities or LEP

Random sample of Staff

On-site Review Observations:

PREA informational signage posted in multiple languages

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment states the Department shall provide PREA related education in formats accessible to all offenders, including those who are limited English proficient, deaf, visually impaired, or otherwise disabled, as well as to offenders who have limited reading skills in accordance with the department's procedures regarding deaf and hard of hearing offenders, disabled offenders, and blind and visually impaired offenders.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment states offenders who have limited English proficiency shall be provided a copy of the video transcript and the PREA offender brochure in their native language. If these documents are not already translated as a recognized language by the department, the department shall make reasonable accommodations to provide these documents in the offender's native language. If it is not possible to translate the documents to the offender's native language the department's PREA Compliance Manager or designee shall utilize an interpreter to assist the offender in understanding the information provided.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states the PREA Compliance Manager shall make key information readily available or visible to all offenders through PREA posters, the offender rulebook, and the offender brochure on sexual abuse and harassment.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states offender interpreters shall not be utilized expect in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the offender's safety, the performance of first responder duties, or the investigation.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted an interview with the PREA Compliance Manager regarding how the facility takes appropriate steps to ensure that all offenders have an equal opportunity to participate in the facility's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The PREA Compliance Manager detailed the efforts made by the facility to ensure impaired offenders are provided opportunities and benefits equal to those of unimpaired offenders. Offenders with either disabilities or LEP offenders are provided with alternatives to accommodate participation in the PREA program such as videos and brochures tailored to their primary language. Additionally, every effort is made to provide all training in a format that will be easily understood by offenders who have a physical or developmental impairment or who have limited English proficiency.

During the pre-on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor reviewed the existing contracts between the Missouri Department of Corrections and language translation services, sign language services, and DEAF Inter-Link services. The contracts outline the translation services provided for each MDC facility, rate of service, and the contract start and ending dates.

The Auditor was also able to confirm compliance with Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment during the on-site visit when intake staff demonstrated the process utilized when communicating with an offender who is LEP, deaf, or disabled. Staff provided a through demonstration of providing PREA education to offenders using the language translation services, large print offender brochures for vision impaired, or sign language or DEAF Inter-Link services for the hearing impaired.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted interviews with a random sample of staff. Each staff member confirmed the agency policy prohibiting the use of an offender to provide translation services; all staff members acknowledged the use of the language translation services, large print or Braille print offender PREA brochures for vision impaired, or sign language or DEAF Inter-Link services for the hearing impaired.

During the on-site visit, the Auditor interviewed a targeted offender with physical or cognitive disabilities. The offender acknowledged receiving PREA information during the intake / transfer process and confirmed receiving the comprehensive PREA orientation within the first day or two after arriving to the facility during Receiving & Orientation. Additionally, offenders acknowledged the information was provided to them in an accessible format specific to their individual needs. The facility provided the Auditor with documentation showing there were no LEP, or hearing or vision impaired offenders in custody at the time of the on-site; therefore, inmates in this targeted category were not interviewed.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted a tour of the facility and observed PREA informational bulletins posted in every housing area as well as various locations throughout the compound. These PREA bulletins are posted in multiple languages, located near the offender phones inside the dormitories, as well as several posted in common areas (educational and vocational buildings) throughout the compound. The bulletins display phone numbers and addresses for multiple reporting methods to include the confidential PREA Hotline (Dial 8 or 573.526.0513), writing to Department of Public Safety Crime Victims Services Unit, or writing or calling the PREA Unit (573.526.9003).

Upon review of the policies, offender handbook, and upon completion of the targeted interviews with offenders, and the informal interviews with facility staff, WERDCC demonstrated facility-wide practices that are consistent with policy and the requirements that complies with the PREA standard.

Standard 115.17: Hiring and promotion decisions

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

1	15	5.1	7 ((a)

	(a)
•	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)? ⊠ Yes □ No
•	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the question immediately above? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)? \boxtimes Yes \square No

Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim

did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse? \boxtimes Yes \square No

•	with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the question immediately above? Yes No
115.17	(b)
•	Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone who may have contact with inmates? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to enlist the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates? $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $
115.17	(c)
•	Before hiring new employees, who may have contact with inmates, does the agency perform a criminal background records check? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Before hiring new employees who may have contact with inmates, does the agency, consistent with Federal, State, and local law, make its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.17	' (d)
•	Does the agency perform a criminal background records check before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.17	" (e)
•	Does the agency either conduct criminal background records checks at least every five years of current employees and contractors who may have contact with inmates or have in place a system for otherwise capturing such information for current employees? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.17	(f)
•	Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in written applications or interviews for hiring or promotions? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in any interviews or written self-evaluations conducted as part of reviews of current employees? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency impose upon employees a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any such misconduct? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No
115.17	' (g)

		be agency consider material omissions regarding such misconduct, or the provision of lly false information, grounds for termination? \boxtimes Yes \square No	
115.17 ((h)		
h e s			
Auditor	Overa	II Compliance Determination	
[Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)	
		Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)	
[Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)	
Instruct	ions fo	or Overall Compliance Determination Narrative	
compliar conclusion not meet	nce or r ons. Th t the sta	elow must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's is discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does andard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by specific corrective actions taken by the facility.	
Documer	nts:		
Missouri	Depart	ment of Corrections Procedure D2-2.2, Background Investigations	
Missouri	Depart	ment of Corrections Procedure D2-2.8, Promotional Appointment	
Missouri	Depart	ment of Corrections Procedure D2-11.4, Annual Employment Requirements	
Missouri	Depart	ment of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment	
Interview	vs cond	ucted with:	
Administ	rative /	Human Resources Staff	
On-site R	Review (Observations:	
Personnel files			

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states department staff members shall not hire or promote any person, employee, or enlist the services of any contractor that may have contact with an offender when it is known that he:

- a. Has engaged in sexual abuse with an offender in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution.
- b. Has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse; or
- c. Has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in sexual activity by force, overt or implied threats of force, coercion or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states department staff members shall consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote any person or enlist the services of any contractor who may have contact with offenders in accordance with the department's procedures regarding background investigations, promotional appointments, maintenance of employee records, employee discipline, and labor organizations.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states before hiring new employees the Human Resources staff members or designee shall perform a criminal background records check and contact all prior institutional employers, when possible, for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse in accordance with the department procedure regarding background investigations.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D2-2.2, *Background Investigations* states contract staff, volunteers, and student interns shall have a background investigation conducted that consists of the criminal history check and any violations that have been reported to pertinent professional licensing and/or certification organizations if applicable. The criminal history check shall be conducted at the worksite by the CAO or designee.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D2-2.2, *Background Investigations* states interviews being interviewed for positions within the department shall be notified that a background investigation will be completed prior to his/her employment with the department. All investigations shall be completed prior to

employment with the department. Department supervisors must comply with guidelines established in the department procedure regarding maintenance of employee records when releasing information.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D2-2.8, *Promotional Appointment* states prior to approval of a promotional appointment, regardless of the salary range, a check will be conducted of the employee's official personnel file through Central Office Human Resources. This check will be performed to ensure the employee has received no formal discipline for sustained allegations of sexual abuse and/or harassment or any information indicating any pending or adjudicated criminal charges. All substantiated allegations will be considered by the department before an employee is promoted.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D2-11.4, Annual Employment Requirements states criminal history checks will be conducted and will consist of a query through the Missouri Uniform Law Enforcement System (MULES), and the National Criminal Information Center (NCIC) system. Staff members conducting the Missouri Uniform Law Enforcement System and National Criminal Information Center checks will document the name and title of the requestor and the reason for the request on the criminal history record printout. When adverse findings are noted, the Chief Administrative Officer will be notified and copied on the criminal history printout.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D2-11.4, *Annual Employment Requirements* states each calendar year, in the month following each staff member's birth month, a criminal history check shall be conducted to include outstanding warrants. Inquires shall be conducted by the Chief Administrative Officer (CAO) or designee in an objective, confidential and nondiscriminatory manner.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted an interview with the agency's Administrative / Human Resources personnel who confirmed the agency conducts the required criminal background checks prior to hiring a new employee, employees who are considered for promotions, or enlisting the services of a contractor or volunteer. Additionally, the HR Staff Member confirmed background checks are conducted for all staff each calendar year, in the month following each staff member's birth month. The HR Staff Member confirmed the MDC requirement imposed upon all employees to disclose any previous misconduct and the agency's requirement to provide information regarding a former employee upon request of another institution or agency.

WERDCC reported, in the 12 months prior to the audit, forty-eight background checks were performed of persons hired or promoted who may have contact with inmates. The Auditor reviewed fourteen personnel files of new hires, employees with tenure, and those with specialized training. Each file contained the required documentation to include thorough background investigations, which were completed as required.

Upon review of the policies and review of personnel files and upon completion of the interview conducted with the Human Resources Staff, WERDCC demonstrated facility-wide practices that are consistent with policy and the requirements that complies with the PREA standard.

Standard 115.18: Upgrades to facilities and technologies

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.18 (a)

-	modific expans if agen facilitie	cation of existing facilities, did the agency consider the effect of the design, acquisition, sion, or modification upon the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse? (N/A cy/facility has not acquired a new facility or made a substantial expansion to existing as since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.) \square No \square NA
115.18	(b)	
•	other nagency update techno	igency installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or nonitoring technology, did the agency consider how such technology may enhance the y's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not installed or ed a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring elogy since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)
Audito	or Over	all Compliance Determination
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D4-4.8, Security Camera Operations

WERDCC Security Camera Schematic Report

Interviews conducted with:

Agency Head

Facility Warden

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D4-4.8, *Security Camera Operations* states to assist in the prevention, detection, and prosecution of offender sexual abuse and overall security of the facility, the security camera committee will conduct an annual assessment of the entire facility and submit a report to the Chief Administrative Officer indicating the status of the camera system and recommend changes and additions.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D4-4.8, *Security Camera Operations* states weekly inspections of security cameras will occur according to institutional services procedures regarding inspections of institutions. Inspections will be documented on the institutional security inspection report form.

During the on-site tour of the facility, the Auditor observed convex mirrors, security cameras, and video monitoring equipment in all housing areas, food service, laundry, in common areas, and outside throughout the grounds of the compound.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted an interview with the Agency Head and discussed if the agency considers how modifications or expansions to a facility effects the ability to protect offenders from sexual abuse. The Agency Head explained that the agency considers and evaluates how a facility is designed to maximize the agency's ability to protect offenders. When installing or updating video monitoring systems we consider how those systems will enhance the facilities ability to protect offenders from sexual abuse, focusing on blind spots.

The Agency Head also explained that Missouri Department of Corrections uses video monitoring to target secluded or blind areas where offenders may become victimized. The video cameras do not take the place of direct supervision but supplements supervision of offenders to ensure the safety and security of the offenders, staff, and facility.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted an interview with the Facility Warden. During the interview, the Facility Warden confirmed that prior to designing or acquiring any new facility or when planning any substantial expansion or modification, of existing facilities, the facility shall consider the effect of the design, acquisition, expansion, or modification might have upon the facility's ability to protect offenders from sexual abuse. The Facility Warden also confirmed that prior to the installation of the additional cameras the facility shall considered how the addition of such technology would enhance the facility's ability to protect offenders from sexual abuse.

The Facility Warden also informed the Auditor, both video and audio surveillance is reviewed weekly to ensure compliance with requirements for security checks and proper implementation of all security and safety procedures. Since the last audit, WERDCC has added additional cameras in various locations throughout the facility.

Upon review of the policy and the Security Camera Schematic report, and upon completion of the interviews conducted with the Agency Head and the Facility Warden, WERDCC demonstrated facility-wide practices that are consistent with policy and the requirements that complies with the PREA standard.

RESPONSIVE PLANNING

Standard 115.21: Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.21 (a)

•	If the agency is responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, does the agency follow
	a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence
	for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions? (N/A if the agency/facility is not
	responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)
	⊠ Yes □ No □ NA

445 04	/I-\
115.21	(D)
•	Is this protocol developmentally appropriate for youth where applicable? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	Is this protocol, as appropriate, adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the U.S. Department of Justice's Office on Violence Against Women publication, "A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents," or similarly comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
115.21	(c)
•	Does the agency offer all victims of sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations, whether on-site or at an outside facility, without financial cost, where evidentiarily or medically appropriate? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Are such examinations performed by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) where possible? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	If SAFEs or SANEs cannot be made available, is the examination performed by other qualified medical practitioners (they must have been specifically trained to conduct sexual assault forensic exams)? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Has the agency documented its efforts to provide SAFEs or SANEs? $oximes$ Yes \odots No
115.21	(d)
•	Does the agency attempt to make available to the victim a victim advocate from a rape crisis center? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No
•	If a rape crisis center is not available to provide victim advocate services, does the agency make available to provide these services a qualified staff member from a community-based organization, or a qualified agency staff member? (N/A if the agency <i>always</i> makes a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	Has the agency documented its efforts to secure services from rape crisis centers? \boxtimes Yes $\ \Box$ No
115.21	(e)
•	As requested by the victim, does the victim advocate, qualified agency staff member, or qualified community-based organization staff member accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	As requested by the victim, does this person provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals? ⊠ Yes. □ No.

•	If the agency itself is not responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, has the agency requested that the investigating agency follow the requirements of paragraphs (a) through (e) of this section? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting criminal AND administrative sexual abuse investigations.) □ Yes □ No ⋈ NA		
115.21	(g)		
•	Audito	r is not required to audit this provision.	
115.21	(h)		
•	memb to serv issues	agency uses a qualified agency staff member or a qualified community-based staff er for the purposes of this section, has the individual been screened for appropriateness we in this role and received education concerning sexual assault and forensic examination in general? (N/A if agency <i>always</i> makes a victim advocate from a rape crisis center ble to victims.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA	
Audito	or Over	all Compliance Determination	
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)	
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)	
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)	
Instru	ctions	for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative	
compli conclu not me	ance or sions. T et the s	below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's his discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does tandard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by specific corrective actions taken by the facility.	

Documents:

115.21 (f)

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.8, Evidence Collection, Accountability and Disposal

Missouri Department of Corrections WERDCC Coordinated Response to Offender Sexual Abuse

Missouri Department of Corrections contract w/ Centurion Health

Missouri Department of Correction MOU w/Audrain County Crisis Intervention Services

Missouri Department of Corrections Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment Brochure

SANEs / SAFEs Uniform Evidence Protocol

Interviews conducted with:

Random sample of Staff

SANE/SAFE Staff

PREA Compliance Manager

Offenders who reported a sexual abuse

On-site Review Observations:

Sexual Abuse / Harassment Informational Posters

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states the Chief Administrative Officer (CAO) or designee shall coordinate actions taken by first responders, medical, mental health, investigators, and administrators in response to all allegations of offender sexual abuse and harassment as outlined in the divisions' coordinated response to offender sexual abuse protocol.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states all allegations of offender sexual abuse and/or sexual harassment, including third party and anonymous reports, shall immediately be forwarded to the shift supervisor to initiate the coordinated response utilizing the applicable PREA allegations notification penetration/non-penetration event checklist.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.1, *Office of Professional Standards* states the Office of Professional Standards (OPS) was established and charged with processing all department internal investigations into allegations that a staff member or, in certain circumstances, an offender has violated a policy, procedure, or rules. The OPS consists of the following investigation units: civil rights unit (CRU), employee conduct unit (ECU) and Prison Rape Elimination Act Unit (PREA Unit).

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.1, *Office of Professional Standards* states investigations involving a staff member who is the subject of the allegations will be conducted by the OPS except in the cases of allegations of staff on offender sexual harassment. All internal investigations involving an offender will be conducted by institutional investigators or the PREA unit as set forth in this procedure and in accordance with the department procedures for institutional investigations.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted an interview with an investigator assigned to the Office of Professional Standards who confirmed the responsibilities of an investigator, reviewed the process of an investigation, and confirmed the use of a uniform evidence protocol for the collection of physical evidence. The investigator also confirmed that all investigators assigned to the Office of Professional Standards (OPS) follow the United States Department of Justice, *A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations* a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceeding and criminal prosecutions.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states victims of sexual abuse shall receive timely, unobstructed access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by health services practitioners according to their professional judgement.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states health services staff members shall screen victims for obvious physical trauma and provide emergency medical care.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states if an allegation of offender sexual abuse is made within 120 hours of the alleged event and consists of penetration of the mouth, anus, buttocks, or vulva, however slight, by hand, finder, object instrument, or penis, the health services staff member shall:

- a. Contact the on call SANE staff member to inform them to report to the facility and determine the staff member's estimated time of arrival.
- b. Notify the shift commander that a sexual assault examination is needed and the estimated time of arrival of the SANE staff member. The shift commander shall proceed with the coordinated response as outlined in the coordinated response protocol for institutions.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment states the SANE staff member shall collect evidence according to established forensic procedures for processing and document the exam and finding the applicable department computer system. If a SANE staff member is not available to conduct the sexual assault examination or if the victim's injuries are such that emergency room care is required, the victim shall be transported to the community emergency room with a SANE or SAFE for the sexual assault examination.

During the pre-on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor reviewed provided documentation, which included the SANEs/SAFEs evidence protocol and the contract between MDC and Centurion Health.

The contract states Centurion's medical and behavioral health care staff will contribute to a coordinated response to all allegations of sexual abuse by relaying, to the designated MDOC administrative staff, information pertinent to the well-being of the offender(s) or for investigative purposes. Offenders who report sexual assault will be treated for immediate stabilizing healthcare needs onsite and then transferred to an offsite hospital emergency room, SANE/SAFE provider for forensic evaluation and treatment. Centurion Health has contracts and access through *HealthLink* for accessing SANE/SAFE providers. Appropriate follow-up for prophylactic treatment and referral to mental health staff will be completed upon return form the crisis center.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted an interview with a contracted medical staff member who is also a certified SANE nurse. The SANE nurse confirmed to the Auditor the existing MOU with the MDC, and explained procedure followed when an offender from WERDCC has been a victim of a sexual assault. The SANE nurse confirmed that a forensic medical examination would be completed, which includes following the Department of Justice (DOJ) National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations Adults. The SANE nurse confirmed there were no forensic medical examinations completed for WERDCC during the past 12 months.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states during the initial assessment, mental health treatment interventions shall be discussed with the victim by a qualified mental health professional (QMHP) and shall include options such as individual and/or group therapy.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states treatment services shall be provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states each facility shall offer victims of offender sexual abuse, not including sexual harassment, a victim advocate to provide emotional support services, crisis intervention during the sexual assault exam, when applicable, and the investigative process.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states each facility shall enter into a memorandum of understanding (MOU) with a rape crisis center to provide advocacy services in accordance with the department's procedure regarding professional and general services contracts.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment states if a facility is unable to enter into an MOU with the advocacy center, the attempt shall be documented an advocacy service shall be provided by a qualified staff member who has been trained to provide advocacy services to a survivor of sexual abuse in confinement settings.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states all staff member serving as a designated victim advocate for offenders shall receive victim advocacy training for sexual assault advocates. All services provided by staff member victim advocates to offender victims shall be afforded a level of confidentiality consistent with the safety and security of the institution.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor reviewed documentation which included, the training agreement between MDC and Missouri Coalition Against Domestic and Sexual Violence, and the victim advocacy training curriculum titled *The Nature and Dynamics of Sexual Violence*, and verification of attendance by facility Chaplain.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted an interview with the facility Chaplain. The facility Chaplain confirmed that he is the designated victim advocate at WERDCC and received the required advocacy training. The Facility Chaplain confirmed he provides advocacy services for offenders who are victims of sexual abuse or sexual violence. The services provided include emotional support services, accompaniment to forensic exams and investigatory interviews, crisis intervention, and provide referrals and resources for continued follow-up emotional support services.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor reviewed provided documentation, which included the contract between MDC and the Audrain County Crisis Intervention Services. The contract uses clear and concise language, provides the agency's responsibilities, the contractor's responsibilities, and the reporting and documentation requirements for each.

According to the MOU, Audrain County Crisis Intervention Services (ACCIS) provides victims of domestic violence and sexual assault with crisis intervention, victim advocacy, and emotional support services. ACCIS also provides PREA Audit Report – V7.

Page 58 of 179

Facility Name – WERDCC

offenders incarcerated at WERDCC with advocacy services for victims of sexual abuse or sexual violence. The services provided by ACCIS include emotional support services, victim advocate upon request, accompaniment to forensic exams and investigatory interviews, follow-up services for sexual abuse victims, and providing mailing addresses and phone numbers of victim advocates.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted an interview with a victim advocate from the Audrain County Crisis Intervention Services. The victim advocate confirmed the agreement entered with WERDCC and Audrain County Crisis Intervention Services. The victim advocate confirmed the services provided to offenders of sexual abuse included advocate accompaniment during forensic exams and investigatory interviews, crisis intervention, and to provide emotional support services.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor interviewed a random sample of staff regarding his/her role as a First Responder to an allegation of sexual abuse. The staff members provided specific details of their responsibilities as a First Responder. These responsibilities include ensure the safety of the victim, preserving and protecting the crime scene, requesting that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, ensuring the alleged perpetrator does not take any actions, which would destroy physical evidence, and to immediately notify the shift commander or shift supervisor. In addition, each staff member acknowledged the importance of the agency's response protocol to a sexual abuse allegation as well as his or her role as a First Responder.

WERDCC reported no forensic medical exams were conducted during the past 12 months. During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted interviews with the PREA Compliance Manager, PREA Coordinator, and the Facility Warden, all of whom confirmed this information is correct. As previously stated above, the Auditor also confirmed this information during the interview with the facility SANE nurse.

During the 12 months prior to the audit, WERDCC reported twenty allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; seventeen of the twenty allegations were sexual abuse, and three allegations were sexual harassment. During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor was provided with an updated offender roster, which provided documentation that seventeen of the twenty offenders, who reported an allegation of sexual abuse, were released from the custody, or were transferred to another correctional facility.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted three targeted interviews with offenders who reported an incident of sexual abuse. The Auditor inquired to each offender, after reporting, did the facility PREA Audit Report – V7.

Page 59 of 179

Facility Name – WERDCC

allow them to contact anyone. One of the three offenders interviewed confirmed being offered and provided information on the advocacy services and accepting the advocacy services. The remaining two offenders interviewed denied reporting an incident of sexual abuse.

Upon review of the policies, documentation provided prior to the on-site and during the on-site phase, and observations made during the facility tour, and upon completion of interviews conducted during the on-site visit, the WERDCC demonstrated facility-wide practices that are consistent with policy and the requirements that complies with the PREA standard.

Standard 115.22: Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report
115.22 (a)
■ Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse? ⊠ Yes □ No
■ Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual harassment? No
115.22 (b)

- Does the agency have a policy and practice in place to ensure that allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal behavior? ⊠ Yes □ No
- Has the agency published such policy on its website or, if it does not have one, made the policy available through other means? \boxtimes Yes \square No
- Does the agency document all such referrals? \boxtimes Yes \square No

115.22 (c)

If a separate entity is responsible for conducting criminal investigations, does the policy describe the responsibilities of both the agency and the investigating entity? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for criminal investigations. See 115.21(a).) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

115.22 (d)

Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

115.22 (e)

Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.1, Office of Professional Standards

Missouri Department of Corrections Investigator Training Curriculum & Training Records

Missouri Department of Corrections Agency Website

Interviews conducted with:

Agency Head

Investigative Staff

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment states the department will ensure that an administrative and/or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment and all referrals for such allegations will be documented in accordance with the coordinated response to offender sexual abuse located on the department's intranet website.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states investigators shall receive specialized PREA investigation training prior to conducting an investigation involving offender sexual abuse.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states administrative investigations shall include an effort to determine whether staff member actions or failure to act contributed to the abuse. Administrative investigations shall impose no standard higher than the preponderance of evidence in determining whether an allegation of offender sexual abuse or harassment is substantiated.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states when outside agencies investigate sexual abuse, staff members shall cooperate with outside investigators and shall make an effort to remain informed about the progress of the investigation.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.1, *Office of Professional Standards* states the Office of Professional Standards (OPS) was established and charged with processing all department internal investigations into allegations that a staff member or, in certain circumstances, an offender has violated a policy, procedure, or rules. The OPS consists of the following investigation units: civil rights unit (CRU), employee conduct unit (ECU) and Prison Rape Elimination Act Unit (PREA Unit).

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.1, *Office of Professional Standards* states all allegations of offender sexual abuse and/or harassment, including third party and anonymous reports, will immediately be forwarded to the shift supervisor to initiate the coordinated response as outlined in the offender sexual abuse and harassment procedure.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.1, *Office of Professional Standards* states upon receiving a report of offender sexual abuse, including staff on offender and offender on offender, the CAO or designee shall ensure the allegation is forwarded to the PREA Unit within two business days of receipt. Within 2 business days of receipt, the PREA unit will determine if the allegation meets PREA definitions or if additional information is needed. If additional information is needed the PREA Unit will contact the PREA Compliance Manager to request the additional information.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted an interview with the Agency Head regarding how the agency ensures that an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for allegations of sexual abuse or harassment. The Agency Head explained allegations are investigated utilizing the PREA Unit or Institutional investigators. Institutional (facility) investigators investigate allegations of sexual harassment as well as allegations regarding pat searches; the PREA Unit investigates anonymous and third-party allegations and allegations of sexual abuse, which include both administrative and criminal investigations.

The Auditor also inquired to the Agency Head to describe how an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for allegations of sexual abuse or harassment. The Agency Head explained that all investigations are through, including evidence collection and interviews with the victim, witness, and subjects. Evidence is documented in written reports that include the findings of the investigation. When an investigation obtains evidence that a criminal statute was violated and the allegation is substantiated, the investigation is forwarded to the Prosecuting Attorney's Office for consideration of prosecution. Departure of the subject offender or staff member does not terminate the investigation.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted an interview with an investigator assigned to the PREA Unit, Office of Professional Standards. During the interview, the investigator confirmed agency policy requiring all allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment must be referred to the Office of Professional Standards and investigators assigned to the OPS PREA Unit have the legal authority to conduct administrative and criminal investigations. The investigator also confirmed the use of a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence, provided a complete overview of the investigative process, including protocols for interviewing, evidence collection, victim services, notifications, and prosecution of sexual assault cases. Additionally, the investigator confirmed attending and successfully completing the specialized training curriculum titled, *Investigating Offender Sexual Abuse in Confinement Settings*.

Missouri Department of Corrections publishes agency policy regarding the referral of allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment for criminal investigation on the agency website Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) |

Missouri Department of Corrections (mo.gov)

Upon review of the policies, documentation, and upon completion of the interviews conducted during the onsite visit, the WERDCC demonstrated facility-wide practices that are consistent with policy and the requirements that complies with the PREA standard.

TRAINING AND EDUCATION

Standard 115.31: Employee training

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.31	(a)			
•	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on its zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment? \boxtimes Yes \square No			
•	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures? \boxtimes Yes \square No			
•	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on inmates' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment \boxtimes Yes \square No			
•	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the right of inmates and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment? \boxtimes Yes \square No			
•	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement? \boxtimes Yes \square No			
•	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims? \boxtimes Yes \square No			
•	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse? \boxtimes Yes \square No			
•	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to avoid inappropriate relationships with inmates? \boxtimes Yes \square No			
•	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to communicate effectively and professionally with inmates, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming inmates? \boxtimes Yes \square No			
•	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities? \boxtimes Yes \square No			
115.31	(b)			
•	Is such training tailored to the gender of the inmates at the employee's facility? $oximes$ Yes \odots No			
•	Have employees received additional training if reassigned from a facility that houses only male inmates to a facility that houses only female inmates, or vice versa? \boxtimes Yes \square No			
115.31 (c)				
•	Have all current employees who may have contact with inmates received such training? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No			

•	Does the agency provide each employee with refresher training every two years to ensure that all employees know the agency's current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and procedures? \boxtimes Yes \square No					
•	•	rs in which an employee does not receive refresher training, does the agency provide ner information on current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies? \boxtimes Yes \square No				
115.31	(d)					
•		he agency document, through employee signature or electronic verification, that yees understand the training they have received? \boxtimes Yes \square No				
Audito	r Over	all Compliance Determination				
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)				
		Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)				
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)				
Instru	ctions f	for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative				
complia conclus not me	ance or sions. T et the si	below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's his discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does tandard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by specific corrective actions taken by the facility.				
Docum	ents:					
Missou	ri Depar	tment of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment				
Missou	ri Depar	tment of Corrections WERDCC Staff PREA Training Curriculum				
Missou	ri Depar	tment of Corrections WERDCC Training Roster / Staff Signatures				
Intervie	ws cond	ducted with:				
Randon	n sample	e of Staff				
On-site	Review	Observations:				
Personi	nel Train	ning Records				
Missou	ri Depar	tment of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment states all new				
staff me	taff members shall complete the department's online sexual misconduct and harassment training within five					

days of employment. All staff members shall receive initial PREA training during the department's basic training.

All staff members shall complete refresher training every two years to ensure knowledge of the agency's current sexual abuse and sexual harassment procedures.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states years in which an employee does not receive training, the department's PREA Coordinator shall provide current information on sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states all part-time employees, volunteers and contract staff members shall receive PREA specific training to their classification as determined by the appropriate division director and chief of staff training. Vendor contractors shall be escorted by a staff member at all times or shall receive PREA training prior to entering the facility.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states contracted residential facilities shall ensure all staff are trained on PREA as outlined in the residential contract. Work release supervisors shall receive specific PREA training during their offender work release procedure training.

During the pre-on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor reviewed training curriculum and documentation of staff signatures verifying training comprehension and attendance. In addition to group discussions and scenarios, the general PREA training curriculum, also included the following:

- Zero tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment.
- How employees fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment,
 prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures.
- Offenders' rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment.
- The right of offenders & staff to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment.
- Dynamics of sexual abuse, sexual battery, staff sexual misconduct, and sexual harassment in confinement settings.
- Common reactions of sexual abuse, sexual battery, staff sexual misconduct, and sexual harassment in confinement settings.
- How to detect and respond to signs of threatened & actual sexual abuse and sexual harassment.
- How to avoid inappropriate relationships with offenders and offenders.

- Communicating effectively & professionally with offenders, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming offenders.
- How to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse.

In addition to the training curriculum previously discussed, the Auditor reviewed multiple staff PREA trainings conducted throughout the auditing period. These trainings included PREA retaliation monitoring, PREA assessments, Coordinated Response Plan, Communication and Searches of Transgender / Intersex Offenders, and recent updates in PREA and/or policy. Additionally, MDC provides additional PREA resources on the agency intranet and is available for all staff.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted random staff interviews. Each staff member interviewed articulated the agency's zero tolerance policy on sexual abuse and sexual harassment, their role and responsibilities regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response, how to communicate effectively and professionally with offenders, and an offender's right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment. All staff members acknowledged receiving the training every year during his/her In-service training.

Upon review of the policies and training documentation listed above and previously discussed, and after completion of interviews conducted during the on-site visit, in addition to the files reviewed during the on-site visit, WERDCC demonstrated facility-wide practices that are consistent with policy and the requirements that complies with the PREA standard.

Standard 115.32: Volunteer and contractor training

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.32 (a)

■ Has the agency ensured that all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures?

Yes □ No

115.32 (b)

 Have all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates been notified of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how to report such incidents (the level and type of training provided to volunteers and

contractors shall be based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with inmates)? \boxtimes Yes $\ \Box$ No						
115.32 (c)						
Does the agency maintain documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received? \boxtimes Yes \square No						
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination						
☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)						
Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)						
□ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)						
Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative						
The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.						
Documents:						
Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment						
Missouri Department of Corrections Volunteer & Contractor Training Curriculum						
Missouri Department of Corrections Volunteer & Contractor Training Roster with Signatures						

Interviews conducted with:

Volunteer or Contractor who have contact with Offenders

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states all part-time employees, volunteers and contract staff members shall receive PREA specific training to their classification as determined by the appropriate division director and chief of staff training. Vendor contractors shall be escorted by a staff member at all times or shall receive PREA training prior to entering the facility.

During the pre-on-site phase, the Auditor reviewed training documentation to include training curriculum and attendance roster for contract and volunteer staff. Additional documentation included signatures from each contract staff and volunteer staff confirming understanding of policies and training received. The volunteer and

contractor training were tailored based on the services they provide and the level of contact they have with offenders and included the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment along with how to report such incidents.

During the on-site visit, the Auditor conducted interviews with two contract staff members; each contract staff member confirmed and acknowledge understanding of the agency's zero tolerance policy and PREA standards and reporting responsibilities.

Upon review of the policy and documentation and after completion of interviews conducted during the on-site visit, WERDCC demonstrated facility-wide practices that are consistent with policy and the requirements of the PREA standard.

Standard 115.33: Inmate education

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.33 (a)

- During intake, do inmates receive information explaining the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment?

 ✓ Yes

 ✓ No
- During intake, do inmates receive information explaining how to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.33 (b)

- Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ⋈ Yes □ No
- Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents? ⋈ Yes □ No
- Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents?

 Yes □ No

115.33 (c)

■ Have all inmates received the comprehensive education referenced in 115.33(b)?

Yes □
No

•	and pro	pates receive education upon transfer to a different facility to the extent that the policies occedures of the inmate's new facility differ from those of the previous facility? □ No				
115.33 (d)						
•		he agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those e limited English proficient? \boxtimes Yes \square No				
•		he agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those e deaf? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No				
•		he agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those e visually impaired? \boxtimes Yes \square No				
•	■ Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including the who are otherwise disabled? ⊠ Yes □ No					
•		he agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those ave limited reading skills? \boxtimes Yes \square No				
115.33	(e)					
•		he agency maintain documentation of inmate participation in these education sessions? $\hfill\Box$ No				
115.33	(f)					
•	In addition to providing such education, does the agency ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to inmates through posters, inmate handbooks, or other written formats? \boxtimes Yes \square No					
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination						
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)				
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)				
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)				
Instruc	ctions f	or Overall Compliance Determination Narrative				

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

Missouri Department of Corrections SOP 5-1.2, Institution Receiving & Orientation

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment

Missouri Department of Corrections Offender Orientation Handbook (multiple languages)

Missouri Department of Corrections Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment Acknowledgment

Missouri Department of Corrections Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment Brochure (Multiple Languages & in

Braille)

Interviews conducted with:

PREA Compliance Manager

Intake Staff

Random Sample of Offenders

Targeted Offenders (Limited English Proficient, Physically, Hearing or Vision Impaired)

On-site Review Observations:

Offender files – Comprehensive PREA Education documentation

PREA Informational Signage posted throughout facility

PREA Informational video

Missouri Department of Corrections SOP 5-1.2, *Institution Receiving & Orientation* states after receiving an offender at an institution, designated reception and orientation unit staff members should ensure that offenders are provided an orientation program that includes general information and the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA), description of, and reporting potential PREA events, crime tips, and PREA hotline information.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment states the Department shall provide PREA related education in formats accessible to all offenders, including those who are limited English proficient, deaf, visually impaired, or otherwise disabled, as well as to offenders who have limited reading skills in accordance with the agency's procedures regarding deaf and hard of hearing offenders, disabled offenders, and blind and visually impaired offenders.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states offenders who have limited English proficiency shall be provided a copy of the video transcript and the PREA offender

brochure in their native language. If these documents are not already translated as a recognized language by the department, the department shall make reasonable accommodations to provide these documents in the offender's native language. If it is not possible to translate the documents to the offender's native language the department's PREA Compliance Manager or designee shall utilize an interpreter to assist the offender in understanding the information provided.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states the PREA Compliance Manager shall make key information readily available or visible to all offenders through PREA posters, the offender rulebook, and the offender brochure on sexual abuse and harassment.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure SOP 5-1.2, *Institution Receiving & Orientation* states offenders will be housed in the receiving and orientation housing area until the reception and orientation process has been completed, typically within two weeks. All offenders will receive an initial orientation to include PREA and the agency's zero tolerance policy. The PREA orientation shall include:

- Information on PREA and the agency's zero tolerance standard relating to sexual abuse
- Viewing the orientation video, PREA What You Need To Know.
- A realistic presentation how to avoid sexual violence while incarcerated.
- Information on how to prevent and reduce the risk of sexual violence.
- Explanation of appropriate methods of self-protection and intervention.
- Information on how reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment
- Information on available sexual assault counseling and treatment; and
- Instructions for the process for requesting sexual abuse counseling and treatment.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure 601.210, Offender Orientation states upon completion of the orientation, the offender will sign the receipt form (Intake Acknowledgement), and the Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment Acknowledgment form signifying completion of orientation information. A listing of all topics provided will be attached to the form. The receipt and the listing will be filed in the classification file and maintained in accordance with departmental procedures regarding record retention. Upon completion, an electronic chronological entry will be made noting the receipt by receiving or orientation staff.

During the pre-on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor reviewed the comprehensive PREA educational video, provided in multiple languages, that is given to all MDC offenders within 24 hours of arriving to a permanent

facility. The facility utilizes the PREA educational video titled *PREA: What You Need to Know* produced by Just Detention International and the PREA Resource Center. During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor also reviewed eighteen *Intake Acknowledgement*, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment*, and *Comprehensive PREA* forms with offender signatures and acknowledgment of understanding. The facility maintains documentation of offender participation with the original form placed in the offender's institutional file and receipt of the orientation will be noted in the offender (electronic) record.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted an interview with the PREA Compliance Manager and discussed the offender comprehensive PREA orientation and documentation process. The PREA Compliance Manager provided specific details on the process of educating offenders including the initial orientation upon intake into the facility, the comprehensive orientation video, and the continued educational process by using PREA informational pamphlets, sexual abuse prevention brochures, and the signage posted throughout the facility.

During the on-site visit, the Auditor interviewed a targeted offender with physical or cognitive disabilities. The offender acknowledged receiving PREA information during the intake / transfer process and confirmed receiving the comprehensive PREA orientation within the first day or two after arriving to the facility during Receiving & Orientation. Additionally, offenders acknowledged the information was provided to them in an accessible format specific to their individual needs. The facility provided the Auditor with documentation showing there were no LEP, or hearing or vision impaired offenders in custody at the time of the on-site; therefore, inmates in this targeted category were not interviewed.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted a tour of the facility and observed PREA informational bulletins. These PREA bulletins are posted in multiple languages, located inside every dormitory, as well as several posted in common areas (educational and vocational buildings) throughout the compound. The bulletins display phone numbers and addresses for the victim advocate services and the PREA hotline and are displayed in multiple languages.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted a tour of the Receiving & Orientation section of the facility. During the tour, the Auditor inquired to Intake Staff how do they ensure current offenders, as well as those transferred from other facilities have been educated on the agency's zero-tolerance policy on sexual abuse or sexual harassment. The Intake Staff informed the Auditor that even if an offender has already received

the orientation in a previous incarnation, all offenders entering the facility receive the PREA comprehensive orientation upon arrival to the facility. The Auditor was able to verify the PREA orientation process when the Intake Staff member demonstrated the process utilizing the Auditor as the offender.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted twenty-two interviews with a random representation of offenders. All offender interviews were conducted with the guidance of the National PREA Resource Center, *PREA Compliance Audit Instrument - Interview Guide for Offenders*. The Auditor requested an up-to-date offender facility roster from every housing dormitory and selected a random representation from the offender rosters provided. Offenders from every housing unit and of various demographics were interviewed.

All twenty-two offenders interviewed recalled receiving both the initial PREA orientation and the comprehensive (video) orientation. Offenders also acknowledged the zero-tolerance policy on sexual abuse sexual harassment and the various ways to report such incidents.

Offenders acknowledged referring to the multiple PREA informational bulletins, which are posted throughout the facility, as a source of information. Eighteen of the twenty-two offenders interviewed referred to utilizing the hotline or staff member as the most direct method to report or inquire about PREA information. All twenty-two offenders interviewed confirmed knowledge of third-party reporting either by calling the PREA Hotline or a family member as their source outside the facility. Seventeen of the twenty-two offenders interviewed were aware of the availability of submitting an anonymous PREA report.

Upon review of the policy and documentation listed above and previously discussed, and after completion of interviews conducted during the on-site visit, in addition to the observations made throughout the on-site tour, WERDCC demonstrated facility-wide practices that are consistent with policy and the requirements that complies with the PREA standard.

Standard 115.34: Specialized training: Investigations

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.34 (a)

In addition to the general training provided to all employees pursuant to §115.31, does the
agency ensure that, to the extent the agency itself conducts sexual abuse investigations, its
investigators receive training in conducting such investigations in confinement settings? (N/A if

	_	ency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. 5.21(a).) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA	
115.34	(b)		
•	Does the	his specialized training include techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims? (N/A if ency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. 5.21(a).) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA	
•	agency	his specialized training include proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings? (N/A if the does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. 5.21(a).) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA	
•	(N/A if	his specialized training include sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings? the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse gations. See 115.21(a).) $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $	
•	for adm	his specialized training include the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case ninistrative action or prosecution referral? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form inistrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) \square No \square NA	
115.34	(c)		
•	require not cor	the agency maintain documentation that agency investigators have completed the ed specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations? (N/A if the agency does nduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) \square No \square NA	
115.34	(d)		
•	Auditor	r is not required to audit this provision.	
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination			
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)	
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)	
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)	
Instru	ctions f	or Overall Compliance Determination Narrative	

Ins

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does

not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment
Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.1, Office of Professional Standards
Missouri Department of Corrections Investigator Training Curriculum & Training Records

Interviews conducted with:

Investigative Staff

On-site Review Observations:

Training files

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.1, *Office of Professional Standards* states the Office of Professional Standards (OPS) was established and charged with processing all department internal investigations into allegations that a staff member or, in certain circumstances, an offender has violated a policy, procedure, or rules. The OPS consists of the following investigation units: civil rights unit (CRU), employee conduct unit (ECU) and Prison Rape Elimination Act Unit (PREA Unit).

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states investigators shall receive specialized PREA investigation training prior to conducting an investigation involving offender sexual abuse. All new investigator and administrative inquiry officers (AIOs) or designees assigned to investigate offender sexual abuse allegations shall receive specialized PREA training by the designated Office of Professional Standards staff members.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states the facility training officer or regional training coordinator shall send the original PREA acknowledgement form to the Central Office Human Resources personnel for retaining in the employee's personnel file.

During the pre-on-site audit phase, the Auditor reviewed training documentation, which included the specialized training curriculum titled, *Investigating Offender Sexual Abuse in Confinement Settings* and training certificates

of completion verifying investigative staff that conduct sexual abuse investigations attended and completed the required specialized training.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted an interview with an investigator assigned to the PREA Unit, Office of Professional Standards. During the interview, the investigator confirmed agency policy requiring all allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment must be referred to the Office of Professional Standards and investigators assigned to the OPS PREA Unit have the legal authority to conduct administrative and criminal investigations. The investigator also confirmed the use of a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence, provided a complete overview of the investigative process, including protocols for interviewing, evidence collection, victim services, notifications, and prosecution of sexual assault cases.

Additionally, the investigator confirmed attending and successfully completing the specialized training curriculum titled, *Investigating Offender Sexual Abuse in Confinement Settings*. The investigator clearly articulated the comprehensive training he had received included investigating sexual harassment and sexual abuse allegations, understanding the impact of victim trauma, techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims, preservation of crime scene and evidence collection, proper use of Miranda and Garrity and the importance of each, and criteria required for administrative action and prosecution referrals.

Upon review of the policy and documentation listed above and previously discussed, and after completion of interviews conducted during the on-site visit, in addition to the observations made throughout the on-site visit, WERDCC demonstrated facility-wide practices that are consistent with policy and the requirements that complies with the PREA standard.

Standard 115.35: Specialized training: Medical and mental health care

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.35 (a)

■ Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) ☑ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA

who work regularly in its facilities sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency	full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners have been trained in how to preserve physical evidence of does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health larly in its facilities.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
who work regularly in its facilities professionally to victims of sexua	full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners have been trained in how to respond effectively and all abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not all or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its
who work regularly in its facilities suspicions of sexual abuse and s	full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners have been trained in how and to whom to report allegations or sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full-ealth care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)
115.35 (b)	
receive appropriate training to co	agency conduct forensic examinations, do such medical staff induct such examinations? (N/A if agency medical staff at the sams <i>or</i> the agency does not employ medical staff.)
115.35 (c)	
received the training referenced i	nentation that medical and mental health practitioners have in this standard either from the agency or elsewhere? (N/A if III- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who Yes \square No \square NA
115.35 (d)	
mandated for employees by §115	re practitioners employed by the agency also receive training 5.31? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time actitioners employed by the agency.)
also receive training mandated for	re practitioners contracted by or volunteering for the agency or contractors and volunteers by §115.32? (N/A if the agency ne medical or mental health care practitioners contracted by or Yes \Box No \Box NA
Auditor Overall Compliance Determin	ation
☐ Exceeds Standard (Subs	stantially exceeds requirement of standards)

X	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment

Missouri Department of Corrections Health Services Bulletin #15.03.36 Sexual Battery Medical Action

Missouri Department of Corrections Medical & Mental Health Training Curriculum

Missouri Department of Corrections Training Certificates (Medical / Mental Health Staff)

Interviews conducted with:

Medical / Mental Health Staff

On-site Review Observations:

Medical Staff Training Records

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states all part-time employees, volunteers and contract staff members shall receive PREA specific training to their classification as determined by the appropriate division director and chief of staff training. Vendor contractors shall be escorted by a staff member at all times or shall receive PREA training prior to entering the facility.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states contracted residential facilities shall ensure all staff are trained on PREA as outlined in the residential contract. Work release supervisors shall receive specific PREA training during their offender work release procedure training.

Missouri Department of Corrections Health Services Bulletin #15.03.36 *Sexual Battery Medical Action* states all Medical and Mental Health Care Practitioners who work regularly in Missouri Department of Corrections facilities, including contracted staff,

During the pre-on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor reviewed training curriculum and documentation of medical and mental health contract staff signatures verifying training comprehension and attendance. In addition to group discussions and scenarios, the specialized PREA training curriculum, included the following how to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, how to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse, how to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, and how to report allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

During the on-site phase, the Auditor conducted interviews with two Medical & Mental Health staff members and confirmed receipt of specialized training on how to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse, how to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, and how to report allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Both Medical and Mental Health staff members also confirmed receiving the agency's general PREA training, which included the zero tolerance to sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

Upon review of the policy and documentation listed above and previously discussed, and after completion of interviews conducted, in addition to the observations made during the on-site visit file review, WERDCC demonstrated facility-wide practices that are consistent with policy and the requirements that complies with the PREA standard.

SCREENING FOR RISK OF SEXUAL VICTIMIZATION AND ABUSIVENESS

Standard 115.41: Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

1	1	5	.41	(a	١

- Are all inmates assessed during an intake screening for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates?

 ⊠ Yes □ No
- Are all inmates assessed upon transfer to another facility for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates?

 ☑ Yes □ No

115.41 (b)

Do intake screenings ordinarily take place within 72 hours of arrival at the facility?

 ∑ Yes □ No

115.41 (c) Are all PREA screening assessments conducted using an objective screening instrument? 115.41 (d) Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (1) Whether the inmate has a mental, physical, or developmental disability? ⊠ Yes □ No Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (2) The age of the inmate? \boxtimes Yes \square No Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (3) The physical build of the inmate? ⊠ Yes □ No Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (4) Whether the inmate has previously been incarcerated? Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (5) Whether the inmate's criminal history is exclusively nonviolent? Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (6) Whether the inmate has prior convictions for sex offenses against an adult or child?

✓ Yes

✓ No Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (7) Whether the inmate is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming (the facility affirmatively asks the inmate about his/her sexual orientation and gender identity AND makes a subjective determination based on the screener's perception whether the inmate is gender non-conforming or otherwise may be perceived to be LGBTI)? \boxtimes Yes \square No Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (8) Whether the inmate has previously experienced sexual

purposes? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (9) The inmate's own perception of vulnerability? \boxtimes Yes \square No

Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (10) Whether the inmate is detained solely for civil immigration

115.41	(e)
•	In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency, prior acts of sexual abuse? ⊠ Yes □ No
•	In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency, prior convictions for violent offenses? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency, history of prior institutional violence or sexual abuse? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.41	(f)
•	Within a set time period not more than 30 days from the inmate's arrival at the facility, does the facility reassess the inmate's risk of victimization or abusiveness based upon any additional, relevant information received by the facility since the intake screening? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.41	(g)
•	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a referral? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a request? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to an incident of sexual abuse? \boxtimes Yes \square No Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to receipt of additional information that bears on the inmate's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.41	(h)
•	Is it the case that inmates are not ever disciplined for refusing to answer, or for not disclosing complete information in response to, questions asked pursuant to paragraphs (d)(1), (d)(7), (d)(8), or (d)(9) of this section? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.41	(i)
•	Has the agency implemented appropriate controls on the dissemination within the facility of responses to questions asked pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the inmate's detriment by staff or other inmates? \boxtimes Yes \square No
Audito	r Overall Compliance Determination
	☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

	Does Not Meet Standard	(Requires Corrective Action
ш	DUES NOT MICEL Standard	(Neguires Corrective Actio

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment Missouri Department of Corrections Adult Internal Risk Assessments

Interviews conducted with:

Staff Responsible for Risk Screening

Random sample of Offenders

PREA Coordinator

On-site Review Observations:

Demonstration of Missouri Corrections Integrated System (MOCIS)

Offender records of initial assessment & reassessment

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states facilities will assess offenders for the risk of being sexually abused and the risk of being sexually abusive utilizing their divisional adult internal risk assessment in accordance with the institutional services procedure regarding diagnostic center reception and orientation, and probation and parole procedures regarding the community supervision center, the community release center, and contracted residential facilities.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states offenders will be assessed within seventy-two hours of arrival and shall be reassessed within 30 days of arrival. The reassessment shall consider additional relevant information received by the facility after the initial intake screening.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states the offender's risk level shall be reassessed when warranted due to a referral, incident of sexual abuse, or upon

request or receipt of additional information that impacts an offender's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states the offender shall not be disciplined for refusing to answer or not disciplined for refusing to answer or not disciplined for refusing to answer or not disclosing complete information during the assessment. Offender interpreters or offender readers shall not be utilized.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states is the screening indicates that an offender has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in a correctional setting or in the community, staff members shall ensure that the offender is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 calendar days of the intake screening.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states is the screening indicates that an offender has previously perpetrated sexual abuse, whether it occurred in a correctional setting or in the community, staff members shall ensure that the offender is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 calendar days of the intake screening.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states medical and mental health practitioners shall obtain informed consent from offenders before reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting.

During the pre-on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor reviewed eight *Adult Internal Risk Assessments* screening forms; an additional twenty-six *Adult Internal Risk Assessments* were also reviewed during the on-site phase. All *Adult Internal Risk Assessments* reviewed included the initial assessment and the reassessment and all completed within the auditing period. All forms were filled out completely and in accordance with agency policy.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted an interview with a Staff Member regarding the responsibility to conduct screenings for risk of victimization and abusiveness. The Staff Member provided the Auditor with an overview of the offender classification process and the offender risk screening process to include how all offenders are screened within 72 hours (or less) of their arrival to the facility.

The Staff Supervisor also demonstrated the interview process to the Auditor, by utilizing the *Missouri Corrections Integrated System* (MOCIS) and the *Adult Internal Risk Assessment* to determine the offender's risk screening and needs assessment. MOCIS is web-based offender management system. MOCIS enables staff members to access offender information and conduct offender assessments using established, validated instruments. Access is strictly limited, requires user authentication and all information is securely stored and controlled by the agency. The *Adult Internal Risk Assessment* assist departmental staff to ensure those offenders who are identified as a higher risk for sexual victimization or predation are kept separate and/or appropriately monitored in regard to living around those offenders who are identified as a higher risk of being sexually victimized. The *Adult Internal Risk Assessment* is a series of questions, which include:

- Sexual orientation and/or gender identity.
- Age, physical characteristics, height, weight,
- Developmental, mental, or medical disability.
- Criminal history, prior incarcerations.
- Whether the offender experienced prior sexual abuse while incarcerated.
- Whether the offender experienced threats with physical violence while incarcerated
- Prior sexual victimization either in the community or correctional setting.
- Victim of physical assault while incarcerated.
- Offender fears for his/her safety due to sexual threats or abuse.
- Offender fears his/her safety due to threats of physical violence.
- Previously perpetrated sex offense
- History of consensual sex with another offender while incarcerated.
- Offender's own perception of vulnerability.

The Auditor inquired to the Staff Member what actions are taken against offenders who refuse to cooperate or answer the questions in the risk screening process. The Staff Member responded that offenders are not required to provide answers, if an offender refuses to answer, another staff member will conduct a follow-up interview. The Staff Member confirmed that offenders are not disciplined for refusing to cooperate or answer the questions in the risk screening process.

The Staff Member confirmed all interviews are conducted privately and the interviews include the classification and risk assessment process, offender program opportunities, qualifications for job assignments, and educational opportunities.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor reviewed thirty-one offender records. These records were selected based upon the offender sexual abuse investigations, offenders who reported sexual victimization during intake, length at facility, and offenders that disclosed sexual orientation as gay, bisexual, or transgender. Each file contained the initial risk screening form as well as the 30-day reassessment form; all were filled out completely and in accordance with the facility's policy.

During the on-site visit, the Auditor requested an interview with two offenders who disclosed prior sexual victimization. Each offender confirmed to the Auditor that he was offered the opportunity to meet with a medical or mental health care practitioner during the risk screening process; one of the two offenders confirmed meeting with mental health practitioner, the second offender interviewed could not recall accepting the opportunity to meet with a mental health practitioner but does recall being offered.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted twenty-two interviews with a random representation of offenders. All offender interviews were conducted with the guidance of the National PREA Resource Center, *PREA Compliance Audit Instrument - Interview Guide for Offenders*. The Auditor requested an up-to-date offender roster from every housing unit and selected a random representation from the offender rosters provided. Offenders from every housing unit and of various demographics were interviewed.

One of the twenty-two offenders interviewed entered the facility twelve months or longer, therefore this interview question was not posed to them. Of the remaining twenty-one offenders interviewed, eighteen of the twenty-one offenders recalled the initial risk assessment interview as well as the second risk assessment interview occurring with a staff member and within a few weeks after the initial assessment.

Missouri Department of Corrections *Adult Internal Risk Assessment Manual* states the facility shall implement appropriate controls on the dissemination within the facility of responses to the questions asked pursuant to risk screenings to ensure privacy of sensitive information that may be used for exploitation by staff or other offenders. During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor inquired to the Staff Member how WERDCC protects such sensitive information. The Staff Member stated the access to such information is strictly limited for Corrections Case Managers (CCM) and his/her supervisor, and the Assistant Warden.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor inquired to the PREA Coordinator how the facility protects sensitive information, in particular an offender's risk assessment. The PREA Coordinator explained how the risk PREA Audit Report – V7.

Page 86 of 179

Facility Name – WERDCC

assessments are completed by Corrections Case Managers and are maintained electronically. The CCM Supervisor, the Functional Unit Manager, and the Assistant Warden reviews and approves the assessment findings are the only staff members who has approved access to an offender's risk assessment.

Upon review of the policies, on-site file review, and upon completion of the interviews with staff, WERDCC demonstrated facility-wide practices that are consistent with policy and the requirements that complies with the PREA standard.

Standard 115.42: Use of screening information

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.42 (a)
----------	----

115.42 ((a)
k	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Housing Assignments? \boxtimes Yes \square No
k	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Bed assignments? \boxtimes Yes \square No
k	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Work Assignments? \boxtimes Yes \square No
k	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Education Assignments? \boxtimes Yes \square No
k	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Program Assignments? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.42 ((b)
• [Does the agency make individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each nmate? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.42 (c)

•	When deciding whether to assign a transgender or intersex inmate to a facility for male or female inmates, does the agency consider, on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the inmate's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems (NOTE: if an agency by policy or practice assigns inmates to a male or female facility on the basis of anatomy alone, that agency is not in compliance with this standard)? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	When making housing or other program assignments for transgender or intersex inmates, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the inmate's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.42	2 (d)
•	Are placement and programming assignments for each transgender or intersex inmate reassessed at least twice each year to review any threats to safety experienced by the inmate? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.42	2 (e)
•	Are each transgender or intersex inmate's own views with respect to his or her own safety given serious consideration when making facility and housing placement decisions and programming assignments? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.42	2 (f)
•	Are transgender and intersex inmates given the opportunity to shower separately from other inmates? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No
115.42	2 (g)
•	Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: lesbian, gay, and bisexual inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: transgender inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: intersex inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of

		or I inmates pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.) □ No □ NA	
Audito	Auditor Overall Compliance Determination		
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)	
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)	
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)	

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment

Missouri Department of Corrections Adult Internal Risk Assessments

Interviews conducted with:

PREA Compliance Manager

Staff Responsible for Risk Screening

Transgender / Intersex Offenders

PREA Coordinator

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states facilities will assess offenders for the risk of being sexually abused and the risk of being sexually abusive utilizing their divisional adult internal risk assessment in accordance with the institutional services procedure regarding diagnostic center reception and orientation, and probation and parole procedures regarding the community supervision center, the community release center, and contracted residential facilities.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states offenders will be assessed within seventy-two hours of arrival and shall be reassessed within 30 days of arrival. The reassessment shall consider additional relevant information received by the facility after the initial intake screening.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment states housing, cell, bed, education, and programming assignments shall be individualized utilizing the Adult Internal Risk Assessment with the goal of keeping separate those offenders identified at high risk of sexual victimization from offenders assessed at high risk of being sexually abusive. This shall be in accordance with the institutional services procedures regarding offender housing assignments, transgender and intersex offenders, offender recreation and activities, and probation and parole procedures regarding community supervision centers, the Community release center and contracted residential facilities.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states all housing, cell, bed, education and programming assignments for transgender or intersex offenders will be made in accordance with the institutional services procedures regarding offender, housing assignments and programming assignments.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states each institution will have a transgender committee to make informed decisions regarding the health and safety of transgender and intersex offenders assigned at that facility. The Transgender Committee shall meet with the offender upon arrival at the facility and every six months thereafter, or more often, if deemed necessary.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states Committee meeting and subsequent written report shall include the following:

- Offender's view of his vulnerability within the general population.
- Historical overview of the offender's transgender intersex status.
- Review of the offender in adult internal risk assessment.
- Review of the offender's institutional adjustment.
- PREA allegations and investigations.
- Review of programming assignments.
- Recommendations regarding the offender's health and safety to include housing assignment.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment states housing assignments for transgender or intersex offenders shall not be made based solely on genitalia but shall consider the offender's health and safety and the security of the facility through a review of the respective classification,

medical and mental health records. The transgender or intersex offender's own views with respect to his safety will be given serious consideration when determining housing.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states transgender or intersex offenders shall be offered the opportunity to shower separately from other offenders.

During the pre-on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor reviewed eight *Adult Internal Risk Assessments* screening forms; an additional twenty-six *Adult Internal Risk Assessments* were also reviewed during the on-site phase. All *Adult Internal Risk Assessments* reviewed included the initial assessment and the reassessment and all completed within the auditing period. All forms were filled out completely and in accordance with the agency policy.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted an interview with a Staff Member regarding the responsibility to conduct screenings for risk of victimization and abusiveness. The Staff Member provided the Auditor with an overview of the offender classification process and the offender risk screening process to include how all offenders are screened within 72 hours (or less) of their arrival to the facility.

The Staff Supervisor also demonstrated the interview process to the Auditor, by utilizing the *Missouri Corrections Integrated System* (MOCIS) and the *Adult Internal Risk Assessment* to determine the offender's risk screening and needs assessment. MOCIS is web-based offender management system. MOCIS enables staff members to access offender information and conduct offender assessments using established, validated instruments. Access is strictly limited, requires user authentication and all information is securely stored and controlled by the agency.

The *Adult Internal Risk Assessment* assist departmental staff to ensure those offenders who are identified as a higher risk for sexual victimization or predation are kept separate and/or appropriately monitored in regard to living around those offenders who are identified as a higher risk of being sexually victimized. The *Adult Internal Risk Assessment* is a series of questions, which include:

- Sexual orientation and/or gender identity.
- Age, physical characteristics, height, weight,
- Developmental, mental, or medical disability.
- Criminal history, prior incarcerations.
- Whether the offender experienced prior sexual abuse while incarcerated.

- Whether the offender experienced threats with physical violence while incarcerated
- Prior sexual victimization either in the community or correctional setting.
- Victim of physical assault while incarcerated.
- Offender fears for his/her safety due to sexual threats or abuse.
- Offender fears his/her safety due to threats of physical violence.
- Previously perpetrated sex offense
- History of consensual sex with another offender while incarcerated.
- Offender's own perception of vulnerability.

The Auditor inquired to the Staff Member what actions are taken against offenders who refuse to cooperate or answer the questions in the risk screening process. The Staff Member responded that offenders are not required to provide answers, if an offender refuses to answer, another staff member will conduct a follow-up interview. The Staff Member confirmed that offenders are not disciplined for refusing to cooperate or answer the questions in the risk screening process.

The Staff Member confirmed all interviews are conducted privately and the interviews include the classification and risk assessment process, offender program opportunities, qualifications for job assignments, and educational opportunities.

During the on-site visit phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted an interview with the PREA Compliance Manager on how the facility uses information obtained from the risk screening assessment interview to keep offenders from being sexually victimized or being sexually abusive. The PREA Compliance Manager described the risk screening process and explained how depending upon the responses given by the offender is used to determine the risk level of vulnerability and assist in the initial classification, program, and work assignments. Offenders perceived to be vulnerable or predatory will be housed and given work / program assignments consistent with custody level and medical status.

The Auditor also inquired to the PREA Compliance Manager how the facility determines housing and program assignments for transgender or intersex offenders. The PREA Compliance Manager explained that housing for a transgender or intersex offender is determined on a case-by-case basis. The offender's safety as well as the safety and the security of the institutional compound will be taken into consideration when making the housing determination.

During the on-site visit, the Auditor requested an up-to-date offender roster for gay, bisexual, transgender, and intersex offenders to conducted targeted offender interviews. All offender interviews were conducted with the guidance of the National PREA Resource Center, *PREA Compliance Audit Instrument - Interview Guide for Offenders*.

The Auditor conducted four interviews with offenders who identify as either gay, bisexual, or transgender. Each offender was questioned whether they were placed in a housing area only for gay, bisexual, or transgender offenders. Each offender acknowledged being housed in a general population housing area for all offenders of the same level of classification. The two offenders who identified as transgender were questioned if the offender was allowed to shower alone, without other offenders and each transgender offender confirmed the facility does provide the opportunity to shower alone.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted an interview with the PREA Coordinator and inquired how the agency ensures against placing lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex offenders in dedicated facilities or wings. The PREA Coordinator informed the Auditor that agency policy prohibits such placement and explained MDC policy states all offender housing assignments shall be made in an individualized, nondiscriminatory manner.

Upon review of the policies and upon completion of the interviews with staff, WERDCC demonstrated facility-wide practices that are consistent with policy and the requirements that complies with the PREA standard.

Standard 115.43: Protective Custody

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.43 (a)

•	Does the facility always refrain from placing inmates at high risk for sexual victimization in
	involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been
	made, and a determination has been made that there is no available alternative means of
	separation from likely abusers? ⊠ Yes □ No

-	If a facility cannot conduct such an assessment immediately, does the facility hold the inmate in
	involuntary segregated housing for less than 24 hours while completing the assessment?

115.43	(b)
•	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Programs to the extent possible? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Privileges to the extent possible? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Education to the extent possible? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Work opportunities to the extent possible? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	If the facility restricts any access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the opportunities that have been limited? (N/A if the facility <i>never</i> restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	If the facility restricts any access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the duration of the limitation? (N/A if the facility <i>never</i> restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	If the facility restricts any access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the reasons for such limitations? (N/A if the facility <i>never</i> restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
115.43	(c)
•	Does the facility assign inmates at high risk of sexual victimization to involuntary segregated housing only until an alternative means of separation from likely abusers can be arranged? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does such an assignment not ordinarily exceed a period of 30 days? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No
115.43	s (d)
•	If an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, does the facility clearly document the basis for the facility's concern for the inmate's safety? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	If an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, does the facility clearly document the reason why no alternative means of separation can be arranged? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.43	(e)
•	In the case of each inmate who is placed in involuntary segregation because he/she is at high risk of sexual victimization, does the facility afford a review to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population EVERY 30 DAYS? \boxtimes Yes \square No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination ☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards) ☐ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

П

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment

Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Interviews conducted with:

Facility Warden

Staff who supervise Offenders in Segregated Housing

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment states following an allegation of offender sexual abuse or if an offender is assessed at being at high risk of victimization. The shift commander shall ensure the offender is housed in the least restrictive housing available to ensure safety. The assessment for least restrictive housing shall occur within 24 hours of the allegation or the offender being identified as at risk.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states options to ensure safety of the offender in the security institution include:

- Return to assigned housing.
- Temporary reassignment of staff members.
- Assignment to another housing unit.
- Temporary segregated housing for protective custody needs. Segregated housing should not be considered as the first option to ensure safety of the victim.

Missouri Administrative Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states assessment is due to an alleged PREA event, the shift commander shall note on the *PREA Allegation Notification Penetration/Non-Penetration Event Checklist* form of the recommended housing option.

Missouri Administrative Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment states if temporary administrative segregation confinement (TASC) is recommended, the shift commander, shall note on the PREA Notification Checklist the reason no alternative means of housing separation can be arranged and the offender victim shall be placed in segregated housing in accordance with institutional services procedures regarding temporary administrative segregation confinement and administrative segregation units.

Missouri Administrative Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states the shift commander shall ensure the alleged victims and perpetrators are separated by sight and sound while housed in a segregation unit.

Missouri Administrative Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states when an offender is believed to be in substantial risk of victimization, the shift commander shall assess the offender to ensure housing in the least restrictive housing. If TASC is determined to be the least restrictive housing the shift commander shall note on the TASC order the offender is being placed in segregated housing due to PREA risk. The offender shall be placed in segregated housing in accordance with institutional services procedures regarding segregation units.

Missouri Administrative Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment states the PREA Compliance Manager shall review all PREA Notification Checklists the following business day to ensure appropriate housing placement. Assignment to involuntary segregated housing shall not ordinarily exceed a period of 30 days. Every 30 days the offender shall be afforded a review to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population in accordance with institutional services procedures regarding segregation units and protective custody.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted an interview with the Facility Warden regarding offenders at high risk of victimization. The Facility Warden explained the *Adult Internal Risk Assessment*, which assists in determining risk factors, also helps in choosing appropriate and safe housing assignments for offenders identified as being at risk. Offenders who have made an allegation of sexual abuse and have stated that they are PREA Audit Report – V7.

Page 96 of 179

Facility Warden regarding

in fear for their safety will be placed in segregated housing, either voluntarily or involuntarily, on a temporary basis until a review can be conducted to verify the extent of the danger. The incident is reviewed as soon as possible, and the offender will be released from segregation as soon as it can be determined that the offender is no longer in imminent danger, or as soon as alternative means of separation from an alleged abuser can be arranged.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted an interview with a Facility Staff Member who supervises offenders in segregated housing. The Auditor inquired to the Facility Staff Member if an offender who is placed in segregated housing for protection from sexual abuse or after having alleged sexual abuse, what restrictions are placed on the offender. The Facility Staff Member articulated that an offender placed in Protective Management (placed in segregated housing for protection) do not have restrictions and retain the same privileges as offenders in general population housing, to include participating in programs and education opportunities. The Facility Staff Member explained if an offender has restrictions placed on her it would be a result of their behavior or actions, and such action (being placed on restrictions) would require documentation explaining what has been restricted, duration of restriction, and reasons for initiating the restriction.

During the 12 months prior to the audit, the facility reported in the PAQ there were no offenders at risk of sexual victimization being assigned to involuntary segregated housing. Therefore, offenders in this targeted category were not interviewed. During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor interviewed a Classification Staff Member and the PREA Compliance Manager and each confirmed the information previously provided by the facility in the PAQ.

Upon review of the policy and documentation provided and upon completion of the interviews with staff, WERDCC demonstrated facility-wide practices that are consistent with policy and the requirements that complies with the PREA standard.

REPORTING

Standard 115.51: Inmate reporting

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.51 (a)

•		the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report sexual abuse and harassment? $oxtimes$ Yes \oxtimes No			
•		the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report retaliation by nmates or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment? \boxtimes Yes \square No			
		the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report staff neglect or on of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents? \boxtimes Yes \square No			
115.51	(b)				
•		the agency also provide at least one way for inmates to report sexual abuse or sexual sment to a public or private entity or office that is not part of the agency? \boxtimes Yes \square No			
•		private entity or office able to receive and immediately forward inmate reports of sexual and sexual harassment to agency officials? \boxtimes Yes \square No			
•		that private entity or office allow the inmate to remain anonymous upon request? \Box No			
•	contac Securi	mates detained solely for civil immigration purposes provided information on how to ct relevant consular officials and relevant officials at the Department of Homeland ty? (N/A if the facility <i>never</i> houses inmates detained solely for civil immigration purposes) \square No \square NA			
115.51	(c)				
•		staff accept reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment made verbally, in writing, mously, and from third parties? \boxtimes Yes \square No			
•		staff promptly document any verbal reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? \Box No			
115.51	(d)				
•		the agency provide a method for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual sment of inmates? $oxtimes$ Yes \oxtimes No			
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination					
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)			
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)			
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)			

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment

Missouri Department of Corrections Employee Handbook

Missouri Department of Corrections contract with Missouri Department of Public Safety

Missouri Department of Corrections Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment Brochure (Multiple Languages & in Braille)

Interviews conducted with:

PREA Compliance Manager

Random sample of Staff

Random sample of Offenders

On-site Review Observations:

PREA informational signage

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states each facility's Chief Administrative Officer or designee shall provide multiple ways for offenders to make anonymous reports of allegations of offender sexual abuse and harassment, retaliation, staff neglect, and violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident of offender sexual abuse, to include but not be limited to:

- Informal resolution request (IRR), grievance process, or offender complaint.
- To a staff member.
- PREA hotline.
- Advocacy agency.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states offenders may make anonymous reports of allegations of offender sexual abuse to the Department of Public Safety, Crimes Victims Services Unit. All offender mail addressed to the Crimes Victims Services Unit shall be treated as confidential mail and not subject to examination. Facilities shall maintain strict policies prohibiting mailroom

staff from revealing to staff members or administrators the fact that an offender sent correspondence to the sexual abuse reporting entity.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states the PREA Compliance Manager shall make key information readily available or visible to all offenders through PREA posters, the offender rulebook, and the offender brochure on sexual abuse and harassment.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment states the Department shall provide PREA related education in formats accessible to all offenders, including those who are limited English proficient, deaf, visually impaired, or otherwise disabled, as well as to offenders who have limited reading skills in accordance with the agency's procedures regarding deaf and hard of hearing offenders, disabled offenders, and blind and visually impaired offenders.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor reviewed the contract between the Missouri Department of Corrections and Missouri Department of Public Safety, Crimes Victims Services Unit.

In accordance with the contract between MDC and the Missouri Department of Public Safety, Crimes Victims Services Unit, the DPS Crimes Victims Services Unit provides offenders with mailing address for offender communication and is designated as the outside reporting hotline for offenders housed at WERDCC.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted a tour of the facility and observed PREA informational bulletins posted in every housing area as well as various locations throughout the compound. These PREA bulletins are posted in multiple languages, located near the offender phones inside the dormitories, as well as several posted in common areas (educational and vocational buildings) throughout the compound. The bulletins display phone numbers and addresses for multiple reporting methods to include the confidential PREA Hotline (Dial 8 or 573.526.0513), writing to Department of Public Safety Crime Victims Services Unit, or writing or calling the PREA Unit (573.526.9003).

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted twenty-two interviews with a random representation of offenders. All offender interviews were conducted with the guidance of the National PREA Resource Center, *PREA Compliance Audit Instrument - Interview Guide for Offenders*. The Auditor requested an up-to-date offender facility roster from every housing dormitory and selected a random representation from the offender rosters provided.

Offenders from every housing dormitory and of various demographics were interviewed. Offenders were asked how they would report an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment if it happened to them or another offender. Eighteen of the twenty-two offenders interviewed referred to utilizing the hotline or staff member as the most direct method to report or inquire about PREA information. All twenty-two offenders interviewed confirmed knowledge of third-party reporting either by calling the PREA Hotline or a family member as their source outside the facility. Seventeen of the twenty-two offenders interviewed were aware of the availability of submitting an anonymous PREA report. All offenders interviewed provided more than two methods of reporting when the Auditor posed the initial question, confirming offenders are educated in the multiple reporting avenues available.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states staff members may anonymously report allegations of offender sexual abuse, harassment, or retaliation utilizing the employee reporting hotline in accordance with department procedure regarding discrimination, harassment, retaliation, or unprofessional conduct.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor-conducted interviews with random staff members and asked each staff member how an offender can privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment or retaliation by other offenders or staff for previously reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

Each staff member interviewed was able to articulate the various methods an offender may privately report an allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment (PREA hotline or TIP hotline, write to DPS Crime Victims Services Unit). Staff members also explained that reports concerning sexual abuse or sexual harassment, whether reported verbally or in writing, are considered confidential and must be documented immediately.

The Auditor inquired to each staff member how he/she would report an allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment of offenders privately. All Staff Members interviewed indicated calling the staff hotline (CLEAR Line) as the method for privately reporting such allegations. Staff members expressed confidence in reporting privately and no one reported fear of retaliation.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted an interview with the PREA Compliance Manager to verify reporting methods for sexual abuse or sexual harassment allegations that are available for offenders and staff. The PREA Compliance Manager confirmed the multiple methods of reporting available for offenders and staff, these reports can be made either verbally, in writing, by a third party and may be done so in private or

anonymously and all reports, no matter the method used to report, are confidential and handled promptly and professionally. The PREA Compliance Manager confirmed that the Department of Public Safety Crime Victims Services Unit is the designated outside entity for offender reporting.

Upon review of the policies, contracts, employee handbook, and PREA informational brochures and signs and upon completion of interviews conducted, WERDCC demonstrated facility-wide practices that are consistent with policy and the requirements that complies with the PREA standard.

Standard 115.52: Exhaustion of administrative remedies

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

11	5	.52	(a)
----	---	-----	-----

Is the agency exempt from this standard? NOTE: The agency is exempt ONLY if it does not have administrative procedures to address inmate grievances regarding sexual abuse. This does not mean the agency is exempt simply because an inmate does not have to or is not ordinarily expected to submit a grievance to report sexual abuse. This means that as a matter of explicit policy, the agency does not have an administrative remedies process to address sexual abuse.

☐ Yes ☐ No

115.52 (b)

- Does the agency permit inmates to submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse without any type of time limits? (The agency may apply otherwise-applicable time limits to any portion of a grievance that does not allege an incident of sexual abuse.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)

 Yes □ No □ NA
- Does the agency always refrain from requiring an inmate to use any informal grievance process, or to otherwise attempt to resolve with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)

 ☑ Yes □ No □ NA

115.52 (c)

- Does the agency ensure that: An inmate who alleges sexual abuse may submit a grievance without submitting it to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA
- Does the agency ensure that: Such grievance is not referred to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)

 ☑ Yes □ No □ NA

115.52 (d)

■ Does the agency issue a final agency decision on the merits of any portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse within 90 days of the initial filing of the grievance? (Computation of the 90-day time period does not include time consumed by inmates in preparing any administrative appeal.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) Yes □ No □ NA
If the agency claims the maximum allowable extension of time to respond of up to 70 days per 115.52(d)(3) when the normal time period for response is insufficient to make an appropriate decision, does the agency notify the inmate in writing of any such extension and provide a date by which a decision will be made? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)
• At any level of the administrative process, including the final level, if the inmate does not receive a response within the time allotted for reply, including any properly noticed extension, may an inmate consider the absence of a response to be a denial at that level? (N/A if agency is exemptor this standard.) ⋈ Yes □ No □ NA
115.52 (e)
 Are third parties, including fellow inmates, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, permitted to assist inmates in filing requests for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☑ Yes □ No □ NA
• Are those third parties also permitted to file such requests on behalf of inmates? (If a third-part files such a request on behalf of an inmate, the facility may require as a condition of processing the request that the alleged victim agree to have the request filed on his or her behalf, and may also require the alleged victim to personally pursue any subsequent steps in the administrative remedy process.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ⋈ Yes □ No □ NA
 If the inmate declines to have the request processed on his or her behalf, does the agency document the inmate's decision? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA
115.52 (f)
■ Has the agency established procedures for the filing of an emergency grievance alleging that a inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☑ Yes □ No □ NA
■ After receiving an emergency grievance alleging an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does the agency immediately forward the grievance (or any portion thereof that alleges the substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse) to a level of review at which immediate corrective action may be taken? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.). ☑ Yes □ No □ NA
■ After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency provide an initial response within 48 hours? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA

•	After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency issue a final agency decision within 5 calendar days? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA					
•	Does the initial response and final agency decision document the agency's determination whether the inmate is in substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA					
•	Does the initial response document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA					
•	Does the agency's final decision document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA					
115.52	(g)					
•	do so C	gency disciplines an inmate for filing a grievance related to alleged sexual abuse, does it DNLY where the agency demonstrates that the inmate filed the grievance in bad faith? agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA				
Audito	r Overa	all Compliance Determination				
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)				
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)				
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)				
Instruc	ctions f	or Overall Compliance Determination Narrative				
complia conclus not me	ance or i sions. Th et the st	below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the mon-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's his discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does and and an analysis. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by specific corrective actions taken by the facility.				
Docum	ents:					
Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D5-3.2, Offender Grievance						
Missou	ri Depart	tment of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment				
Intervie	ws cond	lucted with:				
Offende	ers who i	reported a Sexual Abuse				

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D5-3.2, *Offender Grievance* states this procedure shall be available to offenders and institutional libraries and to staff members in the departmental policy and procedure manual. This procedure should be kept current at all times.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states shall not require an offender to use any informal grievance or complaint process, or to otherwise attempt to resolve with staff members and alleged incident of sexual abuse.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states the department shall not impose a time limit for an offender submitting a grievance or complaint regarding an allegation of sexual abuse.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states the department may apply otherwise applicable time limits to any portion of a grievance or complaint that does not allege an incident of sexual abuse in accordance with the department procedure regarding offender grievance, institutional investigations, and Office of Professional Standards.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states the department shall ensure that an offender who alleges sexual abuse may submit a complaint to a staff member who is not the subject of the complaint, and the grievance or complaint is not referred to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states staff members are to address grievances or complaints for allegations of sexual abuse and harassment in accordance with the department procedure regarding offender grievance, institutional investigations, and Office of Professional Standards.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D5-3.2, *Offender Grievance* states resolution requests, offender grievances or offender grievance appeals containing allegations of offender sexual abuse will be processed in the manner outlined in this section.

 An offender who alleges offender sexual abuse may submit an informal resolution request, offender grievance, or offender grievance appeal without submitting it to a staff member who is a subject of the complaint. A staff member who is a subject of the complaint should not be the respondent.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D5-3.2, *Offender Grievance* states when the staff member responsible for processing informal resolution requests, offender grievances, or offender grievance appeals receives a complaint alleging offender sexual abuse, a copy of the form will be forwarded to the shift commander and the Offender Sexual Abuse Coordinated Response will be initiated in accordance with the department procedure regarding PREA.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D5-3.2, *Offender Grievance* states informal resolution request alleging sexual abuse will be processed normally, with the exception of a response should be completed as soon as practical, but no later than 30 calendar days of receipt.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D5-3.2, *Offender Grievance* states alleging sexual abuse will be processed normally. With the following exceptions:

- The Chief Administrative Officer or designee should respond within 30 calendar days of receipt.
- Computation of the 30-day time period will not include the days between the offender's receipt of the informal resolution request and receipt of the offender grievance by the grievance officer or designee.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D5-3.2, *Offender Grievance* states appeals alleging offender sexual abuse will be processed normally, with the following exceptions.

- A response should be provided as soon as practical, but no later than 30 calendar days of receipt.
- Computation of the 30-day time period will not include the days between the offender's receipt of the offender grievance response and receipt of the offender grievance appeal by Central Office grievance staff members. Appeals will be referred to the Deputy Division director or designee.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D5-3.2, *Offender Grievance* states an extension of time to respond of up to 70 days, may be claimed if the normal time period for response is insufficient to make an appropriate decision. The offender will be notified in writing of any such extensions and will be provided a date by which the response will be provided. At any level of the administrative process, including the offender grievance appeal level, if the offender does not receive a response within the time allotted for reply, including any properly noticed extension, the offender may proceed to the next level of the offender grievance process.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D5-3.2, *Offender Grievance* states third parties, including fellow offenders, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, shall be permitted to assist offenders in filing requests for informal resolution requests, grievances or appeals relating to the allegations of offender sexual abuse. This assistance cannot interfere with the safety and security of the institution.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D5-3.2, *Offender Grievance* states allegations of offender sexual abuse by employees shall immediately be reported to the Chief Administrative Officer or designee for possible investigation or inquiry. If the staff member who processes the informal resolution requests determines that it meets the definition of a PREA emergency complaint, the offender will be provided in informal resolution request form. The chief administrative officer or designee will prepare an initial response which will be attached to the informal resolution request and provided to the offender within 48 hours of receipt of the initial filing date. The offender will sign and date the response. A final response from the Chief Administrative Officer or designee will be provided to the offender within five calendar days from the initial filing date, the offender will sign and date the form.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D5-3.2, *Offender Grievance* states the initial and final response for the informal resolution request shall document the department's determination whether the offender is in substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse and the action taken in response to the emergency informal resolution request.

During the 12 months prior to the audit, WERDCC reported twenty allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; seventeen of the twenty allegations were sexual abuse, and three allegations were sexual harassment. During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor was provided with an updated offender roster, which provided documentation that seventeen of the twenty offenders, who reported an allegation of sexual abuse, were released from the custody, or were transferred to another correctional facility.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted three targeted interviews with offenders who reported an incident of sexual abuse. The Auditor inquired to each offender if the facility notified her of the final decisions made regarding the allegation and was, she was notified in writing. One of the three offenders confirmed to the Auditor, she was notified of the final decision. While reviewing the investigative files, the Auditor verified the offender was notified with the case resolution and included the offender's signature on the notification. The remaining two offenders denied reporting an incident of sexual abuse.

Upon review of policies, interviews with targeted offenders, and review of investigative files, WERDCC demonstrated facility-wide practices that are consistent with policy and the requirements that complies with the PREA standard.

Standard 115.53: Inmate access to outside confidential support services

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.53 (a)		
services including	facility provide inmates with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support related to sexual abuse by giving inmates mailing addresses and telephone numbers, toll-free hotline numbers where available, of local, State, or national victim advocacy or is organizations? \boxtimes Yes \square No	
addresse State, or	e facility provide persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes mailing es and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available of local, national immigrant services agencies? (N/A if the facility <i>never</i> has persons detained recivil immigration purposes.) \square Yes \square No \boxtimes NA	
	facility enable reasonable communication between inmates and these organizations acies, in as confidential a manner as possible? \boxtimes Yes \square No	
115.53 (b)		
communi	e facility inform inmates, prior to giving them access, of the extent to which such ications will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to es in accordance with mandatory reporting laws? \boxtimes Yes \square No	
115.53 (c)		
agreeme emotiona	e agency maintain or attempt to enter into memoranda of understanding or other ents with community service providers that are able to provide inmates with confidential all support services related to sexual abuse? Yes No	
	e agency maintain copies of agreements or documentation showing attempts to enter a greements? ⊠ Yes □ No	
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination		
□ E	xceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)	

		Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
Instru	ictions f	or Overall Compliance Determination Narrative
compl conclu not me	iance or usions. The state of t	below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's his discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does tandard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by specific corrective actions taken by the facility.
Docun	nents:	
Misso	uri Depar	tment of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment
Misso	uri Depar	tment of Corrections MOU w/Missouri Department of Public Safety, Crimes Victims Services Unit
Misso	uri Depar	tment of Corrections MOU w/Audrain County Crisis Intervention Services.
Misso	uri Depar	tment of Corrections PREA Informational Poster (English / Spanish)
Misso	uri Depar	tment of Corrections Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment Brochure (Multiple Languages & in
Braille)	
Intervi	ews cond	lucted with:
Rando	m sample	e of Offenders
Offend	ders who	reported a Sexual Abuse
On-sit	e Review	Observations:
PREA i	nformatio	onal signage
Sexual	Abuse A	wareness Brochure

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states victims of sexual abuse shall be offered timely information and access to emergency contraception and prophylactic treatment for sexually transmitted infections in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate. Treatment services shall be provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with the investigation arising out of the incident.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states each victim and abuser shall be offered medical in mental health evaluations, and as appropriate, treatment to include appropriate follow up services and treatment plans. When necessary, referrals will be completed for continued care following their transfer to or placement in, other facilities or their release from custody.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states victims and abusers shall be provided with medical and mental health services consistent with the Community level of care it in accordance with the institutional services procedures regarding medical and mental health services.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states each facility shall offer victims of offender sexual abuse, not including sexual harassment, a victim advocate to provide emotional support services, crisis intervention during the sexual assault exam, when applicable, and the investigative process.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment states each facility shall enter into a memorandum of understanding (MOU) with a rape crisis center to provide advocacy services in accordance with the department's procedure regarding professional and general services contracts.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states if a facility is unable to enter into an MOU with the advocacy center, the attempt shall be documented an advocacy service shall be provided by a qualified staff member who has been trained to provide advocacy services to a survivor of sexual abuse in confinement settings.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states all staff member serving as a designated victim advocate for offenders shall receive victim advocacy training for sexual assault advocates. All services provided by staff member victim advocates to offender victims shall be afforded a level of confidentiality consistent with the safety and security of the institution.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor reviewed documentation which included, training agreement between MDC and Missouri Coalition Against Domestic and Sexual Violence, victim advocacy training curriculum titled *The Nature and Dynamics of Sexual Violence*, and verification of attendance by facility Chaplain.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted an interview with the facility Chaplain. The facility Chaplain confirmed that he is the designated victim advocate at WERDCC and received the required advocacy training. The Facility Chaplain confirmed he provides advocacy services for offenders who are victims of sexual abuse or sexual violence. The services provided include emotional support services, accompaniment to forensic exams and investigatory interviews, crisis intervention, and provide referrals and resources for continued follow-up emotional support services.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor reviewed provided documentation, which included the contract between MDC and the Audrain County Crisis Intervention Services. The contract uses clear and concise language, provides the agency's responsibilities, the contractor's responsibilities, and the reporting and documentation requirements for each.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted an interview with a victim advocate from the Audrain County Crisis Intervention Services. The victim advocate confirmed the agreement entered with WERDCC and Audrain County Crisis Intervention Services. The victim advocate confirmed the services provided to offenders of sexual abuse included advocate accompaniment to forensic exams and investigatory interviews, crisis intervention, and to provide emotional support services.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted a tour of the facility and observed PREA informational bulletins posted in every housing area as well as various locations throughout the compound. These PREA bulletins are posted in multiple languages, located near the offender phones inside the dormitories, as well as several posted in common areas (educational and vocational buildings) throughout the compound. The bulletins display phone numbers and addresses for multiple reporting methods to include the confidential PREA Hotline (Dial 8 or 573.526.0513), writing to Department of Public Safety Crime Victims Services Unit, or writing or calling the PREA Unit (573.526.9003).

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted twenty-two interviews with a random representation of offenders. All offender interviews were conducted with the guidance of the National PREA Resource Center, *PREA Compliance Audit Instrument - Interview Guide for Offenders*. The Auditor requested an up-to-date offender facility roster from every housing dormitory and selected a random representation from the offender rosters provided.

Offenders were asked if needed, there are services available outside of the facility for dealing with sexual abuse. Eighteen of the twenty-two offenders interviewed stated there are services available as they were explained to them during the comprehensive PREA orientation and referred to the informational bulletins posted in the dormitory and throughout the compound, which provides specific details. Eighteen of the twenty-two offenders interviewed acknowledged being provided mailing address and telephone numbers to victim advocacy services and that such call is toll-free.

During the 12 months prior to the audit, WERDCC reported twenty allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; seventeen of the twenty allegations were sexual abuse, and three allegations were sexual harassment. During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor was provided with an updated offender roster, which provided documentation that seventeen of the twenty offenders, who reported an allegation of sexual abuse, were released from the custody, or were transferred to another correctional facility.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted three targeted interviews with offenders who reported an incident of sexual abuse. The Auditor inquired to each offender, after reporting, did the facility allow them to contact anyone. One of the three offenders confirmed to the Auditor, after reporting the incident, she was offered the opportunity to meet with the designated facility advocate and was informed of the additional emotional support services available. The remaining two offenders denied reporting an incident of sexual abuse and refused to discuss the incident with the Auditor.

Upon review of the policies and upon completion of the interviews with staff, WERDCC demonstrated facility-wide practices that are consistent with policy and the requirements that complies with the PREA standard.

Standard 115.54: Third-party reporting

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.54 (a)

•	Has the agency established a method to receive third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexual
	harassment? ⊠ Yes □ No

■ Has the agency distributed publicly information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of an inmate?

✓ Yes

✓ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
Instru	ıctions	for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative
compl conclu not m	liance or usions. T eet the s	below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's his discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does tandard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by a specific corrective actions taken by the facility.
Docun	nents:	
Misso	uri Depai	rtment of Corrections SOP 5-1.2, Institution Receiving & Orientation
Misso	uri Depai	rtment of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment
Misso	uri Depai	rtment of Corrections Reporting Offender Sexual Abuse or Harassment Poster
Misso	uri Depai	rtment of Corrections Website Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Missouri Department of
Correc	ctions (m	o.gov)
Intervi	iews con	ducted with:
Rando	m sampl	e of Offenders
On-sit	e Review	Observations:
PREA i	nformati	ional signage

Missouri Department of Corrections SOP 5-1.2, Institution Receiving & Orientation states after receiving an offender at an institution, designated reception and orientation unit staff members should ensure that offenders are provided an orientation program that includes general information and the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA), description of, and reporting potential PREA events, crime tips, and PREA hotline information.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states the PREA Compliance Manager shall make key information readily available or visible to all offenders through PREA posters, the offender rulebook, and the offender brochure on sexual abuse and harassment.

Missouri Department of Corrections *Reporting Offender Sexual Abuse or Harassment Poster* displays MDC zero-tolerance for all forms of offender sexual abuse and sexual harassment and provides offenders with reporting instructions to include telling a family member or friend can file a third-party grievance via the agency's online complaint form on the agency website. The posters were in multiple languages, displayed throughout the facility, in each housing dormitory, all common areas, and areas where visitors would frequent.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted twenty-two interviews with a random representation of offenders. All offender interviews were conducted with the guidance of the National PREA Resource Center, *PREA Compliance Audit Instrument - Interview Guide for Offenders*. The Auditor requested an up-to-date offender facility roster from every housing dormitory and selected a random sampling from the offender rosters provided.

All twenty-two offenders interviewed recalled receiving both the initial PREA orientation and the comprehensive (video) orientation. All offenders also acknowledged the zero-tolerance policy on sexual abuse sexual harassment and the various ways to report such incidents.

When questioned about third party reporting, all twenty-two offenders interviewed acknowledged how to submit a third-party report (ask a family member or friend to submit a report or call the PREA hotline). In addition, several of the offenders referred to the PREA bulletins posted throughout the facility as it contains the directions on how to submit a third-party report.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor visited the agency's website and confirmed the availability for the public to submit a report of sexual abuse or sexual harassment on behalf of an offender. To ensure the agency's website and third-party methods are functional, the Auditor submitted a test allegation/report using the email link found on the agency's website. The Auditor received a reply email by MDC PREA Unit Staff within an hour of submitting the Auditor's test email.

Upon review of the policies and upon completion of the interviews with staff, WERDCC demonstrated facility-wide practices that are consistent with policy and the requirements that complies with the PREA standard.

OFFICIAL RESPONSE FOLLOWING AN INMATE REPORT

Standard 115.61: Staff and agency reporting duties

115.61	(a)
•	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding retaliation against inmates or staff who reported an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment or retaliation? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.61	(b)
•	Apart from reporting to designated supervisors or officials, does staff always refrain from revealing any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary, as specified in agency policy, to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.61	(c)
•	Unless otherwise precluded by Federal, State, or local law, are medical and mental health practitioners required to report sexual abuse pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section? ☑ Yes □ No
•	Are medical and mental health practitioners required to inform inmates of the practitioner's duty to report, and the limitations of confidentiality, at the initiation of services? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.61	(d)
•	If the alleged victim is under the age of 18 or considered a vulnerable adult under a State or local vulnerable persons statute, does the agency report the allegation to the designated State or local services agency under applicable mandatory reporting laws? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.61	(e)
•	Does the facility report all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third-

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

party and anonymous reports, to the facility's designated investigators? oximes Yes \odots No

	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
Ш	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

Missouri Department of Corrections SOP D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment

Interviews conducted with:

Random sample of Staff

Medical / Mental Health Staff

Facility Warden

Missouri Department of Corrections SOP D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states the Chief Administrative Officer or designee shall control the dissemination of sensitive information related to offender sexual abuse to ensure the offender is not exploited by staff members or other offenders.

Missouri Department of Corrections SOP D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment states all staff members, volunteers, and contractors shall immediately report any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility and any knowledge of retaliation against offenders or staff members who reported such an incident and any staff member neglect or violation or responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident or retaliation.

Missouri Department of Corrections SOP D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states medical and mental health staff members shall inform offenders at the initiation of services of the practitioner's duty to report in accordance with statutes.

Missouri Department of Corrections SOP D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states staff members are prohibited from revealing any information related to an allegation of offender sexual abuse or harassment other than to the extent necessary to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted interviews with fourteen random staff members. Each staff member interviewed articulated the agency's zero tolerance policy on sexual abuse and sexual harassment, their role and responsibilities regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response, how to communicate effectively and professionally with offenders, and an offender right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Staff members also acknowledged that reports concerning sexual abuse or sexual harassment, whether reported verbally or in writing, are considered confidential and must be documented immediately.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted interviews with two Medical and Mental Health Staff members regarding responsibilities to disclose to offenders the confidentiality limitations and reporting incidents of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. Both Medical and Mental Health Staff member articulated in detail step-by-step process when reporting incidents of sexual abuse or sexual harassment as well as expressed the requirement to report such incidents immediately. Both Medical and Mental Health Staff member acknowledged disclosing the confidentiality limitation prior to the initiation of services with any offender. The Auditor inquired if any offenders had reported an incident of sexual abuse or harassment during the past 12 months and only one of the two Medical and Mental Health Staff members indicated they had received such reports and followed established protocols, reporting it immediately to facility staff.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted an interview with the Facility Warden and inquired how WERDCC responds when an allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment is made by someone under the age of 18 or someone who is considered vulnerable adult under state law. The Facility Warden explained if the offender is under the age of 18, Medical or Mental Health would file a report with Child Protective Services; for offenders who are considered vulnerable adults, the Office of Professional Standards, PREA Unit would investigate and contact the required agencies in accordance with local and state laws.

The Auditor inquired to the Facility Warden are allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, to include third party and anonymous sources, reported to designated facility investigators. The Facility Warden indicated

all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, no matter the origin of reporting, are reported to the Office of Professional Standards, PREA Unit.

Upon review of the policies and upon completion of the interviews with staff, WERDCC demonstrated facility-wide practices that are consistent with policy and the requirements that complies with the PREA standard.

Standard 115.62: Agency protection duties

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

1	1	5	.62	(2)
			.UZ	ıaı

When the agency learns that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does it take immediate action to protect the inmate?

⊠ Yes □ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment

Interviews conducted with:

Agency Head

Facility Warden

Random sample of Staff

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states following an allegation of offender sexual abuse or if an offender is assessed at being at high risk of victimization. The shift commander shall ensure the offender is housed in the least restrictive housing available to ensure safety. The assessment for least restrictive housing shall occur within 24 hours of the allegation or the offender being identified as at risk.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states options to ensure safety of the offender in the security institution include:

- Return to assigned housing.
- Temporary reassignment of staff members.
- Assignment to another housing unit.
- Temporary segregated housing for protective custody needs. Segregated housing should not be considered as the first option to ensure safety of the victim.

Missouri Administrative Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment states assessment is due to an alleged PREA event, the shift commander shall note on the PREA Allegation Notification Penetration/Non-Penetration Event Checklist form of the recommended housing option.

Missouri Administrative Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment states if temporary administrative segregation confinement (TASC) is recommended, the shift commander, shall note on the PREA Notification Checklist the reason no alternative means of housing separation can be arranged and the offender victim shall be placed in segregated housing in accordance with institutional services procedures regarding temporary administrative segregation confinement and administrative segregation units.

Missouri Administrative Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states the shift commander shall ensure the alleged victims and perpetrators are separated by sight and sound while housed in a segregation unit.

Missouri Administrative Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states when an offender is believed to be in substantial risk of victimization, the shift commander shall assess the offender to ensure housing in the least restrictive housing. If TASC is determined to be the least restrictive housing the shift

commander shall note on the TASC order the offender is being placed in segregated housing due to PREA risk. The offender shall be placed in segregated housing in accordance with institutional services procedures regarding segregation units.

Missouri Administrative Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment states the PREA Compliance Manager shall review all PREA Notification Checklists the following business day to ensure appropriate housing placement. Assignment to involuntary segregated housing shall not ordinarily exceed a period of 30 days. Every 30 days the offender shall be afforded a review to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population in accordance with institutional services procedures regarding segregation units and protective custody

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted interviews with random staff members and inquired about his/her actions if they received information that an offender was in imminent risk of sexual abuse. Each staff member articulated the agency's response protocol to receiving such information and all staff members interviewed confirmed the priority is ensuring the safety of the offender. Staff indicated that once the offender who was at risk is secured, they would immediately notify their supervisor.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted an interview with the Facility Warden and inquired as to what action is taken upon learning an offender is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse. The Facility Warden informed the Auditor that once a staff member receives information that an offender may be at risk for sexual abuse or sexual harassment, that offender is immediately removed from the area. The offender victim's housing preference is considered, however the decision on his ultimate placement is driven by the need for protection from possible abuse and/or retaliation.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted an interview with the Agency Head regarding what action is taken upon learning an offender is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse. The Agency Head stated if it is believed the offender is at substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse staff would immediately remove the offender from the situation and separate her from the perpetrator. If deemed appropriate for their safety, we would move the offender to another work assignment, housing unit, institution, or protective custody. An investigation would be initiated, and violators held accountable.

Upon review of the policy, observations made during the on-site facility tour, and upon completion of the interviews with staff, WERDCC demonstrated facility-wide practices that are consistent with policy and the requirements that complies with the PREA standard.

Standard 115.63: Reporting to other confinement facilities

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.63 (a)
■ Upon receiving an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused while confined at another facility, does the head of the facility that received the allegation notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred? ☑ Yes □ No
115.63 (b)
Is such notification provided as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation? ⋈ Yes □ No
115.63 (c)
■ Does the agency document that it has provided such notification? ⊠ Yes □ No
115.63 (d)
■ Does the facility head or agency office that receives such notification ensure that the allegation is investigated in accordance with these standards? ⊠ Yes □ No
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination
☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
□ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative
The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.
Documents:
Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment
Interviews conducted with:

Agency Head

Facility Warden

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment states upon receiving information that an offender has been sexually abused while assigned at another facility the coordinated response for offender sexual abuse will be immediately initiated as outlined in this procedure. If the alleged abuse occurred at a facility outside the Missouri Department of Corrections, the notification checklist will be forwarded to the department's PREA coordinator. The PREA coordinator will ensure notification to the facility is made with 72 hours.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states a coordinated response will be initiated as outlined, for all allegations of offender sexual abuse that are received from facilities outside the Missouri Department of Corrections.

In the twelve months prior to the audit, WERDCC reported receiving no allegations of sexual abuse from another facility and no allegations were received from an WERDCC offender alleging sexual abuse while confined at another facility. The Auditor confirmed the information previously reported in the PAQ during her review of the investigative case files.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted an interview with the Facility Warden and asked what the process is when your facility receives an allegation from another facility or agency that an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment occurred at the facility. The Facility Warden stated the *PREA Notification Checklist* would be initiated, and the allegation would be investigated in accordance with agency policy.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted an interview with the Agency Head and inquired if another agency or facility refers allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred within one of your facilities, is there a designated point of contact. The Agency Head explained that the point of contact for would be the agency PREA Coordinator; when an allegation that occurred within an MDC facility is reported, the *PREA Notification Checklist* is initiated, the offender is offered an advocate, Mental Health and Medical Services are initiated, and a request for investigation is also initiated.

Upon review of the policy, documentation, and investigative case files, and upon completion of the interviews conducted, WERDCC demonstrated facility-wide practices that are consistent with policy and the requirements that complies with the PREA standard.

Standard 115.64: Staff first responder duties

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.64	(a)	
•	membe	earning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff er to respond to the report required to: Separate the alleged victim and abuser? \Box No
•	membe	earning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff er to respond to the report required to: Preserve and protect any crime scene until priate steps can be taken to collect any evidence? Yes No
•	member actions changi	earning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff er to respond to the report required to: Request that the alleged victim not take any set that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teething clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence? Yes No
-	member actions changi	earning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff er to respond to the report required to: Ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any a that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teething clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.64	(b)	
•	that the	rst staff responder is not a security staff member, is the responder required to request e alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, and then notify y staff? \boxtimes Yes \square No
Audito	r Overa	all Compliance Determination
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment Missouri Department of Corrections Staff PREA Training Curriculum Missouri Department of Corrections WERDCC Training Roster / Staff Signatures

Interviews conducted with:

Security Staff / Non-Security Staff First Responders Random sample of Staff

Offenders who reported a sexual abuse

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states Chief Administrative Officer or designee shall coordinate actions taken by first responders, medical, mental health, investigators, and administrators in response to all allegations of offender sexual abuse and harassment as outlined in the divisions' coordinated response to offender sexual abuse protocol.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment states all allegations of offender sexual abuse and/or harassment, including third party and anonymous reports, shall immediately be forwarded to the shift supervisor to initiate the coordinated response utilizing the applicable PREA allegation notification penetration/non-penetration event checklist. If the allegation is reported directly to a facility administrator, the administrator can initiate the coordinated response to ensure confidentiality utilizing the notification checklist.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states in the event of an allegation of a penetration act, the first responder shall take the following steps:

- Ensure the safety of the victim.
- Request the victim not to take any actions that may destroy physical evidence including washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, when applicable.

- If the alleged abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical
 evidence, request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence,
 including washing, bathing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking,
 drinking or eating.
- To the extent possible, ensure the alleged perpetrator does not take any actions that could destroy
 physical evidence including washing, bathing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating,
 defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating.
- The shift commander or shift supervisor shall make telephone notifications and respond as outlined in the division's coordinated response to offender sexual abuse protocol.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted random Staff interviews and three targeted interviews (Security Staff who act as First Responders) regarding his/her role as a First Responder to an allegation of sexual abuse. The Staff Members provided specific details of their responsibilities as a First Responder. These responsibilities include separating the victim and abuser, preserving, and protecting the crime scene, requesting that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, ensuring the alleged abuser does not take any actions, which would destroy physical evidence, and to immediately notify his/her Supervisor and Medical and Mental Health staff.

In addition, each Staff Member acknowledged the importance of the agency's response protocol to a sexual abuse allegation as well as his or her role as a First Responder. Every Staff Member interviewed articulated in detail the responsibilities of a First Responder and the importance of his/her responsibility when responding to an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment.

During the 12 months prior to the audit, WERDCC reported twenty allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; seventeen of the twenty allegations were sexual abuse, and three allegations were sexual harassment. During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor was provided with an updated offender roster, which provided documentation that seventeen of the twenty offenders, who reported an allegation of sexual abuse, were released from the custody, or were transferred to another correctional facility.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted three targeted interviews with offenders who reported an incident of sexual abuse. The Auditor inquired to each offender, after reporting the sexual abuse, how did the facility respond and what did staff do when they first arrived at the scene. One of the three

offenders confirmed to the Auditor, that staff responded appropriately and immediately, escorted her to medical for treatment and evaluation. The remaining two offenders denied reporting an incident of sexual abuse.

Upon review of the policy, documentation, and upon completion of the interviews with staff, WERDCC demonstrated facility-wide practices that are consistent with policy and the requirements that complies with the PREA standard.

Standard 115.65: Coordinated response

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

■ Has the facility developed a written institutional plan to coordinate actions among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse?

✓ Yes

✓ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

\boxtimes	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

Missouri Department of Corrections WERDCC Coordinated Response to Offender Sexual Abuse

Interviews conducted with:

Facility Warden

Missouri Department of Corrections WERDCC Coordinated Response to Offender Sexual Abuse outlines provides detailed instruction on actions that should be taken by staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, advocates, and facility leadership following an allegation of offender sexual abuse or harassment. The PREA Allegation Notification Checklist has been developed to ensure appropriate notifications are made in a timely and consistent manner.

Missouri Department of Corrections WERDCC Coordinated Response to Offender Sexual Abuse provides a detailed response for the reporting of sexual abuse and harassment allegations. The coordinated response plan is divided into sections, Basic Roles & Responsibilities, Penetration Events - Sexual Assault Exam/No Sexual Assault Exam, Non-Penetration Events, Sexual Harassment, Exceptions, and Resources.

During the pre-on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor reviewed the above WERDCC Coordinated Response to Offender Sexual Abuse. The plan (twelve-page manual) is exceptionally detailed, provides systematic instructions, and outlines the roles and responsibilities for all staff responding to an allegation of sexual abuse or harassment.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted an interview with the Facility Warden and inquired as to the implementation of the *WERDCC Coordinated Response to Offender Sexual Abuse*. The Facility Warden provided a detailed description of the response plan and each staff member's responsibility when responding to an allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment.

Upon review of the policies and upon completion of the on-site interview with the Facility Warden, WERDCC demonstrated facility-wide practices that are consistent with policy and the requirements that complies with and exceeds the PREA standard.

Standard 115.66: Preservation of ability to protect inmates from contact with abusers

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.66 (a)

 Are both the agency and any other governmental entities responsible for collective bargaining on the agency's behalf prohibited from entering into or renewing any collective bargaining agreement or other agreement that limits the agency's ability to remove alleged staff sexual

		rs from contact with any inmates pending the outcome of an investigation or of a nination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted? $oxine Yes \Box$ No
115.66	(b)	
•	Audito	r is not required to audit this provision.
Audito	or Over	all Compliance Determination
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
nstructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative		
The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility. Documents:		
Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D2-11.6, Labor Organizations		
ntervie	ews cond	ducted with:
Agency Head		

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D2-11.6, *Labor Organizations* states per the Prison Rape Elimination Act, the department shall not enter into or renew any collective bargaining agreements or other agreements that limit the department's ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with any offender or resident pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted.

At the time of the on-site phase of the audit, Missouri Department of Corrections does not have any active bargaining unit agreements in place as they have expired.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted an interview with the Agency Head regarding collective bargaining agreements the agency has entered into or renewed since August 20, 2012. The Agency

Head confirmed to the Auditor, the Missouri Department of Corrections currently does not have an active collective bargaining agreements as they have expired.

Upon review of the policies and upon completion of the interviews with staff, WERDCC demonstrated facility-wide practices that are consistent with policy and the requirements that complies with the PREA standard.

Standard 115.67: Agency protection against retaliation

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.67 (a)

•	Has the agency established a policy to protect all inmates and staff who report sexual abuse of sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other inmates or staff? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Has the agency designated which staff members or departments are charged with monitoring retaliation? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.67 (b)

■ Does the agency employ multiple protection measures, such as housing changes or transfers for inmate victims or abusers, removal of alleged staff or inmate abusers from contact with victims, and emotional support services, for inmates or staff who fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations?

115.67 (c)

- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of inmates or staff who reported the sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff? ☑ Yes ☐ No
- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of inmates who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff? ⋈ Yes □ No
- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Act promptly to remedy any such retaliation? ⋈ Yes □ No

•	for at le	t in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, east 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor any inmate inary reports? $oxine X$ Yes $oxine X$ No
_	•	
•	for at le	t in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, east 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate housing es? $oxed{\boxtimes}$ Yes $oxed{\square}$ No
•	for at le	t in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, east 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate m changes? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	for at le	t in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, east 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor negative mance reviews of staff? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	for at le	t in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, east 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor reassignments \boxtimes Yes \square No
•		he agency continue such monitoring beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a uing need? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No
115.67	' (d)	
•		case of inmates, does such monitoring also include periodic status checks? $\ \square$ No
115.67	' (e)	
•	the age	other individual who cooperates with an investigation expresses a fear of retaliation, does ency take appropriate measures to protect that individual against retaliation? \Box No
115.67	' (f)	
•	Audito	r is not required to audit this provision.
Audito	or Over	all Compliance Determination
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
Instru	ctions f	for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

PREA Audit Report – V7.

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment

Interviews conducted with:

Designated Member Charged with Monitoring Retaliation Offenders who reported a Sexual Abuse

Facility Warden

Agency Head

On-site Review Observations:

Investigative Case files

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states the PREA Compliance Manager shall ensure all victims and reporters and those that cooperate with offender sexual abuse and harassment investigations, or inquiries are monitored and protected from retaliation.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states following any reported incident of sexual abuse or harassment, monitoring for retaliation shall be conducted in the following manner:

- The alleged victim of offender sexual abuse shall be monitored for a minimum of 90 days to assess any potential risk or act of retaliation.
- Monitoring shall include face-to-face checks by a staff member a minimum of every 30 days.
- The assessment-retaliation status checklist form shall be used during each of the assessment interviews.
- If the victim expresses fear of retaliation, monitoring shall continue for an additional 90-day period or until the victim or reporter is no longer in fear of retaliation or if the investigation or inquiry is unfounded.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states the PREA Compliance Manager or designee shall ensure individuals receive an initial assessment utilizing the assessment-retaliation status checklist form when they report and cooperate with offender sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations or inquires.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states reporters or witnesses who voice they have no concerns regarding potential retaliation shall not receive further monitoring. Reporters and witnesses shall sign the assessment-retaliation status checklist form showing they have no concerns regarding potential retaliation.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted an interview with the Facility Staff Member designated with monitoring retaliation. The Staff Member articulated that in an effort to prevent retaliation against offenders and staff who report sexual abuse or harassment or those who cooperate with an investigation, the Staff Member would monitor those individuals for at least 90 days. The Staff Member reviews disciplinary reports, offender housing or transfers, and negative performance reviews of staff members. If a concern that potential retaliation might occur beyond the 90 days, the Staff Member would continue to monitor conduct and treatment until the issue or threat is resolved.

During the 12 months prior to the audit, WERDCC reported twenty allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; seventeen of the twenty allegations were sexual abuse, and three allegations were sexual harassment. During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor was provided with an updated offender roster, which provided documentation that seventeen of the twenty offenders, who reported an allegation of sexual abuse, were released from the custody, or were transferred to another correctional facility.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted three targeted interviews with offenders who reported an incident of sexual abuse. The Auditor inquired to each offender if she feels protected against possible revenge from staff or offenders for reporting an incident of sexual abuse. One of the three offenders interviewed acknowledged feeling safe as well as described the monthly meetings with staff (retaliation monitoring). Additionally, the offender explained to the Auditor she could go directly to a staff member or her case manager if she ever felt threatened or if an issue arises. The remaining two offenders denied ever reporting an incident of sexual abuse.

During the twelve months prior to the audit, the facility reported no incidents of retaliation. During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor reviewed twenty investigative files. Each file contained forms showing the retaliation monitoring interviews that were conducted with offenders who previously alleged sexual abuse or sexual harassment. Each form provided a detailed explanation of the interview, statements from the offender, and comments from the Staff Member. The monitoring interviews were conducted at the initial, 30-, 60-, and 90-day review dates. The Auditor conducted multiple interviews with facility staff to include the Facility Warden, PREA Compliance Manager, and Classification Staff and inquired to each, specific to the twelve months prior to the audit, the number of incidents of retaliation reported. Each staff member interviewed confirmed there were no allegations of retaliation reported by offenders or staff. Therefore, offenders in this targeted category were not interviewed.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted an interview with the Facility Warden and inquired on the different measures taken to protect offenders and staff from retaliation for reporting allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. The Facility Warden confirmed the facility would take all necessary steps to protect any person who reports a sexual abuse / harassment incident from retaliation. The Facility Warden explained that housing changes or transfers of offenders, disciplinary action against staff members – including possible dismissal – or other means of removal of those who retaliate against someone who reports an allegation.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted an interview with the Agency Head and inquired how the agency protects offenders or staff from retaliation for sexual abuse or sexual harassment allegations. The Agency Head explained that retaliation monitoring is completed on all victims, witness, and reporting parties (initial, 30, 60, 90 days) and that facilities deploy numerous measures including housing, program, and work assignments changes. If warranted, an offender may be transferred to different housing unit/institution or provide protective custody. The allegation is also investigated and if evidence supports the claim, those responsible are held accountable.

Upon review of the policy, investigative files, and upon completion of the interviews with staff, WERDCC demonstrated facility-wide practices that are consistent with policy and the requirements that complies with the PREA standard.

Standard 115.68: Post-allegation protective custody

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

Is any and all use of segregated housing to protect an inmate who is alleged to have suffered sexual abuse subject to the requirements of § 115.43? ⋈ Yes □ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment

Interviews conducted with:

Facility Warden

Staff who supervise offenders in Segregated Housing

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment states following an allegation of offender sexual abuse or if an offender is assessed as being at high risk of victimization, the shift commander shall ensure the offender is housed in the least restrictive housing available to ensure safety. During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted an interview with a Facility Staff Member who supervises offenders in segregated housing. The Auditor inquired to the Facility Staff Member if an offender who is placed in segregated housing for protection from sexual abuse or after having alleged sexual abuse, what restrictions are placed on the offender. The Facility Staff Member articulated those offenders placed in Protective Management (placed in segregated housing for protection) do not have restrictions and retain the

same privileges as offenders in general population housing, to include participating in programs, education, and work opportunities. The Facility Staff Member explained if an offender has restrictions placed on her it would be a result of their behavior or actions, and such action (being placed on restrictions) would require documentation explaining what has been restricted, duration of restriction, and reasons for initiating the restriction.

During the 12 months prior to the audit, the facility reported there were no inmates who reported sexual abuse, being assigned to involuntary segregating housing. During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor reviewed twenty investigative files and confirmed inmates who reported sexual abuse or sexual harassment were not placed into involuntary segregated housing. Therefore, offenders in this targeted category were not interviewed.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted an interview with the Facility Warden regarding offenders who alleged sexual abuse. Offenders who have made an allegation of sexual abuse and have stated that they are in fear for their safety will be placed in segregated housing, either voluntarily or involuntarily, on a temporary basis until a review can be conducted to verify the extent of the danger. The incident is reviewed as soon as possible, and the offender will be released from segregation as soon as it can be determined that the offender is no longer in imminent danger, or as soon as alternative means of separation from an alleged abuser can be arranged.

Upon review of the policy and documentation provided and upon completion of the interviews with staff, WERDCC demonstrated facility-wide practices that are consistent with policy and the requirements that complies with the PREA standard.

INVESTIGATIONS

Standard 115.71: Criminal and administrative agency investigations

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.71 (a)

•	When the agency conducts its own investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual
	harassment, does it do so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively? [N/A if the agency/facility is not
	responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.
	See 115.21(a) I ⊠ Yes. □ No. □ NA

•	Does the agency conduct such investigations for all allegations, including third party and anonymous reports? [N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).] \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
115.71	(b)
•	Where sexual abuse is alleged, does the agency use investigators who have received specialized training in sexual abuse investigations as required by 115.34? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.71	(c)
•	Do investigators gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Do investigators interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No
•	Do investigators review prior reports and complaints of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No
115.71	(d)
•	When the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, does the agency conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.71	(e)
•	Do agency investigators assess the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness on an individual basis and not on the basis of that individual's status as inmate or staff? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency investigate allegations of sexual abuse without requiring an inmate who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a condition for proceeding? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.71	(f)
•	Do administrative investigations include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Are administrative investigations documented in written reports that include a description of the physical evidence and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.71	(g)
•	Are criminal investigations documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of the physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible? \boxtimes Yes \square No

115.71	1 (h)		
•	Are all substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal referred for prosecution? $oximes$ Yes \oximin No		
115.71	i)		
•	Does the agency retain all written reports referenced in 115.71(f) and (g) for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years? \boxtimes Yes \square No		
115.71			
•	Does the agency ensure that the departure of an alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the agency does not provide a basis for terminating an investigation? ✓ Yes □ No		
115.71	(k)		
•	Auditor is not required to audit this provision.		
115.71			
	•		
•	When an outside entity investigates sexual abuse, does the facility cooperate with outside investigators and endeavor to remain informed about the progress of the investigation? (N/A if an outside agency does not conduct administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) □ Yes □ No ⋈ NA		
Audito	Overall Compliance Determination		
	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)		
	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)		
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)		
Instruc	ions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative		
complia conclus	rative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the nce or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's ons. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by		

Documents:

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.1, Office of Professional Standards

information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Investigative Case files (20) – Sexual abuse and sexual harassment

Interviews conducted with:

Investigative Staff

On-site Review Observations:

Investigative files

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment states the department will ensure that an administrative and/or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment and all referrals for such allegations will be documented in accordance with the coordinated response to offender sexual abuse located on the department's intranet website.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.1, *Office of Professional Standards* states all allegations of offender sexual abuse and/or harassment, including third party and anonymous reports, will immediately be forwarded to the shift supervisor to initiate the coordinated response as outlined in the offender sexual abuse and harassment procedure.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.1, *Office of Professional Standards* states the Office of Professional Standards (OPS) was established and charged with processing all department internal investigations into allegations that a staff member or, in certain circumstances, an offender has violated a policy, procedure, or rules. The OPS consists of the following investigation units: civil rights unit (CRU), employee conduct unit (ECU) and Prison Rape Elimination Act Unit (PREA Unit).

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states investigators shall receive specialized PREA investigation training prior to conducting an investigation involving offender sexual abuse. All new investigator and administrative inquiry officers (AIOs) or designees assigned to investigate offender sexual abuse allegations shall receive specialized PREA training by the designated Office of Professional Standards staff members.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states administrative investigations shall include an effort to determine whether staff member actions or failure to act contributed to the abuse. Administrative investigations shall impose no standard higher than the preponderance of evidence in determining whether an allegation of offender sexual abuse or harassment is substantiated.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states when outside agencies investigate sexual abuse, staff members shall cooperate with outside investigators and shall make an effort to remain informed about the progress of the investigation.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.1, Office of Professional Standards states investigations involving a staff member who is the subject of the allegations will be conducted by the OPS except in the cases of allegations of staff on offender sexual harassment. All internal investigations involving an offender will be conducted by institutional investigators or the PREA unit as set forth in this procedure and in accordance with the department procedures for institutional investigations.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.1, *Office of Professional Standards* states the credibility of a victim, suspect, or witness shall be assessed on an individual basis and shall not be determined by the person's status as an offender or employee.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure t D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states administrative and criminal investigation reports shall be retained for 90 years from the completion of the investigation in accordance with the department procedure regarding records retention.

During the pre-on-site audit phase, the Auditor reviewed training documentation, which included the specialized training curriculum titled, *Investigating Offender Sexual Abuse in Confinement Settings* and training certificates of completion verifying PREA Unit Investigative Staff that conduct sexual abuse investigations attended and completed the required specialized training.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted an interview with an investigator assigned to the PREA Unit, Office of Professional Standards. During the interview, the investigator confirmed agency policy requiring all allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment must be referred to the Office of Professional Standards and investigators assigned to the OPS PREA Unit have the legal authority to conduct administrative and criminal investigations. The investigator also confirmed the use of a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence, provided a complete overview of the investigative process, including protocols for interviewing, evidence collection, victim services, notifications, and prosecution of sexual assault cases.

Additionally, the investigator confirmed attending and successfully completing the specialized training curriculum titled, *Investigating Offender Sexual Abuse in Confinement Settings*. The investigator clearly articulated the comprehensive training he had received included investigating sexual harassment and sexual abuse allegations, understanding the impact of victim trauma, techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims, preservation of crime scene and evidence collection, proper use of Miranda and Garrity and the importance of each, and criteria required for administrative action and prosecution referrals.

During the 12 months prior to the audit, WERDCC reported twenty allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; seventeen of the twenty allegations were sexual abuse, and three allegations were sexual harassment. During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor was provided with an updated offender roster, which provided documentation that seventeen of the twenty offenders, who reported an allegation of sexual abuse, were released from the custody, or were transferred to another correctional facility.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted three targeted interviews with offenders who reported an incident of sexual abuse. The Auditor inquired to each offender, did the facility require them to submit to a polygraph test as a condition for proceeding with the investigation. One of the three offenders informed the Auditor that no one required her to complete a polygraph test as a condition of proceeding with the investigation. The remaining two offenders denied reporting an incident of sexual abuse.

The twenty allegations included seventeen sexual abuse and three sexual harassment allegations. The seventeen sexual abuse allegations included seven offender-on-offender allegations with one investigation closed as substantiated, one investigation closed as unsubstantiated, and five closed as unfounded. The remaining ten sexual abuse investigations were staff-on-offender allegations with two investigations closed as unsubstantiated, four investigations closed as unfounded, and four investigations pending. The three sexual harassment allegations included two offender-on-offender allegations with both investigations closed as unfounded. The remaining sexual harassment allegation was a staff-against-offender allegation which was closed as unfounded.

During the on-site phase, the Auditor reviewed all twenty investigations. All cases were selected and reviewed based upon the outcome and the Auditor's requirement to review all the required steps and processes to verify compliance with multiple PREA Standards. Upon completion of reviewing all case files, the Auditor determined that the facility (to include but not limited to Investigators, Staff First Responders, Medical and Mental Health, PREA Compliance Manger, Supervisory Staff, etc.) followed the required steps and processes for all reported PREA Audit Report – V7.

Page 140 of 179

Facility Name – WERDCC

allegations. The Auditor found each case contained all the appropriate documentation, and determined that each incident was investigated promptly, thoroughly, and objectively by a qualified investigator from the Office of Professional Standards who has received training and education and has the authority to conduct such investigations.

Each case reviewed by the Auditor, contained all documented reports for that specific incident, offenders' notifications, a description of the physical and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments and the investigative facts and findings. Additionally, each completed report included an assessment as to whether staff actions or a failure to act on the part of staff contributed to the abuse. The Auditor reviewed each case thoroughly and systematically to ensure each case contained all the correct procedures, completed documentation, and that all processes were completed as required, to include the case disposition.

The Auditor noted each file contained documentation to include but not limited to the *MDC PREA Allegation Notification Checklist* (initial report/allegation, medical and mental health, advocacy information); *Investigative Report* – which includes *Scope of the Investigation* (summary of allegations), *Background* (discussion of allegation origin with specifics), *Investigation Details* (discusses process of the investigation – review of cameras, monitoring of phone calls & offender communication, detailed summary of statements from victim, witness, and perpetrator), and the *Summary of the Investigation* (provides detailed summary of allegation, evidence collected, and findings for each case); notification of case disposition to offender, *MDC Assessment/Retaliation Status Checklist* (multiple), *Offender Management Information Systems – Institutional Housing Inquiry*, and a *PREA Sexual Abuse Debriefing* (SAIR). All investigative files reviewed by the Auditor were extremely well organized, contained the required documentation as previously mentioned, and each document was completed thoroughly and timely. The *Investigative Report* was exceptionally thorough, written objectively, included a very thorough description of the direct and circumstantial evidence gathered during the investigative process, and the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings.

Upon review of the policies, investigative case files, and documentation listed above, and upon completion of the interviews with staff, WERDCC demonstrated facility-wide practices that are consistent with policy and the requirements that complies with and exceeds the PREA standard.

Standard 115.72: Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

1	15	.72	(a)
---	----	-----	-----

Is it true that the agency does not impose a standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated? ⊠ Yes □ No **Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.1, Office of Professional Standards

Interviews conducted with:

Investigative Staff

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment states administrative investigations shall include an effort to determine whether staff member actions or failure to act contributed to the abuse. Administrative investigations shall impose no standard higher than the preponderance of evidence in determining whether an allegation of offender sexual abuse or harassment is substantiated.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.1, Office of Professional Standards states investigations involving a staff member who is the subject of the allegations will be conducted by the OPS except in the cases of allegations of staff on offender sexual harassment. All internal investigations involving an offender will be

conducted by institutional investigators or the PREA unit as set forth in this procedure and in accordance with the department procedures for institutional investigations.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted an interview with an investigator assigned to the PREA Unit, Office of Professional Standards. During the interview, the investigator confirmed agency policy requiring all allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment must be referred to the Office of Professional Standards and investigators assigned to the OPS PREA Unit have the legal authority to conduct administrative and criminal investigations. The investigator also confirmed the use of a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence, provided a complete overview of the investigative process, including protocols for interviewing, evidence collection, victim services, notifications, and prosecution of sexual assault cases. The Auditor inquired to the investigator what standard of evidence is required to substantiate allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. The investigator explained that the agency should impose no standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence.

Upon review of the policy and upon completion of the interview with staff, WERDCC demonstrated facility-wide practices that are consistent with policy and the requirements that complies with the PREA standard.

Standard 115.73: Reporting to inmates

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.73 (a)

Following an investigation into an inmate's allegation that he or she suffered sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency inform the inmate as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded? ⋈ Yes □ No

115.73 (b)

If the agency did not conduct the investigation into an inmate's allegation of sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency request the relevant information from the investigative agency in order to inform the inmate? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations.) □ Yes □ No ⋈ NA

115.73 (c)

Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the inmate, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the inmate has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the inmate whenever: The staff member is no longer posted within the inmate's unit? ⋈ Yes □ No

	inmate has be	ing an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the , unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the inmate en released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the inmate whenever: aff member is no longer employed at the facility? \boxtimes Yes \square No
	inmate has be The ag	ing an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the , unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the inmate en released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the inmate whenever: lency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse acility? \boxtimes Yes \square No
	inmate has be The ag	ing an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the , unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the inmate en released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the inmate whenever: lency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual within the facility? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.73	(d)	
	does thalleged	ing an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, ne agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the dabuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? \Box No
	does thalleged	ing an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, he agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the displayed abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? \square No
115.73	(e)	
•	Does tl	he agency document all such notifications or attempted notifications? $oxtimes$ Yes \odots No
115.73	(f)	
•	Auditor	is not required to audit this provision.
Audito	r Overa	all Compliance Determination
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
Instruc	tions f	or Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

PREA Audit Report – V7.

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.1, Office of Professional Standards Missouri Department of Corrections PREA Administrative Investigative Case Final Notifications Investigative Case files (20) – Sexual abuse and sexual harassment

Interviews conducted with:

Investigative Staff

Facility Warden

Offenders who reported sexual abuse

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states upon the completion of a PREA investigation or inquiry regarding offender sexual abuse, the department's PREA Coordinator shall make written notifications to the alleged victim regarding the outcome of the investigation or inquiry utilizing the applicable alleged sexual abuse by offender notification or the alleged sexual abuse by staff notification form. Notification shall not be made to the offender following an investigation or inquiry regarding sexual harassment.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states the initial notification shall state whether the allegation was substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded. In the event that the investigation was conducted by an outside agency, the Office of Professional Standards shall request relevant information from the outside agency in order to inform the offender of the outcome of the investigation.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states all subsequent notifications shall be made when:

• Staff member on offender allegations: following the completion of an inquiry or investigation, the offender shall be notified when the following occurs (unless the inquiry or investigation is unfounded):

- The staff member perpetrator is no longer assigned to the housing unit.
- The staff member perpetrator is no longer employed by the department.
- The staff member perpetrator has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the institution.
- o A disposition of charges exists related to sexual abuse within the institution.
- Offender on offender allegations: following the completion of an inquiry or investigation, the offender shall be notified when the following occurs:
 - o The offender has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the institution.
 - A disposition of charges exists related to sexual abuse within the institution.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states the departmental PREA Coordinator shall forward the written notification to the offender via the PREA Compliance Manager. The PREA Compliance Manager shall ensure that the written notification is provided to the offender in a confidential manner.

During the 12 months prior to the audit, WERDCC reported twenty allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; seventeen of the twenty allegations were sexual abuse, and three allegations were sexual harassment. During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor was provided with an updated offender roster, which provided documentation that seventeen of the twenty offenders, who reported an allegation of sexual abuse, were released from the custody, or were transferred to another correctional facility.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted three targeted interviews with offenders who reported an incident of sexual abuse. The Auditor inquired to each offender if the facility notified her of the final decisions made regarding the allegation and was, she was notified in writing. One of the three offenders confirmed to the Auditor that she was notified of the resolution of the investigation. While reviewing the investigative files, the Auditor verified the offender was notified with the case resolution which included the offender's signature on the notification. The remaining two offenders denied reporting an incident of sexual abuse.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor reviewed twenty investigative case files from the twelve months prior to the audit; seventeen of the twenty were sexual abuse investigations. Thirteen of the seventeen sexual abuse investigations were closed with a final disposition and each file contained an offender notification

form documenting the outcome of the case (substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded) with the signature of the offender documented on the notification.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted an interview with the investigator and inquired about the agency's notification procedures, to an alleged victim of sexual abuse, when the case is closed and whether the allegation has a final determination of substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded. The investigator confirmed such notifications are completed by the PREA Coordinator and the PREA Compliance Manager.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted an interview with the Facility Warden and inquired regarding how the facility notifies an offender who makes an allegation of sexual abuse when the case is closed and a determination as to either substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded. The Facility Warden confirmed that the PREA Coordinator and the PREA Compliance Manager will completion the notification process for all sexual abuse investigations.

Upon review of the policies, investigative case files, and upon completion of the interviews with staff, WERDCC demonstrated facility-wide practices that are consistent with policy and the requirements that complies with the PREA standard.

DISCIPLINE

Standard 115.76: Disciplinary sanctions for staff

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.76 (a)

■ Are staff subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies?

✓ Yes

✓ No

115.76 (b)

Is termination the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse?

☑ Yes □ No

115.76 (c)

•	harass circum	sciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual ament (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) commensurate with the nature and stances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions and for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.76	(d)	
•	resigna	terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or ations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: aforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)? \boxtimes Yes \square No
-	resigna	terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or ations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: ant licensing bodies? \boxtimes Yes \square No
Audito	r Over	all Compliance Determination
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
Instru	ctions f	for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative
complia conclus not me	ance or sions. T et the si	below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's his discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does tandard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by specific corrective actions taken by the facility.
Docum	ents:	
Missou	ri Depar	tment of Corrections Procedure D2-11.10, Staff Member Conduct
Missou	ri Depar	tment of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment
Intervie	ws cond	ducted with:
Admini	strative	(Human Resources) Staff
Missou	ri Depar	tment of Corrections Procedure D2-11.10, Staff Member Conduct states staff members having
knowle	dge of a	ny instances of offender or resident abuse or sexual contact with an offender or resident shall
immedi	mmediately report such to the inspector general in accordance with the department procedures regarding	

offender physical abuse and offender sexual abuse and harassment.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D2-11.10, *Staff Member Conduct* states upon arrest for a felony charge, the staff member may be placed on administrative leave in accordance with the department procedure regarding administrative leave. If formal felony charges are filed, the staff member may be placed on suspension pending disposition of the charges in accordance with the department procedure regarding suspension.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment states Staff members shall be subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment procedures. Termination from the department shall be the presumptive disciplinary action for staff members who have engaged in sexual abuse. All terminations for violations or the resignation of a staff member, who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, shall be reported to relevant licensing or accreditation bodies and law enforcement.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted an interview with Administrative / HR Staff Member who confirmed that WERDCC had one staff member violate or terminated for violating the agency's policy against sexual abuse during the past 12 months.

Upon review of the policy, personnel files, and upon completion of staff interviews, WERDCC demonstrated facility-wide practices that are consistent with policy and the requirements that complies with the PREA standard.

Standard 115.77: Corrective action for contractors and volunteers

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.77 (a)

- Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse prohibited from contact with inmates?

 ⊠ Yes □ No
- Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)? ⋈ Yes □ No
- Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Relevant licensing bodies?

 ☑ Yes ☐ No

115.77 (b)

(contrac	case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a ctor or volunteer, does the facility take appropriate remedial measures, and consider to prohibit further contact with inmates? \boxtimes Yes \square No
Auditor	r Overa	all Compliance Determination
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D2-13.1, Volunteers

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment

Interviews conducted with:

Facility Warden

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D2-13.1, *Volunteers* states all volunteers will be familiar with and adhere to the standards for professionalism, conduct, and job performance in accordance with the department policy and procedures regarding employee standards and employee conduct.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D2-13.1, *Volunteers* states all offender sexual abuse and harassment allegations that occur in a department facility involving a volunteer will be referred for investigation. Volunteers may be subject to disciplinary action and/or termination.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D2-13.1, *Volunteers* states when disciplinary action is recommended, the volunteer supervisor shall submit documentation to the volunteer site coordinator outlining the reasons for such actions. The volunteer site coordinator shall provide the CAO with the recommendation and documentation.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states contractors or volunteers who engage in sexual abuse shall be prohibited from contact with offenders and shall be reported to relevant licensing bodies and law enforcement. The Chief Administrative Officer or designee of the department facility or contracted facility shall take appropriate measures and consider whether to prohibit further contact with offenders in the case of any other violations.

The facility reported no contractor or volunteer violations, or terminations of the agency's sexual abuse or sexual harassment polices during the 12 months prior to the audit.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted an interview with the Facility Warden regarding any violation of the facility's sexual abuse or sexual harassment by a contractor or volunteer. The Facility Warden explained that the incident would be reported to the Office of Professional Standards, which would investigate. If the investigation shows the activity was criminal, then the incident will be reported to law enforcement. The Facility Warden also explained the incident would be reported to any relevant licensing entities and the contractor or volunteer would be prohibited from any further contact at WERDCC or any facility within our agency.

Upon review of the policy and upon completion of staff interviews, WERDCC demonstrated facility-wide practices that are consistent with policy and the requirements that complies with the PREA standard.

Standard 115.78: Disciplinary sanctions for inmates

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.78 (a)

Following an administrative finding that an inmate engaged in inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, or following a criminal finding of guilt for inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, are inmates subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to a formal disciplinary process? ⋈ Yes □ No

115.78 (b)

Are sanctions commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the inmate's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other inmates with similar histories? ⋈ Yes □ No

115.78 (c)

•	proces	determining what types of sanction, if any, should be imposed, does the disciplinary is consider whether an inmate's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or havior? ⊠ Yes □ No
115.78	3 (d)	
-	underly the offe	acility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct ying reasons or motivations for the abuse, does the facility consider whether to require ending inmate to participate in such interventions as a condition of access to mming and other benefits? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.78	s (e)	
•		he agency discipline an inmate for sexual contact with staff only upon a finding that the ember did not consent to such contact? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No
115.78	(f)	
•	upon a inciden	e purpose of disciplinary action does a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred NOT constitute falsely reporting an at or lying, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate egation? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.78	(g)	
•	consid	igency prohibits all sexual activity between inmates, does the agency always refrain from ering non-coercive sexual activity between inmates to be sexual abuse? (N/A if the γ does not prohibit all sexual activity between inmates.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
Audito	or Overa	all Compliance Determination
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
		Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
Instru	ctions f	for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative
The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.		

Documents:

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment

Interviews conducted with:

Facility Warden

Medical / Mental Health Staff

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states offenders shall be subject to disciplinary sanctions or violations pursuant to a formal disciplinary process following an administrative finding or a criminal finding of guilt when the offender engaged in offender-on-offender sexual abuse in accordance with divisional and institutional services procedures regarding conduct violations and disciplinary sanctions.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states sanctions shall be commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the offender's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other offenders with similar histories in accordance with divisional and institutional services procedures regarding conduct violations and disciplinary sanctions.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states the disciplinary process shall consider whether an offender's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his behavior when determining what type of sanction, if any, shall be imposed in accordance with divisional and institutional services procedures regarding conduct violations and disciplinary sanctions.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states if found guilty of sexual abuse, the offender shall be referred to appropriate treatment (therapy, counseling) by mental health staff member, as available, in accordance with divisional and institutional services procedures regarding conduct violations and disciplinary sanctions.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states an offender who has sexual contact with a staff member may only be disciplined if the staff member did not consent to the contact in accordance with divisional and institutional services procedures regarding conduct violations and disciplinary sanctions.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states the department prohibits all sexual activity between offenders. Consensual sexual activity between offenders will not be deemed sexual abuse and shall be addressed in accordance with divisional and institutional services procedures regarding conduct violations and disciplinary sanctions.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted an interview with the Facility Warden and discussed the facility's policy on disciplinary sanctions for an offender after an administrative or criminal finding that the offender engaged in offender-on-offender sexual abuse. The Facility Warden referred to the existing policy that an offender would be subject to disciplinary sanctions, which would be conducted in accordance to the formal disciplinary process.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted an interview with two Medical and Mental Health Staff members and discussed the victim advocate services available to offenders and counseling services available for abusers. Both Medical and Mental Health Staff member explained the services provided at the facility include one-on-one counseling, and support groups. These services are offered for victims of sexual abuse or sexual harassment as well as perpetrators of sexual abuse.

Upon review of the policy, and upon completion of staff interviews, WERDCC demonstrated facility-wide practices that are consistent with policy and the requirements that complies with the PREA standard.

MEDICAL AND MENTAL CARE

Standard 115.81: Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.81 (a)

•	If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has experienced prior
	sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff
	ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health
	practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a prison.)
	∀es □ No □ NA

115.81 (b)

•	sexual that the	creening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has previously perpetrated abuse, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a mental health practitioner within 14 days of ake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a prison.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
115.81	(c)	
•	victimize that the	creening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a jail inmate has experienced prior sexual zation, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within s of the intake screening? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.81	(d)	
•	setting inform educat	information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness that occurred in an institutional strictly limited to medical and mental health practitioners and other staff as necessary to treatment plans and security management decisions, including housing, bed, work, ion, and program assignments, or as otherwise required by Federal, State, or local law? \square No
115.81	(e)	
•	reportii	dical and mental health practitioners obtain informed consent from inmates before ng information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting the inmate is under the age of 18? \boxtimes Yes \square No
Audito	r Over	all Compliance Determination
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
Instru	ctions f	or Overall Compliance Determination Narrative
complia conclus not me	ance or sions. Ti et the st	below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's his discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does tandard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by specific corrective actions taken by the facility.
Docum	ents:	
Missou	ri Depar	tment of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment
Missou	ri Depar	tment of Corrections Adult Internal Risk Assessments

Interviews conducted with:

Offenders who disclose Sexual Victimization at Risk Screening

Staff responsible for Risk Screening

On-site Review Observations:

Demonstration of Missouri Corrections Integrated System (MOCIS)

Offender records of initial assessment & reassessment

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states is the screening indicates that an offender has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in a correctional setting or in the community, staff members shall ensure that the offender is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 calendar days of the intake screening.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states is the screening indicates that an offender has previously perpetrated sexual abuse, whether it occurred in a correctional setting or in the community, staff members shall ensure that the offender is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 calendar days of the intake screening.

Missouri Department of Corrections SOP D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states staff members are prohibited from revealing any information related to an allegation of offender sexual abuse or harassment other than to the extent necessary to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states medical and mental health practitioners shall obtain informed consent from offenders before reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting.

During the pre-on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor reviewed eight *Adult Internal Risk Assessments* screening forms; an additional twenty-six *Adult Internal Risk Assessments* were also reviewed during the on-site phase. All *Adult Internal Risk Assessments* reviewed included the initial assessment and the reassessment and all completed within the auditing period. All forms were filled out completely and in accordance with agency policy.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted an interview with a Staff Member regarding the responsibility to conduct screenings for risk of victimization and abusiveness. The Staff Member provided the Auditor with an overview of the offender classification process and the offender risk screening process to include how all offenders are screened within 72 hours (or less) of their arrival to the facility.

The Staff Supervisor also demonstrated the interview process to the Auditor, by utilizing the *Missouri Corrections Integrated System* (MOCIS) and the *Adult Internal Risk Assessment* to determine the offender's risk screening and needs assessment. MOCIS is web-based offender management system. MOCIS enables staff members to access offender information and conduct offender assessments using established, validated instruments. Access is strictly limited, requires user authentication and all information is securely stored and controlled by the agency. The *Adult Internal Risk Assessment* assist departmental staff to ensure those offenders who are identified as a higher risk for sexual victimization or predation are kept separate and/or appropriately monitored in regard to living around those offenders who are identified as a higher risk of being sexually victimized. The *Adult Internal Risk Assessment* is a series of questions, which include:

- Sexual orientation and/or gender identity.
- Age, physical characteristics, height, weight,
- Developmental, mental, or medical disability.
- Criminal history, prior incarcerations.
- Whether the offender experienced prior sexual abuse while incarcerated.
- Whether the offender experienced threats with physical violence while incarcerated
- Prior sexual victimization either in the community or correctional setting.
- Victim of physical assault while incarcerated.
- Offender fears for his/her safety due to sexual threats or abuse.
- Offender fears his/her safety due to threats of physical violence.
- Previously perpetrated sex offense
- History of consensual sex with another offender while incarcerated.
- Offender's own perception of vulnerability.

The Auditor inquired to the Staff Member what actions are taken against offenders who refuse to cooperate or answer the questions in the risk screening process. The Staff Member responded that offenders are not required to provide answers, if an offender refuses to answer, another staff member will conduct a follow-up interview.

The Staff Member confirmed that offenders are not disciplined for refusing to cooperate or answer the questions in the risk screening process.

The Staff Member confirmed all interviews are conducted privately and the interviews include the classification and risk assessment process, offender program opportunities, qualifications for job assignments, and educational opportunities.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor reviewed thirty-one offender records. These records were selected based upon the offender sexual abuse investigations, offenders who reported sexual victimization during intake, length at facility, and offenders that disclosed sexual orientation as gay, bisexual, or transgender. Each file contained the initial risk screening form as well as the 30-day reassessment form; all were filled out completely and in accordance with the facility's policy.

During the on-site visit, the Auditor requested an interview with two offenders who disclosed prior sexual victimization. Each offender confirmed to the Auditor that he was offered the opportunity to meet with a medical or mental health care practitioner during the risk screening process; one of the two offenders confirmed meeting with mental health practitioner, the second offender interviewed could not recall accepting the opportunity to meet with a mental health practitioner but does recall being offered.

Upon review of the policy, documentation, and upon completion of staff interviews, WERDCC demonstrated facility-wide practices that are consistent with policy and the requirements that complies with the PREA standard.

Standard 115.82: Access to emergency medical and mental health services

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.82 (a)

■ Do inmate victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment?
☑ Yes □ No

115.82 (b)

• If no qualified medical or mental health practitioners are on duty at the time a report of recent sexual abuse is made, do security staff first responders take preliminary steps to protect the victim pursuant to § 115.62? ⋈ Yes □ No
■ Do security staff first responders immediately notify the appropriate medical and mental health practitioners? Yes □ No
115.82 (c)
• Are inmate victims of sexual abuse offered timely information about and timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate? ⋈ Yes □ No
115.82 (d)
 Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident? ☑ Yes □ No
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination
Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
□ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative
The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.
Documents:
Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment
Interviews conducted with:
Medical / Mental Health Staff
Offenders who reported a Sexual Abuse
Security Staff / Non-Security Staff First Responders

On-site Review Observations:

Secondary Medical Records

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states treatment services shall be provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states victims of sexual abuse shall receive timely, unobstructed access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by health services practitioners according to their professional judgment.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states victims of sexual abuse shall be offered timely information and access to emergency contraception and prophylactic treatment for sexually transmitted infections in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states is the screening indicates that an offender has previously perpetrated sexual abuse, whether it occurred in a correctional setting or in the community, staff members shall ensure that the offender is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 calendar days of the intake screening.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor reviewed secondary medical and mental health records of offenders who reported an allegation of sexual abuse. The forms have specific requirements to complete and/or make notations as to why the question or process was not completed. All protocols are completed by LPNs and must be reviewed and cosigned by an RN or Clinician.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted interviews with two Medical and Mental Health Staff members at the facility. Each Medical and Mental Health Staff member confirmed that offender victims are provided immediate access to medical treatment as well as crisis intervention, therapy, and counseling services. The Medical and Mental Health Staff explained the services provided at the facility include one-on-one counseling and support groups. These services are offered for victims of sexual abuse or sexual harassment as well as offenders of sexual abuse.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted interviews with random staff members. Each staff member interviewed articulated the agency's zero tolerance policy on sexual abuse and sexual harassment, their role and responsibilities regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response, how to communicate effectively and professionally with offenders, and an offender right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Staff members also acknowledged that reports concerning sexual abuse or sexual harassment, whether reported verbally or in writing, are considered confidential and must be documented immediately.

During the 12 months prior to the audit, WERDCC reported twenty allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; seventeen of the twenty allegations were sexual abuse, and three allegations were sexual harassment. During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor was provided with an updated offender roster, which provided documentation that seventeen of the twenty offenders, who reported an allegation of sexual abuse, were released from the custody, or were transferred to another correctional facility.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted three targeted interviews with offenders who reported an incident of sexual abuse. The Auditor inquired to each offender, after reporting the sexual abuse, did she see a medical or mental health staff member in a timely manner, and did anyone provide treatment or follow-up plans. One of the three offenders confirmed to the Auditor that immediately after reporting the incident the offender was seen and evaluated by medical staff; the offender accepted and had the opportunity to speak to the mental health staff and was also provided with treatment and follow-up services. The remaining two offenders denied reporting an incident of sexual abuse.

Upon review of the policy, contract agreement, and upon completion of staff interviews, WERDCC demonstrated facility-wide practices that are consistent with policy and the requirements that complies with the PREA standard.

Standard 115.83: Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.83 (a)

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment Missouri Department of Corrections WERDCC Coordinated Response to Offender Sexual Abuse Interviews conducted with:

Medical / Mental Health Staff

Offenders who reported a Sexual Abuse

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states is the screening indicates that an offender has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in a correctional setting or in the community, staff members shall ensure that the offender is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 calendar days of the intake screening.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states is the screening indicates that an offender has previously perpetrated sexual abuse, whether it occurred in a correctional setting or in the community, staff members shall ensure that the offender is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 calendar days of the intake screening.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states treatment services shall be provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states victims and abusers shall be provided with medical and mental health services consistent with the community level of care in accordance with the institutional services procedures regarding medical and mental health services.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states victims of sexual abuse shall be offered timely information and access to emergency contraception and prophylactic treatment for sexually transmitted infections in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted an interview with two Medical and Mental Health Staff members at the facility. Each Medical and Mental Health Staff member confirmed that offender victims are provided immediate access to medical treatment as well as crisis intervention, therapy, and counseling services. Each Medical and Mental Health Staff member explained the services provided at the facility include one-on-one counseling and support groups. These services are offered for victims of sexual abuse or sexual harassment as well as offenders of sexual abuse.

During the 12 months prior to the audit, WERDCC reported twenty allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; seventeen of the twenty allegations were sexual abuse, and three allegations were sexual harassment. During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor was provided with an updated offender roster, which provided documentation that seventeen of the twenty offenders, who reported an allegation of sexual abuse, were released from the custody, or were transferred to another correctional facility.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted three targeted interviews with offenders who reported an incident of sexual abuse. The Auditor inquired to each offender, after reporting the incident did you see a Medical or Mental Health staff member in a timely manner and did anyone provide treatment or follow-up plans. One of the three offenders confirmed to the Auditor that immediately after reporting the incident the offender was seen by medical staff and were provided with the opportunity to speak with mental health. The remaining two offenders denied reporting an incident of sexual abuse.

The Auditor also inquired to the one offender who reported an incident of sexual abuse if she was offered tests for sexually transmitted infections and if payment for any of the services provided were required. The offender denied being charged for any medical treatment related to the incident and confirmed receiving testing for sexually transmitted infections.

Upon review of the policy and upon completion of staff interviews, WERDCC demonstrated facility-wide practices that are consistent with policy and the requirements that complies with the PREA standard.

DATA COLLECTION AND REVIEW

Standard 115.86: Sexual abuse incident reviews

Standard 115.00. Sexual abuse incluent reviews		
All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report		
115.86 (a)		
■ Does the facility conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, including where the allegation has not been substantiated, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded? ☑ Yes □ No		
115.86 (b)		
 ■ Does such review ordinarily occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation? ☑ Yes □ No 		
115.86 (c)		
■ Does the review team include upper-level management officials, with input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners? ⊠ Yes □ No		
115.86 (d)		
■ Does the review team: Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse? ⊠ Yes □ No		
■ Does the review team: Consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification, status, or perceived status; gang affiliation; or other group dynamics at the facility? ⊠ Yes □ No		
■ Does the review team: Examine the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse? ⊠ Yes □ No		
■ Does the review team: Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts? ✓ Yes ✓ No		
■ Does the review team: Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff? ✓ Yes ✓ No		

Does the review team: Prepare a report of its findings, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made pursuant to §§ 115.86(d)(1) - (d)(5), and any recommendations for

	•	ement and submit such report to the facility head and PREA compliance manager? $\hfill\Box$ No
115.86	(e)	
•		he facility implement the recommendations for improvement, or document its reasons for ng so? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No
Audito	r Overa	all Compliance Determination
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
Instruc	ctions f	or Overall Compliance Determination Narrative
complia conclus not me	ance or i sions. Th et the st ation on	below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's his discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does and and another the facility does and are recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by specific corrective actions taken by the facility.
Missou	ri Depart	tment of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment
Missou	ri Depart	tment of Corrections Sexual Abuse Incident Debriefing
Intervie	ws cond	lucted with:
Facility	Warden	
Inciden	t Review	⁷ Team
Missou	ri Depart	tment of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment states each
facility:	shall con	iduct a sexual abuse incident debriefing at the conclusion of every substantiated and
•		d offender sexual abuse investigation or inquiry. A sexual abuse incident debriefing is not
		ender sexual harassment investigations or inquiries or if the investigation or inquiry is
unfoun		,,,,,,,,,,

shall be held within 30 days of the conclusion of a formal investigation or inquiry.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment states debriefings

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states the review team for offender sexual abuse events shall include the PREA Compliance Manager, and other upper-level administrators, when applicable, with input from the shift supervisor, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners, when applicable.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states a complete written report shall be prepared by the CAO or designee outlining in detail the findings of the debriefing sessions and recommendations for improvements utilizing the *PREA Sexual Abuse Debriefing* form.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states the facility shall implement the recommendations for improvement or shall document its reasons why recommendations shall not be implemented. A copy of the debriefing shall be submitted electronically to the assistant director and the PREA Coordinator. A copy of the report shall be filed in the institutional PREA event file.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor reviewed seventeen sexual abuse investigations. The seventeen sexual abuse investigations included nine unfounded allegations and four pending allegations; the remaining four sexual abuse investigations were completed with a final case disposition. During the Auditor's review of the closed investigations, a sexual abuse incident review was completed for the four closed investigations and all four sexual abuse incident reviews were completed within the required 30 days.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted an interview with a member of the *Sexual Abuse Incident Debriefing* Team and inquired if the SAID Team considers whether an incident or allegation was motivated by race, ethnicity, or gender identity and if the team examines the area in the facility were the incident allegedly occurred. The SAID Team member confirmed the team does consider whether the incident was motivated by race, ethnicity, or gender identity, and gang affiliation. The Team also tours the area where the alleged incident occurred as well as consider if additional monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff. The SAID Team member explained how touring the area in conjunction with reviewing monitoring technology provides the team with the best possible representation of an incident and assists the Team in determining if changes or additions to monitoring technology is warranted.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted an interview with the Facility Warden and discussed the *Sexual Abuse Incident Debriefing* process. The Facility Warden explained the SAID Team includes the PREA Compliance Manager, other upper-level administrators, when applicable, with input from the shift PREA Audit Report – V7.

Page 167 of 179

Facility Warden explained the Facility Warden explained the SAID Team includes

supervisor, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners. The SAID Team always seeks input from Investigators, Line Staff, and Medical and Mental Health personnel. The Facility Warden articulated the process of the incident review, including listing the elements required per the PREA standard. The Facility Warden explained how the SAID Team uses the information obtain from the review to determine if changes need to be made to the physical plant, surveillance systems, policy and procedure or any other change that would improve the safety of the offender population and prevent sexual abuse.

Upon review of the policy and upon completion of staff interviews, WERDCC demonstrated facility-wide practices that are consistent with policy and the requirements that complies with the PREA standard.

Standard 115.87: Data collection All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report 115.87 (a) ■ Does the agency collect accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions? ☑ Yes ☐ No 115.87 (b) ■ Does the agency aggregate the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually? ☑ Yes ☐ No 115.87 (c) ■ Does the incident-based data include, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice? ☑ Yes ☐ No

115.87 (d)

■ Does the agency maintain, review, and collect data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews?
☑ Yes □ No

115.87 (e)

■ Does the agency also obtain incident-based and aggregated data from every private facility with which it contracts for the confinement of its inmates? (N/A if agency does not contract for the confinement of its inmates.)

☑ Yes □ No □ NA

115.87 (f)

•	Depart	ne agency, upon request, provide all such data from the previous calendar year to the ment of Justice no later than June 30? (N/A if DOJ has not requested agency data.) \Box No \Box NA	
Audito	Auditor Overall Compliance Determination		
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)	
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)	
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)	

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Documents:

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment*Missouri Department of Corrections Survey of Sexual Victimization Summary Reports
Missouri Department of Corrections Annual Reports, 2017 – 2020

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states each facility shall utilize information from the offender sexual abuse debriefings to prepare an annual site report to be submitted to the department's PREA Coordinator by the last working day in March. The report shall include:

- Identified problem areas
- Recommendations for improvement
- Corrective action taken
- If recommendations for improvements were not implemented, reasons for not doing so.
- A comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years, and an assessment of the facilities' progress in addressing sexual abuse.
- An evaluation of the need for camera and monitoring systems.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states in consultation with the PREA Compliance Manager; assessment, determination, and documentation of whether adjustments are needed to:

- The staffing plans.
- The deployment of video monitors, and
- The resource availability to adhere to the staffing plan.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states the yearly report shall be submitted to the division director and the department PREA Coordinator no later than the last working day in March.

During the pre-on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor reviewed the most recent Survey of Sexual Violence Report, four years of Annual Reports, which contained sexual abuse data collected with a standardized instrument. The standardized instrument used contained a set of definitions and data collected from incident reports, investigative files, and sexual abuse incident reviews. The Missouri Department of Corrections publishes the reports on the agency website Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) | Missouri Department of Corrections (mo.gov)

Upon review of the policy, Annual Reports, and SSV Reports, WERDCC demonstrated facility-wide practices that are consistent with policy and the requirements that complies with the PREA standard.

Standard 115.88: Data review for corrective action

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.88 (a)

•	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess
	and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies,
	practices, and training, including by: Identifying problem areas? ⊠ Yes □ No

•	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess
	and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies,
	practices, and training, including by: Taking corrective action on an ongoing basis?
	⊠ Yes □ No

•	and im practic	he agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess prove the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, es, and training, including by: Preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole? \boxtimes Yes \square No		
115.88	(b)			
•	Does the agency's annual report include a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years and provide an assessment of the agency's progress in addressing sexual abuse \boxtimes Yes \square No			
115.88	(c)			
•	Is the agency's annual report approved by the agency head and made readily available to the public through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means? \boxtimes Yes \square No			
115.88	(d)			
•	from th	he agency indicate the nature of the material redacted where it redacts specific material e reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and y of a facility? \boxtimes Yes \square No		
Audito	r Overa	all Compliance Determination		
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)		
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)		
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)		
Instru	ctions f	or Overall Compliance Determination Narrative		
complia conclus not me	ance or a sions. The et the st	below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's his discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does randard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by specific corrective actions taken by the facility.		
Docum	ents:			
Missou	ri Depar	tment of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment		
Missou	ri Depar	tment of Corrections Annual Reports, 2017 – 2020		
Intervie	ws conc	lucted with:		
PREA C	omplian	ce Manager		
PREA C	oordinat	or		

Agency Head

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states the PREA manager shall prepare an annual report compiling each facility's current year's data and corrective actions. The report shall include a comparison with prior year's data, corrective actions, and an assessment of the department's progress in addressing offender sexual abuse.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states the report shall be forwarded to the department director for approval by the first of September. The Chief Administrative Officer or designee, PREA manager or department director shall edit specific material from the reports when publication would present clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility.

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states the Chief Administrative Officer or designee, PREA manager, or department director shall indicate the nature of the material edited. The department's annual PREA report shall be made available to the public on the department's internet website.

During the pre-on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor reviewed the most recent Survey of Sexual Violence Report, four years of Annual Reports, which contained sexual abuse data collected with a standardized instrument. The standardized instrument used contained a set of definitions and data collected from incident reports, investigative files, and sexual abuse incident reviews. The Missouri Department of Corrections publishes the reports on the agency website Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) | Missouri Department of Corrections (mo.gov)

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted an interview with the PREA Compliance Manager and inquired if the agency reviews data collected and aggregated pursuant to §115.87. The PREA Compliance Manager explained how the agency collects data in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies. The PREA Compliance Manager confirmed the facility is responsible for compiling institution specific PREA data and preparing the Annual Site Report for WERDCC.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted an interview with the PREA Coordinator regarding how data is collected pursuant to PREA Standard §115.87. The PREA Coordinator acknowledged that the data collected is securely retained by the department using *IRIS*, a data retention program in which all investigative PREA Audit Report – V7.

Page 172 of 179

Facility Name – WERDCC

documents are recorded and maintained. All PREA specific information related to an investigation is stored within this program and only Investigations and Facility Administration staff have access. Additionally, the data collected are used to respond to the Survey of Sexual Victimization report sent yearly to Department of Justice.

The PREA Coordinator explained that data is collected to identify serial perpetrators and "hot spots" throughout the state. This information is used to address issues that may arise at each facility and the agency takes corrective action on an ongoing basis based on the collected data. The PREA Coordinator confirmed each facility PREA Compliance Manager prepares an annual report (Annual Site Report) and sends it to the agency PREA Coordinator. An annual report for the department is written and once approved by the Director, the annual report is posted on the agency website.

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted an interview with the Agency Head and inquired how the agency uses incident-based sexual abuse data to assess and improve sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response polices, practices, and training. The Agency Head explained that sexual abuse data is collected annually and utilized to complete the *Survey of Sexual Victimization*. The data is collected from all facilities that house Department offenders; it is then reviewed by the PREA Coordinator who then completes a report of the findings and any potential corrective action. The Auditor inquired as to who is responsible for approving annual reports written pursuant to §115.88. the Agency Head confirmed, as the Director of the Department of Corrections, she is responsible for reviewing and approving the Annual PREA report.

Upon review of the policy, Annual Reports, and upon completion of staff interviews, WERDCC demonstrated facility-wide practices that are consistent with policy and the requirements that complies with the PREA standard.

Standard 115.89: Data storage, publication, and destruction

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.89 (a)

Does the agency ensure that data collected pursuant to § 115.87 are securely retained?

 ⊠ Yes □ No

115.89 (b)

■ Does the agency make all aggregated sexual abuse data, from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts, readily available to the public at least annually through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means? ⊠ Yes □ No			
115.89 (c)			
 Does the agency remove all personal identifiers before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available? □ No 			
115.89 (d)			
■ Does the agency maintain sexual abuse data collected pursuant to § 115.87 for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection, unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise? ✓ Yes No			
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination			
☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)			
Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)			
□ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)			
Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative			
The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.			
Documents:			
Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment			
Missouri Department of Corrections Annual Reports, 2017 - 2020			
Interviews conducted with:			
PREA Coordinator			
Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment states staff			
members are prohibited from revealing any information related to an allegation of offender sexual abuse or			
harassment other than to the extent necessary to make treatment, investigation, and other security and			
management decisions.			

Missouri Department of Corrections Procedure D1-8.13, *Offender Sexual Abuse & Harassment* states administrative and criminal investigation reports shall be retained for 90 years from the completion of the investigation and in accordance with the department procedure regarding records retention.

During the pre-on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor reviewed the most recent Survey of Sexual Violence Report, four years of Annual Reports, which contained sexual abuse data collected with a standardized instrument. The standardized instrument used contained a set of definitions and data collected from incident reports, investigative files, and sexual abuse incident reviews. The Missouri Department of Corrections publishes the reports on the agency website Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) | Missouri Department of Corrections (mo.gov)

During the on-site phase of the audit, the Auditor conducted an interview with the PREA Coordinator regarding how data is collected pursuant to PREA Standard §115.87. The PREA Coordinator acknowledged that the data collected is securely retained by the department using *IRIS*, a data retention program in which all investigative documents are recorded and maintained. All PREA specific information related to an investigation is stored within this program and only Investigations and Facility Administration staff have access.

Upon review of the policy and upon completion of staff interviews, WERDCC demonstrated facility-wide practices that are consistent with policy and the requirements that complies with the PREA standard.

AUDITING AND CORRECTIVE ACTION

Standard 115.401: Frequency and scope of audits

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.401 (a)			
ager <i>The</i>	Ing the prior three-year audit period, did the agency ensure that each facility operated by the ncy, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited at least once? (<i>Note: response here is purely informational. A "no" response does not impact overall compliance this standard.</i>) \boxtimes Yes \square No		
115.401 (b)			
	is the first year of the current audit cycle? (<i>Note: a "no" response does not impact overall pliance with this standard.</i>) \square Yes \square No		
of ea	is is the second year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least one-third each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the acy, was audited during the first year of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the and year of the current audit cycle.) \square Yes \square No \bowtie NA		
each were	is is the third year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least two-thirds of a facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, a audited during the first two years of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the <i>third</i> year e current audit cycle.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA		
115.401 (h)			
	the auditor have access to, and the ability to observe, all areas of the audited facility? es $\ \square$ No		
115.401 (i)			
Was	the auditor permitted to request and receive copies of any relevant documents (including tronically stored information)? \boxtimes Yes \square No		
115.401 (m)			
 Was the auditor permitted to conduct private interviews with inmates, residents, and detainees? ☑ Yes □ No 			
115.401 (n)			
	e inmates permitted to send confidential information or correspondence to the auditor in the e manner as if they were communicating with legal counsel? \boxtimes Yes \square No		
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination			
	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)		
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)		

□ Does Not Meet Standard	(Requires Corrective Action)
--------------------------	------------------------------

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Missouri Department of Corrections, Women's Eastern Reception, Diagnostic and Correctional Center had its first PREA Audit conducted on December 8 - 10, 2015; the third year of the first three-year auditing cycle. The facility had its second PREA Audit conducted on June 25 - 27, 2018; the second year of the second three-year auditing cycle. This audit was the facility's third audit and was conducted on June 9 - 10, 2022; the third year of the third three-year auditing cycle.

The Auditor was provided access to and observed all areas of the facility and outside compound. The Auditor was permitted to request and receive copies of any relevant documents.

The Auditor was permitted to conduct private interviews with offenders and staff. The Auditor verified the posting of the audit notifications including posting of the audit in all housing dormitories and common areas accessible and visible for offenders and staff. The Auditor verified through offender and staff interviews that offenders and staff were permitted to send confidential correspondence to the Auditor in the same manner as if they were communicating with legal counsel.

Standard 115.403: Audit contents and findings

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.403 (f)

	The agency has published on its agency website, if it has one, or has otherwise made publicly available, all Final Audit Reports. The review period is for prior audits completed during the pathree years PRECEDING THIS AUDIT. The pendency of any agency appeal pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 115.405 does not excuse noncompliance with this provision. (N/A if there have been no Final Audit Reports issued in the past three years, or in the case of single facility agencies that there has never been a Final Audit Report issued.) ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA			
Audito	r Overa	all Compliance Determination		
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)		
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)		
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)		
Instruc	tions f	or Overall Compliance Determination Narrative		
complia conclus not mee	ance or a sions. The et the st	below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's his discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does randard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by specific corrective actions taken by the facility.		
Missour	i Depar	tment of Corrections publishes PREA Audit Reports for all facilities within the MDC on the agency		
website	. The re	ports are grouped according to the audit cycle year. Women's Eastern Reception, Diagnostic and		
Correctional Center has published the prior PREA Audit Reports on the agency website. The Auditor reviewed				
the facility's prior PREA Audit Reports (December 2015; June 2018).				
		AUDITOR CERTIFICATION		
I certify	y that:			

Audi	tor Siç	gnature	Date	
electro search into a l been s	nic sign able PC PDF fori	nature. Auditors must deliver their final DF format to ensure accessibility to peo mat prior to submission. Auditors are	Signature. This will function as your official report to the PREA Resource Center as a sple with disabilities. Save this report document permitted to submit audit reports that her a full discussion of audit report formatting	ment nave
Audi	tor Ins	structions:		
		•	ort any personally identifiable information except where the names of administrated in the report template.	` ,
	\boxtimes	No conflict of interest exists with resagency under review, and	spect to my ability to conduct an audit o	of the
	\boxtimes	The contents of this report are accu	urate to the best of my knowledge.	

 $^{^{1} \}mbox{ See additional instructions here: } \underline{\mbox{https://support.office.com/en-us/article/Save-or-convert-to-PDF-d85416c5-7d77-4fd6-a216-6f4bf7c7c110} \ .$

² See *PREA Auditor Handbook*, Version 1.0, August 2017; Pages 68-69.